LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS & WASHINGTON STATE PUBLIC PROJECTS

schacht aslani architects

Recent LCCA Policy Development in Washington State

Policy Development Timeline



SHB 1466

Owners encouraged to include energy performance goals and validation requirements in RFPs

Executive Order 13-03

- LCCA primary consideration for building design
- LCCA experience primary consideration for firm selection (D-B-B, GC/CM, D-B)
- E.O. 13-03 effective in 2015-2017 biennium, projects over \$5 million
- Waiver is an option

Process

CPARB LCCA GUIDELINES



CPARB LCCA Guidelines

PROJECT **PRE-DESIGN SCHEMATIC** VALUE ENGINEERING **FUNDING** DESIGN **Evaluate** LCCA work plan Provide LCCA report Establish OPR development options to VE team Identify systems for Planning level evaluations of life Identify high level LCCT analysis. **VE** recommendations performance goals cycle costs. should optimize "Simple Box" energy & life cycle costs & water use modeling functionality **CONSTRUCTION** & **CONSTRUCTION POST** DESIGN COMMISSIONING **OCCUPANCY** DEVELOPMENT DOCUMENTS CxA coordination & Utilize LCCA to select Performance Monthly systems specific systems **Evaluation Plan** performance verification evaluation meetings (PEP) CxA document set Systems start up & Track performance review **Commissioning Plan** testing against OPR & PEP Initial personnel training CxA document set **On-going personnel** review training

2014 OFM Predesign Manual

Life Cycle Cost Analysis

Executive Order 13-03 requires agencies to perform a Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA) for construction of buildings with an area of 5,000 square feet or more. In addition, Section 7039 of the 2013-15 Capital Budget (Chapter 19, Laws of 2013, Second Special Session) requires agencies to develop life cycle costs for any project valued more than \$5 million. These directives ensure that project design teams consider the total cost of owning and operating the building and/or specific system components. The results of the life cycle cost analysis shall be a primary consideration in the selection of a system or building design.

Agencies should coordinate with their OFM Capital Budget Analyst to verify LCCA requirements pertaining to the predesign submittal. OFM has created a Life Cycle Cost Tool (LCCT) to be used for the analysis; the LCCT with instructions can be found at <u>http://ofm.wa.gov/budget/forms.asp</u>.

Building Commissioning (Cx) and Enhanced Commissioning (ECx)



As noted by DES on the Building Commissioning web page, "Commissioning is the process of ensuring that systems are designed, installed, functionally tested and are capable of being operated and maintained to perform according to the design intent." Depending on the complexity of the building or system design, the commissioning agent should be integral to the project team early in the design phase, utilized during construction, and retained through operational training.

The energy and resource conservation benefits of installing high-performing building systems come with the need to provide better training to building operators. Well-trained facility staff will result in reduced utility and operating costs, fewer occupant complaints, and a healthier work environment for tenants. As stated in the Life Cycle Cost Analysis and Energy Efficiency Report written by the Capital Projects Advisory Review Board in December 2013, "A longer post occupancy phase, commonly referred to as enhanced commissioning, is critical to achieve the long-term desired performance outcomes and optimal building operation." Agencies should consider some form of enhanced commissioning services for monitoring building energy performance and additional training to facility staff, as needed, to ensure the building systems continue to operate as originally designed.

LCCT BETA TEST EDMONDS COMMUNITY COLLEGE SET BUILDING

schacht aslani architects

SET BUILDING EDMONDS COMMUNITY COLLEGE



Commissioning

- Pre-Design initiated OPR
- Enhanced Commissioning (1 yr. post occupancy)

Owner's Project Requirements

HIGH PRIORITY

- Achieve LEED Silver
- Target Energy Use Index: 60. Mean Technical College Building EUI: 141.4
- Minimize initial cost: lowest 30 yr. net present value cost

LOW PRIORITY

- Familiar or similar systems: avoid installation of untested systems.
- Natural ventilation: occupants have immediate control of their environment through operable windows.

(2014, September 1). Retrieved February 12, 2015, from https://portfoliomanager.energystar.gov/pdf/reference/US National Median Table. pdf

Shoebox Energy Models

Early massing studies to evaluate daylighting performance and impact upon building energy use index



ELCCA Results

Cost Summary

SUMMARY TABLE											
Alternate	Description	Incremental	Maint.		Annual F	Fuel Cost		Total 50 Yr.	Energy Usage	Estimated	
Number		Cost	Cost	Electric	Gas	Total	Savings	Life Cycle Cost	Index	LEED	
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(kBTU / sq. ft.)	EAC1	
1	BASELINE MECHANICAL SYSTEM	\$0	\$32,000	\$60,147	\$22,116	\$82,263	N/A	\$11,691,246	81	18%	
2	ADD HEAT RECOVERY CHILLER	\$156,400	\$34,400	\$64,482	\$14,730	\$79,212	\$3,051	\$12,052,249	72	26%	
3A	ADD CHILLED BEAMS IN LABS	\$107,180	\$33,000	\$65,651	\$15,488	\$81,139	\$1,124	\$11,895,099	73	26%	
3B	ADD CHILLED BEAMS IN CLASSROOMS	\$2,455	\$34,000	\$60,167	\$16,606	\$76,773	\$5,490	\$11,544,396	70	28%	
3C	ADD CHILLED BEAMS IN OFFICES	\$49,200	\$33,000	\$61,494	\$20,825	\$82,319	-\$56	\$11,824,775	79	19%	
4	DUAL CORE HEAT RECOVERY	\$175,000	\$36,800	\$60,082	\$21,731	\$81,813	\$450	\$12,199,516	80	18%	
5	DISPLACEMENT VENT. IN CLASSROOMS	\$137,600	\$32,000	\$56,892	\$24,447	\$81,339	\$924	\$11,862,195	83	16%	
6	LAB AIR QUALITY MONITORING	\$69,900	\$40,000	\$60,150	\$20,724	\$80,874	\$1,389	\$12,185,006	78	21%	
7A	NATURAL VENT. IN OFFICES & COMMONS	-\$74,650	\$33,500	\$58,725	\$20,298	\$79,023	\$3,240	\$11,564,027	76	22%	
7B	NATURAL VENTILATION IN CLASSROOMS	-\$245,450	\$35,000	\$55,494	\$15,044	\$70,538	\$11,725	\$11,050,749	64	35%	
7C	NATURAL VENTILATION IN COMMONS	\$18,170	\$33,000	\$60,088	\$21,418	\$81,506	\$757	\$11,764,638	79	19%	
HP	HIGH PERFORMANCE OPT (Alt 3B + Alt 7A)	-\$72,195	\$35,500	<mark>\$59,014</mark>	<mark>\$14,732</mark>	<mark>\$73,746</mark>	\$8,517	<mark>\$11,440,039</mark>	66	32%	
RE	RENEWABLE OPTION - PHOTOVOLTAIC	\$96,600	\$35,500	\$58,252	\$22,116	\$80,368	\$1,895	\$11,807,355	80	N/A	
LEED	LEED Baseline	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	98		

WA State LCCT

4	I J K L M N	0	P	Q	R	S	т	U	٧	V	×	AA	AB	AE
1	T (- Primary Filter (Benuires Level 1)		Onen P	rimaru	Filter and Click OK	to Be-filter								
	Office of Einancial Management	1	O Manu	al Speci	al Selection Only (Rec	uires Refilter)								
2	Office of Financial Management		O Maria	a opeci	ar Selection Only (net									
3	Olympia, Washington - Version: 2014-C		Show Baseline Fields and Entered Units (Requires Refilter)											
4	Life Cycle Cost Analysis Tool		O Show	Differen	ces Between Alternat	ive and Baselin	e (Req. Refilter)			60-150 (0-151 (1950 - 1958 - X	0 000503 0		1
5	Alternative 1 Input Page Total Building Annual Utility Analysis \$ 108,704							Water (CCF)	Electricity (KWH)	Natural Gas (Therms)	Diesel/#2 (Gallons)	LPG (Gallons)	District Heat (mmBTU)	1st Year Bldg. Maintenance
6					Annual Utility I	Bill [\$]		\$ 900	\$ 85,688	\$ 22,116	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 31,204
7				Annu	al Utility Consumption	Not Entered Be	low		751,838	22,116	-	-	-	Sum of Below
8				Su	m of Annual Utility Cor	nsumption Below	W	81	319,260	-		-	33-	\$ 33,674
9				815	Total Annual Utility C	onsumption		81	1,071,098	22,116	2	-		Total Maint.
10				Ann	ual Utility Bill÷Total U	tility Consumptio	n	\$ 11.15	\$ 0.08	\$ 1.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 64,878
11					101	92 Ak		8)	G		5K X	÷ (ð 8	* * 3
12	Uniformat II Elemental Classification for Buildings (Building Component List)	REF	# of Units	Useful Life (Yrs.)	Installed Cost (\$/Unit)	1st Year Maintenance Cost (\$/Unit)	Total Component Installed Cost	Annual Water (CCF/Unit)	Annual Electricity (KWH/Unit)	Annual Natural Gas (Therm/Unit)	Annual Diesel/#2 (Gal/Unit)	Annual LPG Gal/Unit)	Annual Dist. Heat (KBTU/Unit)	Remaining Life (Years) of Existing
12	Primary Entries Bolow # of Units	upuet b	a \ Ora h	a a a un tra	dilleofull ifo must be	N-2	(\$ 5)	Entries Balau &		Secolifie Litility Ar	alucia (Concur	nation por Unit) -	Total Building	Component
14	Match Receipe: Filter to Select All & Drag Copy 014,S14 & 114, 6 G14	THUST L	e/0(0D	e counte	a, oserarcire mascoe	7-2	\$26 707 647	Linules Delow I	or component o	Specific Oulity Ar	raiysis (consul	npaon per onia) -	rotarbuilding	
15	A Substructure						\$20,101,041		8					
16	A10 Foundations						-				÷	1		
18	A101001 Wall Foundations	1	94	75	\$652.96		\$ 61.378							
19	A101002 Column Foundations And Pile Caps	1	63	75	\$658.47		\$ 41,484		-					
29	A102005 Raft Foundations	1	761	75	\$576.90		\$ 439,020							
35	A103001 Standard Slab On Grade	1	331	75	\$495.15		\$ 163,894							
39	A103005 Pits And Bases	1	1	75	\$11,025.00		\$ 11,025		2	1				
40	A103006 Foundation Drainage	1	825	75	\$18.22		\$ 15,029			2				
42	A103098 Insulation at slab perimeter	1	825	75	\$5.77	S	\$ 4,764							
44	A20 Basement Construction									1				
53	A202001 Basement Wall Construction	1	2740	75	\$38.00		\$ 104,120			1				
59	B Shell													
60	B10 Superstructure									Ĩ.				
62	B101001 Structural Frame	1	334	75	\$3,503.81	6	\$ 1,170,271							
64	B101003 Floor Decks And Slabs	1	44985	75	\$9.75		\$ 438,491							
70	B101098 Pads and curbs	1	1	75	\$7,350.00		\$ 7,350				1			
71	B101097 Seismic joints	1	144	75	\$75.60		\$ 10,886							
73	B102011 Structural Frame	1	115	75	\$3,340.72	ş	\$ 384,183							
75	B102003 Roof Decks And Slabs	1	25137	75	\$5.50		\$ 138,344			<i>i</i> .				
78	B102098 Pads and curbs	1	1	75	\$11,000.00		\$ 11,000							
80	B20 Exterior Enclosure											1		
82	B201001 Exterior Closure	1	29856	55	\$25.00		\$ 746,474							
83	B201002 Exterior Wall Backup Construction	1	29856	55	\$8.77		\$ 261,762							

LCCT IS A FINANCIAL COST MODEL

- Captures initial, replacement, O&M, & the social cost of carbon
- Requires energy modeling to capture full cost of architectural components

LCCT Executive Summary

Life Cycle Cost Analysis	BEST		
Alternative	Baseline	Alt. 1	Alt. 2
1st Construction Costs	\$ 30,160,607	\$ 30,771,346	\$ 30,185,508
PV of Capital Costs	\$ 43,407,662	\$ 44,118,866	\$ 43,491,357
PV of Maintenance Costs	\$ 3,369,322	\$ 3,323,196	\$ 3,547,375
PV of Utility Costs	\$ 5,203,073	\$ 5,185,738	\$ 4,472,626
Total Life Cycle Cost (LCC)	\$ 51,980,057	\$ 52,627,800	\$ 51,511,358
Net Present Savings (NPS)	N/A	\$ (647,743)	\$ 468,699

Societal LCC takes into consideration the social cost of carbon dioxide emissions caused by operational energy consumption

Societal Life Cycle Cost	BEST		
Alternative	Baseline	Alt. 1	Alt. 2
Tons of CO2e over Study Period	13,745	13,745	11,210
Present Social Cost of Carbon (SCC)	\$ 1,055,300	\$ 1,055,300	\$ 860,732
Total LCC with SCC	\$ 53,035,357	\$ 53,683,100	\$ 52,372,090
NPS with SCC	N/A	\$ (647,743)	\$ 663,267

Baseline Short Description								
Code Minimum Building w/VAV HVAC								
Alternative 1 Short Description								
TPO Roof, Low Flow Fixtures, Recommended Curtain Wall and Window System w/VAV HVAC								
Alternative 2 Short Description								
Code Minimum Building w/High Performance HVAC + LED Lighting								

schacht aslani architects

LCCT Component Group Comparison

BASELINE VS. ALTERNATE 1

Scopario	Component		Present Value of		Present Value of		Present Value of		Total Present Value of		t Procent Souings
Scenano			Capital Costs		Maintenance Costs		Utility Costs		omponent or Group	INCLFICSCIL Savings	
Baseline	Hot Mop Roof	\$	466,526	\$	91,942	\$	-	\$	558,469		
Alt. 1	TPO Roof	\$	670,620	\$	45,816	\$	-	\$	716,436	\$	(157,968)
Baseline	Code Fixtures	\$	205,152	\$	-	\$	52,809	\$	257,961		
Alt. 1	Low Flow Fixtures	\$	208,176	\$	-	\$	35,474	\$	243,650	\$	14,310
Baseline	Carpet + Polished Concrete	\$	1,599,231	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,599,231		
Alt. 1	Carpet + Tile & Terrazzo	\$	1,710,402	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,710,402	\$	(111,171)
Baseline	Base Architectual	\$	1,994,277	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,994,277		
Alt. 1	Alt. Architectual	\$	2,387,192	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,387,192	\$	(392,915)
						Total Net Present Savings					(647,743)

ELCCA vs LCCT

ELCCA LCCT ELCCA - Life ELCCA - Net LCCT - Life LCCT - Net **Energy System Design Option** Cycle Cost Present Savings Cycle Cost Present Savings Primary Building Base Design N/A \$12,321,934 N/A \$11,691,246 \$12,470,341 Alt. 2 Heat Recovory Chiller \$12,052,249 -\$361,003 -\$148,408 \$11,895,099 \$12,379,043 Alt. 3A Chilled Beams Serving Labs -\$57,110 -\$203,853 Alt . 3B Chilled Beams Serving Classrooms \$146,850 \$11,994,686 \$11,544,396 \$327,248 Alt 3C Chilled Beams Serving Offices \$12,406,448 \$11,824,775 -\$133,529 -\$84,514 \$12,861,701 Alt 4 Dual Core Heat Recovery \$12,199,516 -\$508,270 -\$539,767 \$11,862,195 \$12,588,195 Alt. 5 - Low Wall Displacement System -\$170,949 -\$266,261 \$12,797,931 Alt 6 - Lab Air Quality Monitoring \$12,185,006 -\$493,760 -\$475,997 Alt 7A Natural Ventilation in Offices \$12,264,472 \$11,676,296 \$14,950 \$57,462 Alt 7B _ Natural Ventilaton in Classrooms \$11,316,112 \$375,134 \$11,771,350 \$550,584 \$11,764,638 Alt 7C - Natural Ventilation in Commons -\$73,392 \$12,377,665 -\$55,731 \$11,979,026 High Performance Option Alt 3B + Alt 7A \$11,580,697 \$110,549 \$342,907 \$12,417,809 Renewable - Solar Option \$11,807,355 -\$116,109 -\$95,875

DIFFERENCES:

- Residual value methodology
- Discount rate
- Fuel and maintenance escalation rates

Lessons Learned

THE LCCT TOOL

- Standardizes financial assumptions and calculations
- Produces clear 'executive summary reports' comparing alternate designs
- Allows quick life cycle cost analysis of individual components
- Accounts for the societal cost of carbon
- Energy and envelope systems are still the greatest opportunity to save money

DATA IS CHALLENGING

- Available data and LCCA results are tied to project development
- Quality of LCCA improves as the design progresses
- 0&M & useful life data requires a consistent, accessible source

Opportunities

IMPLEMENTATION

- Encourages early evaluation and collaboration between the A/E team, owner, commissioning agent & contractor
- Post-Occupancy commissioning allows for comprehensive follow through

Barriers and Constraints

- Programmatic requirements
- Existing infrastructure & limited operational budgets
- Staff resources & institutional knowledge
- Training programs