



STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF ENTERPRISE SERVICES

1500 Jefferson Street SE, Olympia, WA 98501

ADDENDUM #9

February 14, 2014

Project No. 2014-009

Request for Proposal
Design-Build 1063 Block Replacement Project
Olympia, WA

Addendum #1 was issued on 12/4/2013

Addendum #2 was issued on 12/11/2013

Addendum #3 was issued on 12/17/2013

Addendum #4 was issued on 12/23/2013

Addendum #5 was issued on 12/23/2013

Addendum #6 was issued on 1/22/2014

Addendum #7 was issued on 1/29/2014

Addendum #8 was issued on 1/29/2014

Addendum #9 consists of the following items:

- 1. 45 Questions and Answers regarding the RFP**
- 2. Attachment 9.1 – Wet Lab 20.3 criteria**

This Addendum does not amend the due date or time for the proposals. Proposals continue to be due on February 20, 2014 at 3 PM.

#	Reference Section	Question or Comment	DES Response
1	Section 2, Article 1 Paragraph 1.3 - Conflicting Provisions /Order of Precedence, Subparagraphs (4) (5)	Propose that item (6) documents prepared in accordance with section 3.3.6 should take precedence over the RFP documents summarized in (4) and (5).	Proposed change is not accepted.
2	Section 2, Article 1 Paragraph 1.5 - Definitions, Subparagraph 1.5.61	Request the deletion of the following: <i>" . . . at any tier with whom any Subcontractor has further contracted any part of the Work."</i>	Proposed change is not accepted.
3	Section 2, Article 3 Paragraph 3.1.3 - Incorporation Into Subcontractor Contracts	The definition of "Subcontractor" in paragraph 1.5.61 includes everyone the Design-Builder will subcontract to - at all tiers. There are provisions of this agreement, such as Paragraph 3.14 that designers (and many subcontractors) cannot and will not undertake as this paragraph would require. This holds true for insurance requirements, bonding provisions, etc. We propose that the definition of "Subcontractor" be re-written and that this paragraph 3.1.3 be deleted.	Proposed change is not accepted.
4	Section 2, Article 3 Paragraph 3.13 - Performance Guarantee, Subparagraph 3.13.4	The Design-Builder has no control over when or how much the building will be occupied. Propose change to read to one of the following: <i>"Owner shall withhold a pre-determined amount from the Contract Sum, during the Performance Guarantee Period. Release of payment for this withheld amount shall be contingent upon the final confirmation that the operations, maintenance and energy use index (EUI) performance standards for the facility (i.e. actual EUI = BTU/GSF-Year) have been achieved as verified by the M&V conducted over the 5 year (1,825 days) period. The start date of the Performance Guarantee Period is the date of Substantial Completion. post-Substantial Completion, when the building is at a minimum of 80% occupancy. The withheld amount</i>	Proposed change is not accepted. See Addendum 6, Question 14.

<p>4 (cont.)</p>	<p>Section 2, Article 3 Paragraph 3.13 - Performance Guarantee, Subparagraph 3.13.4</p>	<p><i>shall be \$420,000 which is equivalent to the approximate value of the estimated operations, maintenance and energy operating costs for the first year. Design-Builder may propose a performance bond in lieu of the withheld amount as mutually approved with Owner.</i></p> <p>(Note: This is consistent with the definition provided in Subparagraph 1.5.48 - Performance Guarantee Period.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-or-</p> <p><i>"Owner shall withhold a pre-determined amount from the Contract Sum, during the Performance Guarantee Period. Release of payment for this withheld amount shall be contingent upon the final confirmation that the operations, maintenance and energy use index (EUI) performance standards for the facility (i.e. actual EUI = BTU/GSF-Year) have been achieved as verified by the M&V conducted over the 5 year (1,825 days) period. The start date of the Performance Guarantee Period is the date thirty (30) days post-Substantial Completion. , when the building is at a minimum of 80% occupancy. The withheld amount shall be \$420,000 which is equivalent to the approximate value of the estimated operations, maintenance and energy operating costs for the first year. Design-Builder may propose a performance bond in lieu of the withheld amount as mutually approved with Owner."</i></p>	<p>Proposed change is not accepted. See Addendum 6, Question 14.</p>
<p>5</p>	<p>Section 2, Article 3 Paragraph 3.13 - Performance Guarantee, Subparagraph 3.13.4 & Section 5, 3.13.4</p>	<p>Propose adding the clarifying sentence at the end of this paragraph so that the paragraph reads:</p> <p><i>"If the actual operations, maintenance, and EUI as presented in the M&V findings and recommendations fail to meet the guaranteed performance requirements, the Design-Builder shall make a proportionate performance compensation payment to the Owner at the end of the performance period to account for the performance differences from the approved plan. The aggregate amount of the proportionate performance compensation payments shall be capped at a maximum of the Four Hundred Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$420,000) withheld by the Owner as the Financial Guarantee."</i></p>	<p>See Addendum #6 -13 and #6 - 18. All reference to performance guarantee payments as "withheld" from a contract sum are deleted from the RFP.</p> <p>Performance guarantee payments are to be disbursed as incremental incentives based on building performance as defined in the negotiated Performance, Operations and Maintenance Guarantee document. Payments</p>

5 (cont.)	Section 2, Article 3 Paragraph 3.13 - Performance Guarantee, Subparagraph 3.13.4 & Section 5, 3.13.4	(Query contained wholly on Page 3 of 16 of this document)	will be generated from building operating revenues. Payments will be from the Owner to the Design-Builder at increments as defined in the negotiated Guarantee contract. No payments from the Design-Builder to the Owner are contemplated. Section 5, 3.13.4 already has language regarding proportionate performance compensation that addresses this issue.
6	Section 2, Article 3 Paragraph 3.2.2 - Project Management; Key Personnel	At the end of the fourth sentence, add: <i>. . . for Owner's review and acceptance ", which will not be unreasonably withheld."</i>	Proposed change is accepted.
7	Section 2, Article 3 Paragraph 3.3.6.3 - Owner's Review	Change to read as follows: <i>"Owner's review and approval of interim design submissions and the Construction Documents are for the purpose of mutually establishing a conformed set of Construction Documents compatible with the requirements of the Work. Neither Owner's review nor approval of any interim design submissions and/or Construction Documents shall be deemed to transfer any design liability from Design-Builder to Owner, and Design-Builder shall remain responsible for meeting all obligations required under the Contract Documents."</i>	Proposed change is not accepted.

8	Section 2, Article 5 Paragraph 5.5 - Cost of the Work	<p>General note regarding design costs: We will be using the State of WA Fee Guidelines in preparation of the costs for design. This shall include an allowable mark-up by the Architect on all sub-consultants and vendors of ten percent (10%) to cover taxes, insurance and administrative costs. Design consultants of all tiers shall be using their current billing rates; not the rate guidelines given by the State Fee Guidelines.</p> <p>Added design services shall also be based on Design consultants' current billing rates and not the rate guidelines given by the State Fee Guidelines.</p>	Stated understanding is consistent with current DES practice.
9	Section 2, Article 5 Paragraph 5.5 - Cost of the Work Subparagraph 5.5.2	<p>Change to read as follows:</p> <p><i>"5.5.2 Wages or salaries of Design-Builder's supervisory and administrative personnel, to include all benefits costs, engaged in the performance of the Work and who are located at the Site or working offsite to assist in the production or transportation of material and equipment necessary for the Work; or, mutually agreed upon rates for those personnel."</i></p>	Proposed change is not accepted.
10	Section 2, Article 5 Paragraph 5.5 - Cost of the Work Subparagraph 5.5.21	<p>Change to read as follows:</p> <p><i>"5.5.21 Accounting and data processing and computer software and hardware costs related to the Work."</i></p>	Proposed change is not accepted.

11	Section 2, Article 5 Paragraph 5.6 - Allowance Items and Allowance Values Subparagraph 5.6.4	<p>It is not always possible to ascertain the types of allowances, their scope, value and impact on a project at the time of a MACC. We have had "allowances" for entire floors on buildings and/or build-out of shelled spaces. As such, it is not practical to enter into a Contract wherein the costs of design services, project management and general conditions are "guaranteed and included in the MACC Allowance Value. We request the paragraph to be changed to read as follows:</p> <p><i>"The Allowance Value for an Allowance Item includes the direct cost of labor, materials, equipment, transportation, taxes and insurance associated with the applicable Allowance Item. All other costs, Costs for including design fees, Design-Builder's overall project management and general conditions costs, overhead and fee, are deemed to be included in the original Contract Sum, and are not subject to adjustment, regardless of the actual amount of the Allowance Item. Costs for design fees, Design-Builder's project management and general conditions shall be mutually agreed upon by the Owner and Design-Builder prior to the finalization and authorization to proceed."</i></p>	Proposed change is not accepted
12	Section 2, Article 6 Paragraph 6.5.2 - Payment	<p>Change paragraph to read as follows:</p> <p><i>"Within thirty (30) sixty (60) days after receipt of an acceptable Application for Final Payment, Owner shall pay to Design-Builder the unpaid balance of the Contract Sum (less any withholding as required for the Performance Guarantee Period per Section 2, Article 3.13), reduced by any amounts owed by Design-Builder to Owner pursuant to this Agreement which have not been paid by Design-Builder. Retainage funds shall be released pursuant to Chapter 60.28 RCW."</i></p>	Proposed change is accepted.
13	Section 2, Article 6 Paragraph 6.5.4 - Waiver and Release	<p>Add at the beginning of the sentence:</p> <p><i>"Unless claims are specifically reserved, ..."</i></p>	Proposed change is not accepted.

14	Section 2, Article 6 6.6.1 - Withholding of Payment	Add the following to the beginning of the first paragraph so that it reads as follows: <i>"After written notice and a reasonable time to cure, Owner may withhold or, on account of subsequently discovered evidence, nullify the whole or part of any payment to such extent as may be necessary to protect Owner from loss or damage for reasons including:"</i>	Proposed change is not accepted.
15	Section 2, Article 7 Paragraph 7.5.2 - Liquidated Damages Not Penalty	Propose adding the following sub paragraph" <i>"(3) Liquidated Damages shall be capped so as to not exceed thirty-percent (30%) of the Design-Builder's fee."</i>	Proposed change is not accepted.
16	Section 2, Article 7 Paragraph 7.5.3 - Actual Damages for Late Final Completion	See comments in prior submission -and- Propose adding the following subparagraphs to this paragraph: <i>"(1) Actual Damages shall be only actual costs incurred and shall not include consequential damages, such as loss of use, lost profits, lost revenues and loss of reputation.</i> <i>"(2) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Design-Build Agreement or the Contract Documents, the Design-Builder and Owner agree that any and all liability of Design-Builder for Damages for Late Final Completion arising out of, related to or resulting from this Agreement, the Contract Documents, the Work or Project shall be capped at an aggregate limit of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000) (the "Late Final Completion Damages Cap"). Design-Builder waives, and Owner waives in excess of the Late Final Completion Damages Cap, claims or demands against each other.</i>	Proposed change is not accepted.

17	Section 2, Article 8 Paragraph 8.6.5 - Fault or Negligence of Design- Builder	Propose changing the paragraph to read as follows: <i>"Design-Builder shall have no right to seek an equitable adjustment to the Contract Sum or Guaranteed Completion Date(s) if the basis for the adjustment arises out of or relates to events, to the extent caused in-whole or in-part by the fault or negligence of Design- Builder, or anyone for whose acts Design-Builder is responsible."</i>	Proposed change is accepted.
18	Section 2, Article 9 Paragraph 9.1.2 Owner Fault etc. Subparagraphs a b	The notice provision of seven (7) days appears in these subparagraphs is inconsistent with the rest of the Contract, such as 8.6.1, and should be fourteen (14) days.	Proposed change is accepted and will be changed to read fourteen (14) days.
19	Section 2, Article 9 Paragraph 9.2.8 Components of Increased Cost	Propose adding a subparagraph (d.) that reads as follows: <i>"d. <u>Transportation Costs.</u> This is an itemization of the type of transportation and the estimated or actual costs and time the transportation of materials and/or equipment required in the change in the Work."</i>	Section 9.2.8 c will be amended to include: "Costs will be allowed for construction equipment only is used solely for the changed Work, or for additional rental and transportation costs actually incurred by the Contractor."
20	Section 2, Article 9 Paragraph 9.2.8 Components of Increased Cost, Subparagraph g (1)	Change the paragraph to read as follows: <i>"(1) <u>Design-Builder Markup on Design-Builder Work:</u> For Design-Builder, for any Work actually performed by its own forces, 12% of the first \$50,000 of the cost, and 8% 4% of the remaining cost, if any."</i> The traditional State percentages do not recognize the costs and risk differences between design-bid-build, GC/CM and Design-Build, in our opinion.	Proposed change is not accepted.

21	Section 2, Article 9 Paragraph 9.2.8 Components of Increased Cost, Subparagraph g (3)	<p>Change the paragraph to read as follows:</p> <p><i>"(3) <u>Design-Builder Markup for Subcontractor Work: For Design- Builder, for any Work performed by its Subcontractor(s), 8% 4% of the first \$50,000 of the amount due each Subcontractor, and 5% 2% of the remaining amount, if any.</u>"</i></p> <p>The traditional State percentages do not recognize the costs and risk differences between design-bid-build, GC/CM and Design-Build, in our opinion. Note exception in Mortenson comments 28 and 41 for Design Cost changes.</p>	Proposed change is not accepted.
22	Section 2, Article 9 Paragraph 9.2.8 Components of Increased Cost, Subparagraph h (1)	<p>Change the paragraph to read as follows:</p> <p><i>"(1) <u>Design-Builder / Subcontractor Markup for Self-Performed Work: For Design-Builder or Subcontractor of any tier for work performed by their forces, 8% 6% of the cost developed in accordance with Section 9.2.8 a. – e.</u>"</i></p> <p>The traditional State percentages do not recognize the costs and risk differences between design-bid-build, GC/CM and Design-Build, in our opinion.</p>	Proposed change is not accepted.
23	Section 2, Article 9 Paragraph 9.2.8 Components of Increased Cost, Subparagraph h (2)	<p>Change the paragraph to read as follows:</p> <p><i>"(2) <u>Design-Builder / Subcontractor Markup for Work Performed at Lower Tier: For Design-Builder or Subcontractor of any tier for work performed by a subcontractor of a lower tier, 6% 4% of the subcontract cost developed in accordance with Section 9.2.8 a. – i.</u>"</i></p> <p>The traditional State percentages do not recognize the costs and risk differences between design-bid-build, GC/CM and Design-Build, in our opinion.</p>	Proposed change is not accepted.

24	Section 2, Article 10 Paragraph 10.7 - Events Constituting Excusable Delay, Subparagraph (6)	Delete subparagraph (6).	Proposed change is not accepted.
25	Section 2, Article 10 Paragraph 10.7 - Events Constituting Excusable Delay, Subparagraph (7)	Propose changing to read as follows: <i>"(a) Monthly rainfall in excess of the average highest monthly rainfall experienced for the same month.</i> <i>(b) Annual rainfall in excess of the average highest annual rainfall experienced.</i> <i>(c) Monthly snowfall in excess of the average highest monthly snowfall experienced for the same month.</i> <i>Annual snowfall in excess of the average highest annual snowfall experienced."</i>	Proposed change is not accepted.
26	Section 2, Article 10 Paragraph 10.7 - Events Constituting Excusable Delay, Subparagraph (9)	Delete subparagraph (9)	Proposed change is not accepted.
27	Section 2, Article 10 Paragraph 10.7 - Events Constituting Excusable Delay, Subparagraph (10)	Propose changing to read as follows: <i>"(10) Actions or inactions of Governmental Units enjoining the Project from proceeding or in unreasonably delaying the issuance of a Government Approval;"</i>	Proposed change is not accepted.

28	Section 2, Article 10 Paragraph 10.7 - Events Constituting Excusable Delay, Subparagraph (10)	Propose the to read as follows: <i>"(12) Labor strikes lasting in excess of seven (7) three (3) consecutive days that affect a specific trade on a national, or regional or local union level and such strike was not caused by the acts or omissions of Design-Builder or Subcontractors."</i>	Proposed change is accepted.
29	Section 2, Article 10 Paragraph 10.8 - Events Not Considered As Excusable Delay, Subparagraph (1)	We recommend deleting this sentence in its entirety. The Design-Builder has no authority to control the actions or inactions of Government Units.	Proposed change is not accepted.
30	Section 2, Article 11 Paragraph 11.2.5 - Waiver of Design-Builder Rights for Failure to Comply with this Section	Propose changing to read as follows: <i>"Any Claim of Design-Builder against Owner for damages, additional compensation, or additional time, shall be conclusively deemed to have been waived by Design-Builder unless timely made in accordance with the requirements of this Section 11.2 to the extent Owner's interests are prejudiced."</i>	Proposed change is not accepted.
31	Section 2, Article 11 Paragraph 11.5.1 Design- Builder to make Documents Available	Delete subparagraphs (17), (18) and (19).	Proposed change is not accepted.

32	Section 2, Article 14 Paragraph 14.2.1 - Ownership of Design Work Product and Paragraph 14.4.2 - Reuse of Design	<p>Paragraph 14.2.1 provides the Owner the "ownership" of the design. Paragraph 14.2.2 provides some protection for use of the design by the Owner but does not indemnify the Design-Builder, Designer or Sub-Consultants.</p> <p>We propose the following additional paragraph: <i>"14.2.3 <u>Indemnification for Use of Design Work Product.</u> If Owner uses the Design Work Product on any other project or for purposes unrelated to the Project, the Owner agrees that it shall do so at its sole risk and without liability or legal exposure to the Design-Builder or any Design Consultant(s), or anyone working through them. Further, the Owner agrees that it shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the Design-Builder or any Design Consultant(s) from and against any and all claims, damages, liabilities, losses and expenses, including attorneys' fees, arising out of or resulting from such use of the Design Work Product on another project or for purposes unrelated to the Project."</i></p>	<p>At end of 14.2.2 add the words: <i>"or applicable subcontractor(s)"</i>.</p> <p>Proposed additional paragraph 14.2.3 is not accepted.</p>
33	Section 4, Part 1030.B.8	<p>Please confirm if this project will be allowed to discharge to the combined sewer in either Columbia or Capitol Blvd, or if the project will be required to provide detention or replace the downstream 10" storm pipe in Columbia Street with a larger diameter pipe.</p>	<p>For purposes of the competition, simply following RFP suggestion to use storm pipe in Columbia Street is acceptable. The storm water system has capacity issues. We expect to refine storm water management and drainage design with the successful team.</p>
34	Section 4 – Part 1074.C.1	<p>Can the IDF room be located within the electrical room? It is the intent that the IDF room will be completely secured with its own lockable door.</p>	<p>No. IDFs are separate rooms with cardkey security.</p>
35	Section 5 - Room Criteria Sheets	<p>Are there specific STC or NC requirements for the program spaces that are identified as noise sensitive spaces in the room data sheets?</p>	<p>NIC 35 is minimum for offices and conference rooms. Industry standards should apply for special use rooms applicable to their specific use.</p>

36	Section 5 -Part XIII, Room Layout Sketches C2.0	In reference to Section V Part XIII Room Layout Sketches of the RFP, space Number C2.0 Medium Conference under FF&E there is a request for a sink counter with storage below. Room layout doesn't show this. Is it required? Who provides it?	Addendum 4 provided an updated Section 5. C2.0 does show the counter in the sketch. Addendum 7 removed sinks in all conference rooms.
37	Section 5 - Part XIII, Room Layout Sketches C3.0, D3.0	In reference to Section V Part XIII Room Layout Sketches of the RFP, space Number C3.0 and D3.0 Small Conference under FF&E there is a request for a sink counter with storage below. Room layout doesn't show this. Is it required? Who provides it?	Addendum 7 removed sinks in all conference rooms. C3.0 should have a counter only. D3.0 has no counter.
38	Section 5 - Part XIII, Room Layout Sketches D1.0	In reference to Section V Part XIII Room Layout Sketches of the RFP, space Number D1.0 Large Conference under FF&E there is a request for a sink counter with storage below. Room layout doesn't show this. Is it required? Who provides it?	Addendum 4 provided an updated Section 5. D1.0 does show the counter in the sketch. Addendum 7 removed sinks in all conference rooms.
39	Section 5 – Room Criteria Sheets, P20.3	For WSP Wet Labs (P20.3) the requirements for HVAC, plumbing and power in the space criteria sheets indicates they are required to meet federal requirements (FBI), which we do not have. Some WSP Regulatory clarifications issued as part of Addendum 7 deleted federal requirements for other related spaces, but not for these two 750 SF spaces. Whether or not specific federal requirements apply, given these are called wet labs can you provide more specific information about what is needed for these spaces (e.g. fume hoods and associated controls, special equipment (power), etc.).	See Addendum 7, Attachments 4.1, 4.2, 4.3; and Addendum 9, Attachment 1
40	Section 5 – Room Criteria Sheets	Confirm items listed in FF&E and Furniture/Equip rows are by owner.	Furnishings and tenant equipment are by Owner. FF&E clarification noted in 41, below.

41	Section 5 - Room Criteria Sheets	<p>Please confirm the following list of items are provided by Owner or Design-Builder:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Interior and Exterior bike racks 2. Lockers 3. Mailboxes 4. Compact filing/shelving 5. White boards 6. Marker boards 7. Tack boards/tack surface 8. Adjustable shelving 9. Computer counter with file storage below 10. 64 sq ft DOC workstation desks 11. Library shelving 12. Multimedia storage cabinet 13. Lab benches 14. 96 sq ft microfiche DOC workstation 15. High Density Files 16. Collating counters 17. Counters with storage below 18. WSP equipment 19. Flat Files 20. Drafting tables 21. Ammunition storage 22. Smart Board 23. Teardown station 24. Criminal Records reception counter 25. 100 sq ft DOC workstations 26. Customer Service walk up counter 27. Vault for guns and narcotics 28. Mail Room "casework and shelving for office supplies" 29. Main Lobby Reception Desk 30. Industrial Shelving at Custodial Storage 31. Lactation Room magazine rack, mirror and counter 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Design-Builder 2. Design-Builder 3. Owner 4. Owner 5. Design-Builder in conference rooms, Owner(T) in private offices 6. Same as white boards unless electronic. Electronic marker boards would be Owner(T) provided. 7. Design-Builder in conference rooms and copy rooms (if applicable). Owner (T) in private offices and work spaces. 8. Adjustable shelving over built-in counters is casework and would be Design-Builder. Freestanding adjustable shelving is Owner provided. Adjustable shelving requirement in private offices can be deleted. 9. Owner(T) provided 10. Owner(T) provided 11. Freestanding Owner(T) provided 12. Owner(T) provided 13. Owner(T) provided 14. Owner(T) provided 15. Owner(T) provided
----	----------------------------------	---	--

<p>41 (cont.)</p>	<p>Section 5 - Room Criteria Sheets</p>	<p>32. Wellness Room magazine rack and mirror 33. "Results Washington" monitor pedestal</p>	<p>16. Design-Builder provided built-in copy rooms, freestanding Owner(T) provided in open areas. 17. Design-Builder provided casework in support rooms, Owner provided in private offices. 18-23. Owner(T) provided 24. Design-Builder build out 25. Owner(T) provided 26. Design/Builder built out 27. Owner(T) provided 28. Design-Builder 29. Design-Builder 30. Owner provided 31. Design-Builder provided counter and mirror over sink 32. Design-builder mirror over sink 33. Owner(T) provided</p>
<p>42</p>	<p>Addendum #3 - 4 & Item #2</p>	<p>May 3/32 be used for minimum plan scale? 1/8 leaves little room for notes. Unfortunately there is no standard 34x42 inch format. There's either ANSI E at 34x44 or ARCH E1 at 30x42. So, we're either too big for the full size or too small for the 1/2 size.</p>	<p>Yes. 3/32nd scale may be used at the team's discretion. Please try and adjust font sizes, etc. to maintain reasonable legibility. DES would also request that once selected a scale be consistently applied within a family of drawings (i.e. floor plans).</p>

43	Addendum #7 - 6	The sentence in this Addendum item that reads “Note that no site area should be sacrificed to provide for sidewalks” is potentially misleading.	Change sentence to read: “Note that no site area is required to be sacrificed to provide for sidewalks”
44	Addendum #7 - 6	Confirm curb bulbs are located only on Union as bulbs would interfere with lanes of travel on other roadways.	Surveys indicate that sufficient ROW exists on Columbia Street and 11th Avenue block faces to establish curb bulb outs (and still maintain desired minimum sidewalk width) if mid-block curb lines were moved closer to property lines. Parallel parking spaces might be created in conjunction with slight narrowing of adjacent travel lanes. Detailed aspects of block face design cannot be determined at this time. For budget purposes, assume bulb outs on all four corners and sidewalk rebuild per Addendum #7. However, design submittal drawings are not required to depict bulb outs on all four block faces. Do show minimum sidewalk widths and at least minimum plantings. Evaluation points will not be awarded for design of public ROW.
45	Addendum #7 - 9	Clarify cost per unit of West Campus chilled water loop.	Cost per ton-hour is \$0.062 inclusive of campus overhead costs.