Date:	March 28, 2024	Approved Denied		Χ
Public Agency:	SPOKANE COUNTY			
Project Name:	Camas Meadow Park & Plante's Ferry Sports Complex (Phase 1 Improvements)			
PRC Member:	Alexis Blue			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ing procedures:	require		
A. Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	1	Pass X	Fail
B. Project meets Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the open two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)		Х	7
	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is criting the construction methodology, or	ical	Х	
between th	ets selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficienc ne designer and the builder; or	ies	Х	
100 miles	savings in project delivery time would be realized.			
	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)			
	livery knowledge and experience		Х	
	contract administration personnel with construction experience		Х	
	nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority		Х	
	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		Х	
**************************************	of project management team with project type & scope experience	ce :	Х	
	and appropriate construction budget		Х	
knowledgeable	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	is	Х	
E. Public Body ha	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	9	Х	
Overall Evaluation & Reason for Determina Met criteria	by Committee/Panel Member ation:			
Observations/Concer	rns:			
Thanks for the present	ntation!			
Signature	Colle			

Date:	3/28/2024	Approved	Χ
Public Agency:	Spokane County	Denied	\$
Project Name:	Camas Meadow Park & Plante's Ferry Sports Complex (Phase 1 Improvements) Project	-	5
PRC Member:	Mallorie Davies	-	

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.

 Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
 - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
 - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
 - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
 - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
 - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
 - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

	Pass	Fail
	Χ	
	Х	
	Х	
	X	
	Х	
	Х	
	Х	
	X X X X X	
	Х	
	Х	
	Х	
	Х	
	Х)
	Х	

Reason for Determination:	
	6
Observations/Concerns:	
Mil	

Pul	and Agency De	sign-build Project			2
Da	Pate: 3-28-24 App		Appro	ved	X
Pu	blic Agency:	SPOKANE COUNTY	Denie	d	
Pro	oject Name:	CAMAS MP & PLANTES FERRY 7	PARKS	ı	
PF	RC Member:	JIM DUGAN	9		
		Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
		Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets thing procedures:	e requirer	nents fo	r Fail
A.	Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica	al. Î	rass	rall
В.		qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.			Annal Street
1000	Public bodies	may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which est is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the	X	
		ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cr	itical	X	
		ing the construction methodology, or ets selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficien	cies		
	between th	ne designer and the builder; or		X	
		savings in project delivery time would be realized.		X	
C.		as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)			
		livery knowledge and experience		X	
		contract administration personnel with construction experience	1	X	
		anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority		X	
		& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		X	-
		of project management team with project type & scope experier and appropriate construction budget	nce		. 2 - 1
D.	For Design-Bu	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team		X	
F		e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	•	V	
Ove Rea	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	by Committee/Panel Member ation:			
International Property of the					
-	17			2317-12-	100 - 100 100 - 100
	IN R A	9. A			

abile rigolog De	orgin Burna i rojout			
Date:	March 28, 2024 Appro		oved	Χ
Public Agency:	Spokane County	Denie	ed	
Project Name:	Camas Meadow Park & Plante's Ferry Sports Complex			
PRC Member:	Tom Golden			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets thing procedures:	ne require		
A Dravidas subs	tantial figural homefit or traditional delivery method is not practice	ol.	Pass	Fail
	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.	al.	Х	
Public bodies	may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the	х	
	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is c ing the construction methodology, or	ritical	X	
2. The project	ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficience designer and the builder; or	ncies	Х	
•	savings in project delivery time would be realized.		X.	
	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass ; 1 fail fails all)		X	
 Project de 	ivery knowledge and experience	[Х	
	contract administration personnel with construction experience		X	
	nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		X	
	of project management team with project type & scope experie	nce	X	
	and appropriate construction budget		Х	
knowledgeable	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contrac		х	
E. Public Body h	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		X	
Overall Evaluation I Reason for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation:			
Combined tig	ht budget for both projects along with technical expertise needed for	synthetic t	urf compo	<u>nent</u>
nake PDB appropria	te method.			
Observations/Conce	rns:			
None.				

Criteria extracted from RCW 39.10.300

Data	•	Anna	مما	
Date:	3/28/2023	Approve		_X
Public Agency:	Spokane County PRG	Denied		
Project Name:	Camas Meadow Park and Plante's Ferry Sports Complex Phase 1 Impr	ovements	;	
PRC Member:	Ron Paananen			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the r ting procedures:			
A Dravidae aube	stantial figural hangfit or traditional delivery method is not practical		Pass	Fai
	stantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.	<u> </u>	X_{\parallel}	
Public bodies	may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	;		
in develop	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critic ing the construction methodology, or		×	
	cts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencie he designer and the builder; or	s	×	
Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.			X	
	as necessary experience or team:	1		
•	6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) livery knowledge and experience		X	
•	contract administration personnel with construction experience		X	
	anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority		X	
•	/ & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project	, <u> </u>	X	
•	of project management team with project type & scope experience and appropriate construction budget	' 	$\frac{X}{Y}$	
•	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is	; ;	X	
	e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.		X	
E. Public Body h	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		X	
Overall Evaluation Reason for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation:			
Well thought out	approach to achieve the maximum scope possible on a relat	vely fixe	ed bud	lget.
The County is well	prepared and has enlisted appropriate resources for delivery of the	e project		
Observations/Conce	rns:			
Malley Raci	levine			

Public Agency: Spokane County Denied Project Name: Camas Meadows Park & Plante Ferry Sports Complex Project (Phase1) PRC Member: Catina M Patton Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fall falls all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body ha					
Project Name: Catina M Patton Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails ail) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project meanagement team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Deverall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project.	Date:	March 28, 2024 Appro		t	Х
PRC Member: Catina M Patton Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Deverall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project.	Public Agency: Spokane County E		Denied	à 	
Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails sil) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Deverall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project.	Project Name:	Camas Meadows Park & Plante Ferry Sports Complex Project (Phase1)		-	
Design-Build Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Che approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project. Che approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project.	PRC Member:	Catina M Patton			
A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Coverall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project.					
A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. C. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. C. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.			• 00 00 0000		Eai
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Cheverall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project.	A Provides sub	estantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica	. —		ган
1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Coverall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project.	B. Project meet Public bodie	s qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. s may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which			
2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Coverall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project.	1. The cons	struction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cr	itical	x	
3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. X Deverall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project.			cies	Х	
(must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. **Description**		_		Х	
1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Coverall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project. Deservations/Concerns:					
2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Coverall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project. Cobservations/Concerns:			<u> </u>	X	
4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Coverall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project. Cobservations/Concerns:					
5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Coverall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project. Cobservations/Concerns:					
6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Coverall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project. Cobservations/Concerns:					
D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Coverall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project. Cobservations/Concerns:			ice		
E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	D. For Design-E	Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team			
Reason for Determination: The approach taken on budgeting on funding of two projects and the well qualified team on the project. Dbservations/Concerns:	2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			<	
	Reason for Determ	ination:	the project.		
			DBE inclusion.		

Catina M Patton

Date:	3/28/24	Appro	oved	х
Public Agency: Spokane County		Denied		S
Project Name: Camas Meadow Park				1.
PRC Member:	Linneth Riley-Hall			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ing procedures:	e require	ments fo	r
4 0 2 2			Pass	Fail
	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica	l.	х	
Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which to est is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the		
in develop	uction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cring the construction methodology, or		х	
The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or		cies	х	
3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.		х		
	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass ; 1 fail fails all)			
	ivery knowledge and experience	[х	
	contract administration personnel with construction experience		х	
	nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority		х	
	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		Х	
•	of project management team with project type & scope experier	ice	Х	
	and appropriate construction budget	. L	Х	
knowledgeable	oild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team on DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.		х	
E. Public Body ha	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	,	х	
Reason for Determin				
	W requirements and owner advisor is transferring knowledge and less	ons learn	ed from o	<u>ther</u>
orogressive DB proje	cts.			
Observations/Concer	ns:			

Linneth Riley-Hall

Date:	3/28/24	Appro	ved	х
Public Agency:	Spokane County	Denie	ed	**
Project Name:	Camas Meadow Park & Plantes"s Ferry sports Complex			
PRC Member:	Mike D Shinn			
	Project Evaluation Criteria			
	Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets thing procedures:	e require	ments fo	r
A D :1			Pass	Fail
	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica	l.	X	
Public bodies i	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which set is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the	V	
in developi	uction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cr ng the construction methodology, or	į	X	
	ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiented by designer and the builder; or	cies	X	
	savings in project delivery time would be realized.		X	
	as necessary experience or team:	'		
•	6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) ivery knowledge and experience		X	
	ontract administration personnel with construction experience		×	
	nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority		X	
	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		X	
	of project management team with project type & scope experier and appropriate construction budget	ice	X	- 11
	and appropriate construction budget ild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team	. le		
	in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.		1	
E. Public Body ha	s resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		X	
Overall Evaluation b	y Committee/Panel Member			
Reason for Determina				
8164	TJOB FOL DB			
4(911				
Observations/Concern				
TROTES FI	B DESIGN BUILD			
	Λ Λ			
Wife D	Hum			