SOUTH WHIDBEY SCHOOL DISTRICT

- FACILITIES MODERNIZATION & UPGRADES BUNDLE GC/CM PROJECT (W/NO ASSP)

1. Regarding 7. Public Body Construction History

a. The answer refers to Exhibit 2 for a matrix summary, however no information was provided for the required response pertaining to "Small-, minority-, women-, and veteran-owned business participation planned and actual utilization." Please provide any related information.

2. Regarding 10. Subcontractor Outreach:

- a. While the Application does indicate GC/CM procurement documents will include a clause for the GC/CM to "actively and in good faith provide opportunities for underutilized businesses", does the District plan to set goals for inclusion of small-, minority-, women-, and veteran-owned business participation?
 - Is there going to be any scoring criteria for the RFP/RFQ solicitation responses for utilization of DBE/MBE/WBE businesses?
 - 2) If there is going to be a scoring criterion, can you please elaborate on how this criterion will be utilized when scoring the RFP/RFQ solicitation responses?
 - 3) How will participation be tracked and recorded for actual utilization?
 - 4) How else will SWSD encourage underrepresented participation?

3. Question for the response under Section 4:

- a. Please elaborate what you mean by having the GC/CM during design "... to give input on the QA/QC of drawings and specifications..."
- b. What is the Owner's expectation of the Designer of Record team in regard to the quality of their work product i.e., the design?

4. Question for the response under Section 6

- a. Response states "... The Parametrix PM/CM consultant team will not have signature authority for changes in the contract value. The District's Superintendent has signature authority for up to \$75,000. Anything larger than that amount would need to go to the School Board for approval and signature."
 - Considering the estimated cost of the project, and fast-paced schedule, this financial authority constrain is concerning as it may not support the quick decision-making process that is necessary for the District to get the intended benefits from using GC/CM project delivery. Please address this concern in a more tangible manner than having regular meetings to keep the District informed and focused on timely issue resolution.
- b. Additionally, "Use of any of these contingency funds by the GC/CM requires approval by the District, but the District cannot unreasonably withhold use of the contingency."
 - 1) Please explain what the District defines to be unreasonable withhold of contingency use.

5. Others:

- a. Can you clarify your RFFP Submittal Deadline & Opening on August 2, then notifying the proposers of the preliminary results on August 5. It appears this is not a public opening.
- b. Please provide your top 3 significant lessons learned that are being applied to this project. What is their significance
- c. Who performs your audits and what kind of audits and what is the frequency?
- d. What results have you received by including a subcontractor outreach paragraph?
- e. How will you measure success on this project?
- f. Please explain if the local permitting AHJ(s), whether the County or City, or both, been informed and are onboard with the permitting approach to support the GCCM delivery for this project?