State of Washington Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet** GC/CM **Public Agency Project Approval for:** Date: Public Agency: Project: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria Member Determination** Meets Needs Criteria Clarification Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. C. Public body has necessary experience or team: i. Project delivery knowledge and experience ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. **PASS** FAIL Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: Observations/Concerns: Moun

State of Washington Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet** GC/CM **Public Agency Project Approval for:** D/B Date: Public Agency: Dum Project Project: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria Member Determination** Meets Needs Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria Criteria Clarification Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. C. Public body has necessary experience or team: i. Project delivery knowledge and experience ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. **PASS FAIL Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member** Reason for Determination: Observations/Concerns: In Muchael Sels Signature

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) Application Evaluation Sheet **Public Agency Project Approval for:** GC/CM Date: ·2711 Public Agency: Project: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria Member Determination** Needs Meets Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria Criteria Clarification Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. C. Public body has necessary experience or team: i. Project delivery knowledge and experience ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. **PASS** FAIL **Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member** Reason for Determination: DB Consultants hired Observations/Concerns:

State of Washington

State of Washington Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Project Approval for:** GC/CM Date: Public Agency: Project: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria Member Determination** Meets Needs Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria Clarification Criteria Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. C. Public body has necessary experience or team: i. Project delivery knowledge and experience ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. **PASS** FAIL Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: Observations/Concerns:

State of Washington Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Project Approval for: GC/CN	1
Date: Public Agency: Project: PRC Member: A 27 7017 OKANOGAN CO PUD 1 ENLOE HYDROFLECTRIC MIKE D SHINN	3
Project Evaluation Criteria	
Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria	Member Determination Meets Needs Criteria Clarification
Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:	
A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.	
C. Public body has necessary experience or team:	
 i. Project delivery knowledge and experience ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget 	
D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	
E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	√
Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member	PASS FAIL
Reason for Determination: MEETS REQUIREMENT FOR RCW.	
Observations/Concerns:	
Mit, Allina	

State of Washington Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Project Approval for:** GC/CM D/B Date: Public Agency: Project: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria Member Determination** Meets Needs Criteria Clarification Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. C. Public body has necessary experience or team: i. Project delivery knowledge and experience ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. FAIL Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: 204 Ruen, 5 01 Observations/Concerns:

State of Washington Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet** GC/CM **Public Agency Project Approval for:** D/B Date: Public Agency: Project: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria Member Determination** Meets Needs Criteria Clarification Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. C. Public body has necessary experience or team: i. Project delivery knowledge and experience ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. PASS FAIL **Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member** Reason for Determination: Observations/Concerns:

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet** · GC/CM **Public Agency Project Approval for:** 4.27.17 Date: PUD 1 OKANOGAN COUNTY Public Agency: FNLOE HYDROELEGRIC Project: BILL PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria Member Determination** Meets Needs Criteria Clarification Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. C. Public body has necessary experience or team: i. Project delivery knowledge and experience ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. FAIL Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: PROJECT REBUILDINGSTS Observations/Concerns:

State of Washington

Sianature