Q1 Please provide your contact information:

Answered: 63 Skipped: 0

Answer Choices	Responses	
Name:	100.00%	63
Email address:	100.00%	63
Phone number:	100.00%	63

#	Name:	Date
1	Allen Miller	3/18/2016 5:57 PM
2	Barbara A. Smith	3/18/2016 5:55 PM
3	Jim Lengenfelder	3/18/2016 5:52 PM
4	Bob Wubbena	3/18/2016 5:44 PM
5	Patricia Thulin	3/18/2016 5:43 PM
6	Zena Hartung	3/18/2016 5:41 PM
7	J. LaValle	3/18/2016 5:38 PM
8	Debra Jaqua	3/18/2016 5:34 PM
9	Sharon Foster	3/18/2016 5:33 PM
10	Heather Fink	3/18/2016 5:27 PM
11	Preston Wheaton	3/18/2016 5:25 PM
12	Jana Wiley	3/18/2016 5:16 PM
13	Helen Wheatley	3/18/2016 4:53 PM
14	Laura Schleger	3/18/2016 4:48 PM
15	Dave Peeler	3/18/2016 4:43 PM
16	Mark Dahlen	3/18/2016 4:40 PM
17	McConkey RCSK:P	3/18/2016 4:37 PM
18	Mike Ramsey	3/18/2016 4:31 PM
19	Robert Jensen	3/18/2016 4:23 PM
20	Jo Sullivan	3/18/2016 4:20 PM
21	Dennis Rosvall	3/18/2016 4:18 PM
22	Cynthia Cook	3/18/2016 4:14 PM
23	Alice M. Curtis	3/18/2016 4:12 PM
24	Sue Patrude	3/18/2016 4:08 PM
25	Bill Foster	3/18/2016 4:04 PM
26	Bill Foster	3/18/2016 3:59 PM
27	Peter Cook	3/18/2016 3:50 PM
28	Deb Nickerson	3/18/2016 3:48 PM
29	Elizabeth Stark	3/18/2016 3:45 PM
30	Hal Bellerud	3/18/2016 3:31 PM
31	Jerilyn Walley	3/18/2016 11:09 AM

32	Greg H. Schundler	3/18/2016 10:54 AM
33	Ben Dennis	3/18/2016 10:52 AM
34	Daniel Einstein	3/18/2016 10:32 AM
35	Ilene Le Vee	3/17/2016 2:02 PM
36	Ilene Le Vee	3/17/2016 1:51 PM
37	Robert H. Krier	3/16/2016 8:28 AM
38	Greg Williams	3/14/2016 8:36 AM
39	LISA RIENER	3/12/2016 11:04 AM
40	Jennifer Davis	3/11/2016 4:48 PM
41	Zena Hartung	3/10/2016 11:49 AM
42	Krag Unsoeld	3/10/2016 10:50 AM
43	Joseph LaValle	3/9/2016 6:10 PM
44	Martin McCallum	3/9/2016 12:15 PM
45	Wynee	3/9/2016 10:22 AM
46	Lisa Belleveau	3/9/2016 10:17 AM
47	Paul Allen	3/9/2016 8:38 AM
48	Greg Schundler	3/8/2016 9:34 PM
49	Dennis Burke	3/8/2016 3:34 PM
50	Denis Curry	3/8/2016 1:12 PM
51	Sue Cummings	3/8/2016 12:30 PM
52	Daniel Einstein	3/7/2016 1:26 PM
53	Matthew Teter	3/7/2016 1:00 PM
54	Lyndsay Stricklett	3/7/2016 11:43 AM
55	Allen Miller	3/7/2016 10:56 AM
56	Barbara Smith	3/7/2016 10:29 AM
57	Steve Pointec	3/7/2016 9:31 AM
58	Jenna Schroer	3/7/2016 9:29 AM
59	Dani Madrone	3/7/2016 8:51 AM
60	Bob Wubbena	3/6/2016 2:23 PM
61	Hayley Gamble	3/5/2016 2:34 PM
62	hayley gamble	3/5/2016 1:38 PM
63	test	3/4/2016 4:11 PM
#	**Email address:**	**Date**
1		3/18/2016 5:57 PM
2		3/18/2016 5:55 PM
3		3/18/2016 5:52 PM
4		3/18/2016 5:44 PM
5		3/18/2016 5:43 PM
6		3/18/2016 5:41 PM
7		3/18/2016 5:38 PM
8		3/18/2016 5:34 PM

9		3/18/2016 5:33 PM
10		3/18/2016 5:27 PM
11		3/18/2016 5:25 PM
12		3/18/2016 5:16 PM
13		3/18/2016 4:53 PM
14		3/18/2016 4:48 PM
15		3/18/2016 4:43 PM
16		3/18/2016 4:40 PM
17		3/18/2016 4:37 PM
18		3/18/2016 4:31 PM
19		3/18/2016 4:23 PM
20		3/18/2016 4:20 PM
21		3/18/2016 4:18 PM
22		3/18/2016 4:14 PM
23		3/18/2016 4:12 PM
24		3/18/2016 4:08 PM
25		3/18/2016 4:04 PM
26		3/18/2016 3:59 PM
27		3/18/2016 3:50 PM
28		3/18/2016 3:48 PM
29		3/18/2016 3:45 PM
30		3/18/2016 3:31 PM
31		3/18/2016 11:09 AM
32		3/18/2016 10:54 AM
33		3/18/2016 10:52 AM
34		3/18/2016 10:32 AM
35		3/17/2016 2:02 PM
36		3/17/2016 1:51 PM
37		3/16/2016 8:28 AM
38		3/14/2016 8:36 AM
39		3/12/2016 11:04 AM
40		3/11/2016 4:48 PM
41		3/10/2016 11:49 AM
42		3/10/2016 10:50 AM
43		3/9/2016 6:10 PM
44		3/9/2016 12:15 PM
45		3/9/2016 10:22 AM
46		3/9/2016 10:17 AM
47		3/9/2016 8:38 AM
48		3/8/2016 9:34 PM
49		3/8/2016 3:34 PM

50		3/8/2016 1:12 PM
51		3/8/2016 12:30 PM
52		3/7/2016 1:26 PM
53		3/7/2016 1:00 PM
54		3/7/2016 11:43 AM
55		3/7/2016 10:56 AM
56		3/7/2016 10:29 AM
57		3/7/2016 9:31 AM
58		3/7/2016 9:29 AM
59		3/7/2016 8:51 AM
60		3/6/2016 2:23 PM
61		3/5/2016 2:34 PM
62		3/5/2016 1:38 PM
63		3/4/2016 4:11 PM
#	**Phone number:**	**Date**
1	360	3/18/2016 5:57 PM
2	253	3/18/2016 5:55 PM
3	360	3/18/2016 5:52 PM
4	360	3/18/2016 5:44 PM
5	360	3/18/2016 5:43 PM
6	360	3/18/2016 5:41 PM
7	360	3/18/2016 5:38 PM
8	360	3/18/2016 5:34 PM
9	360	3/18/2016 5:33 PM
10	360	3/18/2016 5:27 PM
11	360	3/18/2016 5:25 PM
12	360	3/18/2016 5:16 PM
13	360	3/18/2016 4:53 PM
14	360	3/18/2016 4:48 PM
15	360	3/18/2016 4:43 PM
16	360	3/18/2016 4:40 PM
17	360	3/18/2016 4:37 PM
18	360	3/18/2016 4:31 PM
19	360	3/18/2016 4:23 PM
20	na	3/18/2016 4:20 PM
21	na	3/18/2016 4:18 PM
22	na	3/18/2016 4:14 PM
23	na	3/18/2016 4:12 PM
24	360	3/18/2016 4:08 PM
25	360	3/18/2016 4:04 PM
26	360	3/18/2016 3:59 PM

27	360	3/18/2016 3:50 PM
28	na	3/18/2016 3:48 PM
29	na	3/18/2016 3:45 PM
30	360	3/18/2016 3:31 PM
31	na	3/18/2016 11:09 AM
32	908	3/18/2016 10:54 AM
33	360	3/18/2016 10:52 AM
34	360	3/18/2016 10:32 AM
35	360	3/17/2016 2:02 PM
36	360	3/17/2016 1:51 PM
37	360	3/16/2016 8:28 AM
38	360	3/14/2016 8:36 AM
39	360	3/12/2016 11:04 AM
40	360	3/11/2016 4:48 PM
41	(360)	3/10/2016 11:49 AM
42	360	3/10/2016 10:50 AM
43	360	3/9/2016 6:10 PM
44	360	3/9/2016 12:15 PM
45	360	3/9/2016 10:22 AM
46	(360)	3/9/2016 10:17 AM
47	360	3/9/2016 8:38 AM
48	908	3/8/2016 9:34 PM
49	360	3/8/2016 3:34 PM
50	360	3/8/2016 1:12 PM
51	360	3/8/2016 12:30 PM
52	360	3/7/2016 1:26 PM
53	Na	3/7/2016 1:00 PM
54	360	3/7/2016 11:43 AM
55	360	3/7/2016 10:56 AM
56	253	3/7/2016 10:29 AM
57	360	3/7/2016 9:31 AM
58	360	3/7/2016 9:29 AM
59	360	3/7/2016 8:51 AM
60	360	3/6/2016 2:23 PM
61	253	3/5/2016 2:34 PM
62	253	3/5/2016 1:38 PM
63	test	3/4/2016 4:11 PM

Q2 Are you attending as:

Answered: 63 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
a private citizen	**73.02%**	46
an affiliate of an organization	**26.98%**	17
Total		**63**

Q3 What organization are you affiliated with?

Answered: 17 Skipped: 46

#	Responses	Date
1	North Capitol Campus Heritage Park Development Association	3/18/2016 5:57 PM
2	CLIPA, Olympia Yacht Club, SSSS, TMDL	3/18/2016 5:52 PM
3	CLIPA	3/18/2016 5:44 PM
4	DERT	3/18/2016 5:41 PM
5	DERT	3/18/2016 4:43 PM
6	Former Friends of Waterfront; Friends of the Lake	3/18/2016 4:38 PM
7	DERT	3/18/2016 4:23 PM
8	Black Hill Audubon Society	3/18/2016 3:48 PM
9	SSFF	3/18/2016 10:52 AM
10	DERT	3/18/2016 10:32 AM
11	Burbank/Elliot Neighborhood Assoc.	3/12/2016 11:04 AM
12	DERT	3/10/2016 11:49 AM
13	South Puget Environmental Education Clearinghouse (SPEECH)	3/10/2016 10:51 AM
14	CLIPA	3/8/2016 1:12 PM
15	DERT	3/7/2016 1:26 PM
16	North Capitol Campus Heritage Park Development Association	3/7/2016 10:57 AM
17	CLIPA	3/6/2016 2:23 PM

Q4 Different parts of the work plan will receive focus at different times. Please indicate which parts of the work plan you would like to provide input on

Answered: 54 Skipped: 9



Answer Choices	Responses	
Best available science	**61.11%**	33
Hybrid alternatives (something other than strictly "Lake or Estuary")	**59.26%**	32
Shared funding (state, local, federal, & other entities)	**46.30%**	25
Shared governance	**40.74%**	22
Sediment management	**46.30%**	25
Flood mitigation	**38.89%**	21
Other	**0.00%**	0
Other (please specify issue and suggested method)	**59.26%**	32
Total Respondents: 54		

#	Other (please specify issue and suggested method)	Date
1	I like Proviso 1.	3/18/2016 5:55 PM
2	*Sediment management > where to put dredged material. *Other - SLR	3/18/2016 5:41 PM
3	Comments on draft work plan: I can't site specific sections, but I support the conversion the "Lake" into an estuary. This will benefit wildlife habitat and also the aesthetics of this area. It will be MORE interesting than a shallow polluted "lake".	3/18/2016 5:35 PM

4	I am frustrated that this work plan seems to simply be a duplication of work that's already been done. This money and time being spent is doing work that's already been done. Why stall an action? Why spend more money needlessly?	3/18/2016 5:28 PM
5	Clean up trash in lake. Comments on draft work plan: Please remove dam	3/18/2016 5:25 PM
6	Other: Public observer in meetings. Would like to be kept informed. Comments on draft work plan: Is the scientific info to include Milne's work: I do not think that the "reflecting pool" is "historic". History is what was there for thousands of years before the dam. Future management plan - restore Estuary and have it managed by U.S. Fish & Wildlife. Nisqually management would easily include it with their Grays Harbor estuary. Must be shared cost between Port, marinas, state, cities and county for sediment management.	3/18/2016 5:21 PM
7	Best available science: Don't let this get dragged beyond reasonable standards to a degree where it becomes an obstacle to progress; DO use the best science. There is a lot of it already done, and the conclusions are clear. Estuary is best.	3/18/2016 4:56 PM
8	Why are we doing yet another study at tax payers expense? Especially without real public comments that will even influence the design. Their plan is already set - public comment can't really change it at this point. *The hybrid alternative is a horrid idea!! *Share Governance - DES is not the proper agency to be handling this issue. This is a natural system, not a building! Ecology and DNR should be the sole agencies involved.	3/18/2016 4:51 PM
9	Appears to be a logical approach to the budget proviso. However, the Exec. Committee members are waffling on providing resources to the Technical committee. *Losses of habitat that have already occurred due to all in past. *Other: GIS scoping, sea level vise, economic, public and community benefits, state interest under coastal Zone Management Act and State Shoreline Management Act.	3/18/2016 4:47 PM
10	Affiliated with:Former "Friends of the Waterfront" per the Trivo isthmus project. Now a new group on "Friends of the Lake".	3/18/2016 4:39 PM
11	When you get to the point of considering restoration a Hernative designs find an appropriate reference site, e.g. Mud Bay. There is a lot of misrepresentation in the media of what a restored estuary would look like.	3/18/2016 4:34 PM
12	The plan lacks a section addressing legal constraints regarding the various options. Chief among these, in addition to the State Environmental policy Act. Are: the Shoreline Management Act, the Coastal Zone Management Act, the Water Pollution Control Act, and the Rivers and Harbor Act of 1899.	3/18/2016 4:24 PM
13	What are the value, goals and mission which will inform the choice among the options (and their design)? #2 mention some, it a comprehensive list? How are these values and goals identified arrival at? When in the process will these be one option selected and agreed upon?	3/18/2016 4:21 PM
14	I am a retired professional in EIA/SIA and could help and/or advise in this area.	3/18/2016 4:15 PM
15	I appreciate this process of examining the best available science in addition to the other parts of the work plan. This increases the likelihood of choosing a path ahead that makes the most sense. Thank you!	3/18/2016 4:13 PM
16	Sea-level rise impacts; social implications; public access; future generations	3/18/2016 4:09 PM
17	Please take a look at Steve Shanewise's Deli option - A compromise is needed which can appease everyone.	3/18/2016 3:48 PM
18	Comments on Draft Work Plan: Save just the lake & make the Port of Oly pay to dredge it -- most cost effective	3/18/2016 3:46 PM
19	Work plan comments: Timing on Proviso 5 is too short. Proviso 1 should finalize prior to proviso 2 is finished.	3/18/2016 11:10 AM
20	Other: Outdoor recreation for economic development. Comments on Proviso 5: "engage in other related activities which would contribute to reaching broad agreement on the long-term management plan."	3/18/2016 10:57 AM
21	Sediment management on the Deschutes first!	3/18/2016 10:52 AM
22	Sea-level rise	3/18/2016 10:43 AM
23	RE: Lake/Estuary.....I would like to see a hybrid of the two with a public/private management structure to ensure profit sharing enables sufficient/appropriate maintenance, flood mitigation/sediment management and a place that would draw day-tourism as an adjunct to the state capital (which is in dire need of maintenance but I'll talk about that another day).	3/17/2016 1:57 PM
24	Neighborhood imput/ comments from our neighborhood meetings on this issue.	3/12/2016 11:06 AM
25	Combine Lake with Estuary, Estuary should be treated like Nisqually Wildlife Park including board walk.	3/9/2016 6:13 PM
26	citizen involvement	3/9/2016 8:39 AM
27	Economics of Outdoor Recreation and Tourism	3/8/2016 9:34 PM
28	Costs and Public Opinion	3/8/2016 1:14 PM
29	Zebra snails	3/7/2016 1:01 PM

30	Forget the studies, just take the dam out.	3/7/2016 9:34 AM
31	Schedule of Actions by each level of government and phased implementation.	3/6/2016 2:26 PM
32	Public support	3/5/2016 2:06 PM

Q5 Please select the option you think is the best way for DES to engage the public on these issues.

Answered: 42 Skipped: 21





	An open house	A facilitated discussion	A stakeholder presentation	A design charrette (a collaborative meeting where a diverse group of stakeholders come together)	Total
Best available science	**25.71%** 9	**40.00%** 14	**17.14%** 6	**17.14%** 6	35
Hybrid alternatives (something other than strictly "Lake or Estuary")	**26.83%** 11	**34.15%** 14	**12.20%** 5	**26.83%** 11	41
Shared funding (state, local, federal and other entities)	**17.86%** 5	**39.29%** 11	**21.43%** 6	**21.43%** 6	28
Shared governance	**17.24%** 5	**41.38%** 12	**17.24%** 5	**24.14%** 7	29
Sediment management	**22.22%** 6	**40.74%** 11	**3.70%** 1	**33.33%** 9	27
Flood mitigation	**15.38%** 4	**50.00%** 13	**7.69%** 2	**26.92%** 7	26

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Just getting "comments" from private citizens doesn't get the process to making a resolution of the issue. CLIPA has provided a great deal of "new" scientific data that has not been provided/recognized by the DES website.	3/18/2016 5:54 PM
2	*Open house for presentation of "vetted & viable options & alternatives. *A facilitated discussion for all science used to vet alternatives. *A stakeholder presentation after alternatives are vetted and defined. *A design charrette to "refine public access to sit of vetted alternatives."	3/18/2016 5:47 PM
3	A design charette for gathering public preferences giving all a change to reflect upon alternatives.	3/18/2016 5:42 PM
4	3 options - Lake, Estuary, Dual	3/18/2016 5:38 PM
5	All of the above.	3/18/2016 5:36 PM
6	More in depth info for public.	3/18/2016 5:33 PM
7	*a facilitated discussion. *A stakeholder presentation giving equal time to community members to present science, plans, findings, ideas.	3/18/2016 5:30 PM

8	All of the above.	3/18/2016 5:26 PM
9	* An open house, not in this building but where there is parking. * A facilitated discussion for Public Meeting with Q&A, not just posters. *Review of the estuary feasibility study process in a PowerPoint presentation to include the CLAMP recommendations to restore.	3/18/2016 5:22 PM
10	Other: Public Hearing. Let people express principles and values eg. love of wildlife or desire for expanded transportation opportunities, trails, access to waterfront, etc. DNR & Ecology should play their role more forcefully. State has always taken input form local business interests; but is should recognize changing contours of community, values of recreation (not marinas) and concern for wildlife. Sea Level impact not a big concern if there's flood mitigation. The above engagement options all happened with CLAMP already. People are cynical about endless process.	3/18/2016 5:01 PM
11	*A stakeholder presentation (use for - already decided with plan as presented. *Other - Public Hearing - let people express principles and values - pro/anti lake	3/18/2016 4:52 PM
12	*An open house (use for general information and focus topic) *A facilitated discussion (use for generating alternatives, concerns, data gaps, etc. *A stakeholder presentation (use for describing specific proposed by side and science issues. *A design charrette (use for developing consensus on alternatives) *Other Technical Committee meetings should be open to public *(use for need presentation by Ecology on these technical studies and models	3/18/2016 4:47 PM
13	Design charette use for stakeholders, citizens and public comment	3/18/2016 4:41 PM
14	Open house, facilitated discussion and design charrette can all be useful. Too soon to decide use; Need 1-2 open meeting like the one today.	3/18/2016 4:40 PM
15	*A design charrette (use for construction alternatives)	3/18/2016 4:35 PM
16	Public Meetings	3/18/2016 4:24 PM
17	A design charette used for determining public preferences and distributing unbiased information.	3/18/2016 4:19 PM
18	Meet with neighborhood organizations (near and far) to share scientific information and assess local values.	3/18/2016 4:17 PM
19	stakeholder presentation is only useful depending on the format.	3/18/2016 4:12 PM
20	Facilitated discussion used for evaluation of Best Science; Stakeholder presentation used for presentation of proposed options; Design charette used for refinement of options.	3/18/2016 3:52 PM
21	all communication methods apply for discussion with the public	3/18/2016 3:47 PM
22	An Open House for Proviso 2, A facilitated discussion for Proviso 3 & 4, A stakeholder presentation for Proviso 1, and a Design charette for Proviso 2.	3/18/2016 11:12 AM
23	Other: Outdoor recreation for economic development. Open House used for discussion, understanding, transparency Stakeholder presentation used for video record for public dissemination.	3/18/2016 11:00 AM
24	In my experience, what works best is a subject-matter presentation to all.....then breaking into specialized groups charged with reaching consensus and reporting back to larger group.	3/17/2016 2:01 PM
25	The design charrette needs to include public comment.	3/10/2016 10:59 AM
26	open house with option to provide comments via web for those unable to attend	3/9/2016 10:19 AM
27	Public outreach and education beyond the City of Olympia	3/9/2016 8:40 AM
28	Costs and Public Opinion	3/8/2016 1:15 PM
29	Just take the dam out as cheaply as possible.	3/7/2016 9:36 AM
30	Information provided electronically (email) with request to reply on preferences.	3/5/2016 2:13 PM

Q6 Please provide any other feedback/comments you have in the box below. To submit additional materials, or request further information, please email DESCapitolLake@des.wa.gov.

Answered: 49 Skipped: 14

#	Responses	Date
1	The draft plan needs to acknowledge that Capitol Lake is protected by Federal law under the National Historic Preservation Act as the historic reflecting lake as part of the City Beautiful Movement design of the State Capitol Campus by Wilder and White. The tide lock is protected as a part of that historic plan. The draft plan needs to include an analysis of the rechannelization of Percival Creek to restore the only Salmon run that existed in the Deschutes River Basin. The draft plan needs to show the benefit of the tide lock for flood control. The draft plan needs to include the water quality data compiled by Drs. Milne Soule, Ladd, and others at the Evergreen State College that show the water quality benefit provided by Capitol Lake to Budd Inlet. The draft plan needs to include a Capitol Lake Management District made up of the State, Olympia, Tumwater, the Port, and the Squaxin for shared maintenance and financing. (transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 5:59 PM
2	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 5:56 PM
3	Hybrid options ARE NOT necessary. Pursuing them is a waste of resources as DES now has the responsibility to maintain the lake. (transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 5:55 PM
4	Until the alternatives are defined & this design is sufficiently outlined to create a planning level description, the planning level function of the alternatives is not possible. The then alternative should have the supporting science, cost, long term impacts identified from both the State/CLAMP Reports and the CLIPA Reports that have been available to the public and DES for at least a year. When a professional/science report is used, the authors and the reference should be included, along with reviews of the data by other scientists and professionals. Criteria used to select "listed reports" should be written. Rejection of any reports should be based on that criteria and the names of the professional that "rejected" the report along with their professional qualifications. (transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 5:50 PM
5	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 5:43 PM
6	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 5:42 PM
7	Additional contact information: josephlavalle43@gmail.com 360-481-6451 (transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 5:39 PM
8	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 5:36 PM
9	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 5:33 PM
10	Accept the findings of the survey done by the public utilities that shared 70% of resident's support doing what is best for the health of the environment, stop stalling, and make a plan to restore water quality. Address sediment build up in the lake that leads to flood risk. Restore migratory bird paths, and support the outdoor recreation that is abundant in Olympia which would contribute CONSIDERABLY to our local economy. (transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 5:31 PM
11	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 5:26 PM
12	I'm glad that sea level rise is being addressed with this project. CLAMP Partners: Squaxin, Port, City (& state?) were meeting about sediment mitigation. It was not formally addressed as the state closed down CLAMP. (transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 5:24 PM
13	Hybrid alternatives: No. This is a dumb way to try to find compromise. As a historian who has submitted substantial info to the Capitol Committee, I completely reject any claims of an "historic" basis for this. When the diagram was made that was used in the Capitol Committee report, it was about an attempt to build a rode across the waterway (N-South). Don't imagine there was an aesthetic idea behind it. It won't work in terms of circulation anyway. Expensive. Loss of habitat. Shared Funding: Please give far more attention to the construction of a new 5th Avenue bridge. This could become a major and positive part of the project: better bikeway, safer for pedestrians, underpasses allowing connections to trails. SEEK FEDERAL FUNDS CONNECTED TO ESTUARY RESTORATION! Sediment: Certain interests have been subsidized for years - they must now pay their fair share for dredging. SEDIMENT IS GOOD IF DESIGN IS GOOD. (transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 5:13 PM
14	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 4:52 PM

15	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 4:47 PM
16	Other Southlake - Create a bermed walking path from 4th Street to the interpretative center between the SouthLake and middle Lake Fresh Lake on the east side of SouthLake Berm. Estuary on the west side of the SouthLake Berm (transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 4:42 PM
17	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 4:40 PM
18	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 4:36 PM
19	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 4:25 PM
20	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 4:21 PM
21	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 4:19 PM
22	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 4:17 PM
23	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 4:13 PM
24	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 4:12 PM
25	1. Interested in the dual concept. Need more info. 2. You can dredge the Lake or dredge the Port. Sediment has to go somewhere. 3. Think about this. Dredge the lake, deeper water means colder water=cleaner water=no mill flower or scum growing on the warm water. Also colder water is good for fish. 4. Can the Port of Oly help with the costs. Lake dredging will keep the sediment from the port area. (transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 4:06 PM
26	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 4:01 PM
27	(Transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 3:52 PM
28	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 3:49 PM
29	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 3:47 PM
30	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 3:32 PM
31	(transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 11:13 AM
32	I believe an economic analysis of outdoor recreation on multiple scales: state, county and city, will help to contribute toward reaching broad agreement. This economic information is significant. 1. The city of Olympia has one of the worst performing tourism economies in the Pacific NW despite location, amenities and aesthetics. 2. In Thurston County, outdoor recreation generates more employment, 2x economic contribution, and 3x the tax revenue of the Port of Olympia. 3. Washington State has one of the weakest per capita recreation economies in the region. It is 1 of 2 state with NO tourism promotion budget. 4. Thurston County employment has significant representation in sectors that would benefit from increased tourism. 5. An elegant and functional design would increase tourist visitation (see Nisqually, Elwah). Please contact me for sources or more information! (transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 11:05 AM
33	Budd Inlet is the 4th most impaired waterway in the U.S in terms of reduced and disolved oxygen. The single greatest contributor to reduced oxygen levels is the 5th Avenue Dam. Restore the estuary and let the natural processes re-establish. This is the best option environmentally and economically. An estuary also provides the best option for the ability to adapt to sea level rise. (transcribed by TR)	3/18/2016 10:44 AM
34	After years and years of discussion on this issue of a Capitol Lake v.s. the estuary, many in the community are weary. The community discussion, the state money spent on Capitol Lake, in Olympia, is huge. Many in the local neighborhoods are weary of this process, or lack of process. Many in the community are disgusted by the ugly, smelly swamp; that is called "a lake". Many are tired of the lack of leadership on this issue from DES. Many are tired of paying for the Olympia " Yacht Club" dredging costs. Most of us in the nearby neighborhoods do not have a boat. Most of us are tired of the discussion from the Yacht Club, after 30 years of living in Olympia. Many neighbors support the estuary, it is the natural way to clean the area of the New Zealand mud snail (that can infect our pristine mountain lakes). We want the salt water to clean the area. We want the salmon to swim up stream naturally. We want an estuary.	3/12/2016 11:14 AM
35	Add online opportunities to collect input on phases of the studies and plans. Establish a hard date by which enough science has been collected and decision-making begins. This is being over-studied.	3/11/2016 4:52 PM
36	There are a number of reasons that basically make the estuary approach the only option that makes sense. These issues include sea level rise (the dam will be topped by high tides), the age of the dam (it will have to be replaced and a permit to do so will be impossible), and the environmental value of a restored estuary system.	3/10/2016 11:03 AM
37	Combine Lake with Estuary. Estuary should be treated like Nisqually Wildlife Park, a home for wildlife. Include discreet viewing platforms and board walk.	3/9/2016 6:14 PM

38	Step 1 of the draft Work Plan is to "identify and summarize the best available science concerning water quality and habitat as a result of either retaining or removing the dam." Capitol Lake is in clear violation of federal clean water standards. This violation can only be resolved with the removal of the dam followed by basin-wide estuary restoration. Thank you. Martin McCallum. Thurston County Stream Team volunteer.	3/9/2016 12:25 PM
39	I believe that summaries of the science, identification of options with estimated costs and impacts, and discussions around this has been done many times over the last several years. So I wonder why it is being done again; and how this group plans to get to an agreement this time. Or will we all just be back in another 5 years to analyze the new science and options again? I noticed on the plan component #2 that the options are to include a list of things; one being to maintain a historic reflecting pool. Does this mean that the plan of this group is to evaluate options that include a reflection pond only? Or is a full estuary restoration option going to be considered and evaluated?	3/9/2016 10:30 AM
40	DES needs to move this discussion outside the walls of the City of Olympia since all WA State taxpayers fund the project and will pay to try to maintain the Capitol Lake along with the 5th Ave. Dam.	3/9/2016 8:41 AM
41	http://www.slideshare.net/gregschundler/restoring-the-deschutes-river-estuary-olympia-wa	3/8/2016 9:35 PM
42	I could not access the revised workplan on this hotel computer. However, from the format it seems you have continued to bury relative costs of alternatives and methods of gathering public opinion as separate tasks as was laid out in the proviso. They deserve separate visibility. On "Best Science" it is imperative that you provide ALL scientific reports and not just state agency materials. It is also important to includes the CLIPA plan for a Capitol Lake/Percival Estuary as one of the alternatives.	3/8/2016 1:21 PM
43	How will the infestation of zebra snails impact the proposed estuary, and has anyone one considered stocking the lake with sturgeon to help eradicate the snail. Being that sturgeon eat snails .	3/7/2016 1:08 PM
44	The recent scientific work on water quality in Capitol Lake by Dr. David Milne of The Evergreen State College needs to be included in the materials. In addition the proposal to establish a Percival Creek channel directly to Budd Inlet along the Deschutes Parkway needs to be included as an alternative to tide lock removal.	3/7/2016 11:03 AM
45	Take the dam out. let the lake return to it's natural state.	3/7/2016 9:36 AM
46	Let's stop wasting time and money on hybrid options. The damage needs to come out. An estuary will serve our community and environment to improve water quality and increase biodiversity that is key to a healthy and vibrant ecosystem.	3/7/2016 9:35 AM
47	At what point in the process will a decision be made? It seems a bit misleading to continue down a road of evaluating alternatives, knowing that there are other factors that influence the ultimate outcome (Clean Water Act, tribal interests, etc.). Hasn't the Department of Ecology already determined that the "new" science that has emerged to be invalid? Seems like we're running around in more circles, and without a decision on the horizon and mention of other factors that will ultimately influence the decision, it's difficult to trust that this process will results in forward momentum to resolve this issue.	3/7/2016 8:59 AM
48	CLIPA has provide copies of key reports to DES Staff and have made our Website/Library of specific studies available to the DES staff on several occasions. The CLIPA studies and third part analysis from respected professionals and scientists in the community were provided to DES. Do we need to submit them again in a formal manner. They were submitted to Director Chris Liu and Carrie Martin. Please confirm in writing it a full set o the formal reports must again be submitted.	3/6/2016 2:31 PM
49	Will habitat discussion include in and out of the water habitat review? When soliciting public support, please consider contacting neighborhood associations that are nearby and around Budd Bay, providing information at the Olympia library, and posting web addresses by the Lake itself to inform people who live, work and play by the Lake/bay that this process is happening. In the statement on share funding, could you add non-profit and private to the menu of options? Item 3(a) does not make sense to me, it is trying to say something like: 'Identify criteria for including funding options in a future funding model' 4(i) Would this include Puget Sound Partnership? (not sure exactly what they do)	3/5/2016 2:19 PM