

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

**CR-102 (December 2017)** (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

**CODE REVISER USE ONLY** 

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON **FILED** 

DATE: August 24, 2022

TIME: 11:53 AM

WSR 22-17-170

Agency: Department	of Enterprise	Services					
□ Supplemental Not	ice to WSR						
□ Continuance of WSR							
	ment of Inq	uiry was filed as WSR 22-10-063	<u>}</u> ; or				
☐ Expedited Rule Ma	akingProp	osed notice was filed as WSR _	; or				
☐ Proposal is exemp	ot under RC	W 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1);	or				
☐ Proposal is exemp							
Title of rule and othe Capitol Buildings and		g information: (describe subject)	chapter 200-220 WAC Use of the Public Areas of the				
Hearing location(s):							
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:				
September 27, 2022	2 to 4 PM	Virtual public hearing via Zoom	<ul> <li>Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone or Android device: Please click this URL to join. https://des-wa.zoom.us/j/92108193714?pwd=aGNpMlhtRzhq WDlrbmhqVnF5S0FYZz09 Passcode: 189959</li> <li>Or One tap mobile: 8778535247,,92108193714#,,,,*189959# US Toll-free 8887880099,,92108193714#,,,,*189959# US Toll-free</li> <li>Or join by phone: Dial(for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location): US: 877 853 5247 (Toll Free) or 888 788 0099 (Toll Free) Webinar ID: 921 0819 3714 Passcode: 189959 International numbers available: https://des-wa.zoom.us/u/a0mUCHJkD</li> </ul>				
Date of intended ado	ption: Octob	oer 6, 2022 (Note: This is <b>NOT</b> the					
Submit written comn	nents to:						
Name: Jack Zeigler Address: Email: Fax: Other: Online at https: Grounds	//des.wa.gov	r/about/policies-laws-rules/rulemal	king Use of the Public Areas of the Capitol Buildings and				

By (date) 5 PM <u>S</u> e	eptember 30, 2022		
	ersons with disabilit	ies:	
Contact Jack Zeig			
Phone:	<u> </u>		
Fax:			
TTY:			
Email: jack.zeigle	r@des.wa.gov		
Other:			
By (date) Septem	ber 1, 2022		
update of the rule  Align the rule	s is to: s with current RCWs f	cipated effects, including any changes in existing run or clarification and clean-up purposes, and clean up purposes. This includes clarifying that W	
does have de not a prerequ	legated authority from isite, and	DES for enforcement on the campus and that the extra	
		ampus exclusion in the enforcement section.	
access and expre grounds, and civid assemble and exe Patrol. However, Exclusion is an ad misdemeanor. Riq overall public safe	ession of free speech, c education. The Capit ercise free speech is a it is important to under dministrative process to ght now, we don't have ty as a guide in seeki	campus use rules are designed to balance the conduct public and employee safety and welfare, the stewardsh tol Campus is a traditional public forum for free speech a top priority for the Department of Enterprise Services estand that free speech laws do not extend to protecting that can be used instead of citing someone for criminal the the option to use this civil process due to the gap in the ground compliance with rules and laws. Our general approach of voluntary compliance, whenever possible.	nip of the capitol buildings and . Protecting the right to and the Washington State g unlawful conduct.  trespass, which is a ne rules. DES and WSP use
campus over the motivated by conf those working on	past several years, wifilict come to the campithe campus intensifies		safe behavior. When groups
Statutory author	ity for adoption: RC\	V 43.19.125 and RCW 46.08.150	
Statute being im	plemented: RCW 43.	19.125 and RCW 46.08.150	
ls rule necessary			
Federal La			□ Yes ⊠ No
Federal Co	ourt Decision?		☐ Yes ⊠ No
State Cour	t Decision?		□ Yes ⊠ No
If yes, CITATION:	•		
Agency commer matters:	nts or recommendation	ons, if any, as to statutory language, implementatio	n, enforcement, and fiscal
Name of propon	ent: (nerson or organi	zation) Department of Enterprise Services	□ Private
ao or propon	Cita (poroon or organi	Lation, Dopartition of Entorphio Oct vioco	□ Public
			□ I ablic     □ Governmental
Name of agency	personnel responsil	ole for:	
	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting:	Matt Jones	1500 Jefferson, Olympia, WA	360-407-8153
Implementation:	David Rooth	1500 Jefferson, Olympia, WA	360-407-8153

Enforcement:	Matt Jones	1500 Jeff	erson, C	Dlympia, WA	360-742-6	3348	
Is a school dist	trict fiscal impact s	statement required unde	r RCW	28A.305.135?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
If yes, insert sta	tement here:						
		the school district fiscal in	npact st	atement by contacting:			
Name							
Addres Phone							
Fax:	<b>;.</b>						
TTY:							
Email:							
Other:							
Is a cost-benef	Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?						
☐ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:							
Name	Name:						
Addres	SS:						
Phone	<b>)</b> :						
Fax:							
TTY:							
Email: Other:							
		-hanafit analysis is not rac	nuired u	nder RCW 34.05.328. The depart	ment of en	tarnrica	
				. Further, DES does not voluntaril			
				ative rules review committee mad			
applicable to	this rule adoption.						
Regulatory Fair	rness Act Cost Co	nsiderations for a Small	Busine	ess Economic Impact Statement	t:		
		e proposal, <b>may be exem</b> the box for any applicable		requirements of the Regulatory Faction(s):	airness Ac	t (see	
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being							
adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.							
Citation and des	scription:						
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed rule.							
☐ This rule pro adopted by a ref		f the proposal, is exempt	under th	e provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2	) because	it was	
☐ This rule pro	posal, or portions o	f the proposal, is exempt	under R	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that a	apply:		
⊠ RC	CW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		$\boxtimes$	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)			
	ternal government o			(Dictated by statute)			
,	CW 34.05.310 (4)(c)	•		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)			
	corporation by refer			(Set or adjust fees)			
,	CW 34.05.310 (4)(d)	,		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)			
	orrect or clarify lang	uage)		((i) Relating to agency hearings;	or (ii) proc	cess	
,	, ,	<b>0</b> ,		requirements for applying to an or permit)	. , .		
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW							
Explanation of exemptions, if necessary:							
COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY IF NO EXEMPTION APPLIES							
If the proposed rule is <b>not exempt</b> , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?							
☐ No Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated							

☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal economic impact statement is required. Insert	likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business statement here:
The public may obtain a copy of the small contacting:	business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by
Name:	
Address:	
Phone:	
Fax:	
TTY:	
Email:	
Other:	
<b>Date:</b> August 24, 2022	Signature:
Name: Jack Zeigler	<u> </u>
Title: Rules and Policy Manager	