State of Washington Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet** GC/CM **Public Agency Project Approval for:** D/B Date: Public Agency: Project: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria Member Determination** Meets Needs Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria Criteria Clarification Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. × B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. C. Public body has necessary experience and team: i. Project delivery knowledge and experience ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority X iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience X vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. X **PASS** FAIL Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination:

Observations/Concerns:

State of Washington Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC)  Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Project Approval for:  D/B  Date:  Public Agency:  OKANOGAN CO PUD    Project:  ENIDE HYDROFIECTRIC PROJECT  PRC Member:  VICKI BARRON SUMANN	
Project Evaluation Criteria	
Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria	Member Determination Meets Needs Criteria Clarification
Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:	
A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.	
C. Public body has necessary experience and team:	
<ul> <li>i. Project delivery knowledge and experience</li> <li>ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience</li> <li>iii. Written management plan with clear &amp; logical lines of authority</li> <li>iv. Necessary &amp; appropriate funding and time to carry out the project</li> <li>v. Continuity of project management team with project type &amp; scope experience</li> <li>vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget</li> </ul>	
D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	
E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	
Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member	PASS FAIL
Reason for Determination:  only way to do this project; assemble  feam to perform this specialized project	da good
Observations/Concerns:	eat
Signature Signature	

State of Washington Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Project Approval for:** GC/CM Date: Public Agency: Project: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria Member Determination** Needs Meets Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria Criteria Clarification Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. C. Public body has necessary experience and team: i. Project delivery knowledge and experience ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. FAIL **Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member** Reason for Determination: Observations/Concerns:

Signature

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Project Approval for:** GC/CM Date: PUD # Public Agency: Project: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria Member Determination** Meets Needs Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria Criteria Clarification Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. C. Public body has necessary experience and team: i. Project delivery knowledge and experience ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. FAIL **Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member** Reason for Determination: Observations/Concerns:

State of Washington

Signature

Updated 10/2016

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Project Approval for:** GC/CM Date: Public Agency: Project: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria Member Determination** Meets Needs Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria Criteria Clarification Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. C. Public body has necessary experience and team: i. Project delivery knowledge and experience ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. **PASS** FAIL Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: Observations/Concerns:

State of Washington

Signature

State of Washington
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project Approval for:

GC/CM 😤

Date:	12/1	106	
Public Agency:	OKAN	GADO	pup
Project:	ENLOC	HYDR	-0
PRC Member:	SAL	ES R.	Mx

Signature

## **Project Evaluation Criteria**

Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria	Member Determination Meets Needs Criteria Clarification
Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:	
A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.	
C. Public body has necessary experience and team:	
<ul> <li>i. Project delivery knowledge and experience</li> <li>ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience</li> <li>iii. Written management plan with clear &amp; logical lines of authority</li> <li>iv. Necessary &amp; appropriate funding and time to carry out the project</li> <li>v. Continuity of project management team with project type &amp; scope experience</li> <li>vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget</li> </ul>	
D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	
E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	
Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member	PASS FAIL
Reason for Determination:	
	<i>f</i>
Observations/Concerns:	
Mark the second of the second	·

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) Application Evaluation Sheet GC/CM **Public Agency Project Approval for:** 12.01.2016 Date: OKANOGAN CO PUD 1 Public Agency: ENLOY HYDROFICETRIC PROJECT Project: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria Member Determination** Meets Needs Criteria Clarification Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. C. Public body has necessary experience and team: i. Project delivery knowledge and experience ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. De ben SPOLICATION **PASS** FAIL **Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member** Reason for Determination: 41944 SPRIBLICED PROJECT REQUIRENCE UNIQUE CONTROLOR - DESKAR COORDINGTON ( INCUDING SSENCY INTRUCTION Observations/Concerns:

State of Washington

Signature

Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Project Approval for:** GC/CM Date: Public Agency: Project: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria Member Determination** Meets Needs Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria Criteria Clarification Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. C. Public body has necessary experience and team: i. Project delivery knowledge and experience ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. FAIL Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: Observations/Concerns: Signature

State of Washington

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)