Date:	01/25/24	Approved	X
Public Agency:	Snohomish Regional Fire and Rescue	Denied	
Project Name:	SRFR Fire Stations 32 and 81		
PRC Member:	Timothy Buckley, Private Sector Representative		

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (*Pass if meets 1 of 3*)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology; or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
 - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience;
 - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience;
 - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority;
 - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;
 - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience;
 - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget.
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team are knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Pass

Fail

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:

Meets RWC. Provides public benefit by maintaining fire service and delivering two stations, on tight and one occupied site (requiring innovation for temporary FS facilities), with schedule benefits (bundling, and long-lead equip).

Observations/Concerns:

Fire stations are not so "highly specialized" and although there are always critical health and life safety requirements that always need to be addressed, and some specialty systems, that alone does not justify DB over D-B-B. However, providing temporary facilities and work on tight or occupied sites, and innovative phasing and sequencing work on 2 stations, DB is justified.

Signature

Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Design-Build Project -25-24 Approved Date: lotomist REGIONAL FIRE Public Agency: Project Name: PRC Member: Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: Fail **Pass** A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology; or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience; 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience; 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority; 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project; 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience; 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget. D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team are knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: Observations/Concerns:

Project Review Committee (PRC)

Date: 1/25/26 Public Agency: Snohomish Regional Fire & Rescue		Approved		Х	
		Snohomish Regional Fire & Rescue Del		d	
Pr	oject Name:	Fire Stations 32 & 81			-
PF	RC Member:	Tom Golden			
		Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
		Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets thing procedures:	e require		
٨	Dravidas subs	tantial fiscal honofit or traditional delivery method is not practice		Pass	Fail
		tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.	1.	Х	
ъ.	Public bodies i	may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which st is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the	Х	
		uction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cr ng the construction methodology; or	itical	Х	
	between th	ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficience designer and the builder; or	cies	Х	
100	When the same and the same	savings in project delivery time would be realized.		Х	
C.		as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)		Х	
		ivery knowledge and experience;		Х	
	2. Sufficient of	contract administration personnel with construction experience;		Х	
	3. Written ma	nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority;		Х	
	4. Necessary	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;		X	
	5. Continuity	of project management team with project type & scope experier	nce;	X	
	6. Necessary	and appropriate construction budget.		Х	
D.	_	ild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.		Х	
E.	Public Body ha	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		Х	
	son for Determina	by Committee/Panel Member ation: acilities need for Station 81 makes PDB appropriate.			
Obs	ervations/Concer	ns:			
	None.				
7	Saunan E. Sto	De_			

Signature

Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Design-Build Project

Date: 1-25-2024 Approved
Public Agency: Snohomish Regional Fire and Rescue
Project Name: Fire Stations 32 and 81

PRC Member: Brian Holecek

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

	ernative contracting procedures:	Pass	Fail
A.	Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	M	
B.	Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)		
	 The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology; or The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies 	XX	
	between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.	X	
C.	Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience;	~	
	 Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience; Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority; 	X	
	 Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project; Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience; 	X	
	6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget.	X	
	For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team are knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	X	
Ξ.	Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	X	

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member
Reason for Determination:

Observations/Concerns:

Certainly Some Schedule of logistical Chelherges that weed

He Gardraetor on board early to help with

Signature

Da	ate:	January 25, 2024	Appro	ved	х
Public Agency:		Snohomish Regional Fire and Rescue		Denied	
Pr	oject Name:	SRFR Fire Stations 32 and 81			
PF	RC Member:	Ron Paananen			
		Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
		Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets thing procedures:	e requirer	nents fo	r
			. 1	Pass	Fail
		tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica	ıl.	Х	
В.	Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the		
	in developi	uction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cring the construction methodology; or		х	
		ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficien ne designer and the builder; or	cies	х	
		savings in project delivery time would be realized.	į	х	
C.		as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass ; 1 fail fails all)			
	•	ivery knowledge and experience;		Х	
		contract administration personnel with construction experience;		х	
		nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority;		х	
	2	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;		Х	
		of project management team with project type & scope experier and appropriate construction budget.	nce;	X	
D.	For Design-Bu	illd projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.		x	
E.		as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		х	
Rea	son for Determin				DOM:
Wel	I prepared application	ation. The presentation showed that the entire organization is engage	d and inve	sted in m	<u>aking</u>
the	project successfu	l.			
Obs	ervations/Concer	ns:			
	1 . 0				

Date:	1/25/24	Appro	ved	х
Public Agency	: Snohomish Regional Fire & Rescue	Denie	d	
Project Name:	Fire stations 32 and 81			
PRC Member:	Linneth Riley-Hall			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
	ne Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets thacting procedures:	ne requirei	ments fo	r Fail
A. Provides su	bstantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica	al.	X	ган
B. Project mee	ts qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. s may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)			
	struction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is copping the construction methodology; or	ritical	- x	
2. The pro	ects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficier the designer and the builder; or	ıcies	х	
	ant savings in project delivery time would be realized.	<u>L</u>		
	has necessary experience or team: all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)			
	delivery knowledge and experience;		х	
	nt contract administration personnel with construction experience;	İ	Х	
	management plan with clear & logical lines of authority;		Х	
	ary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;	200	Х	
	ty of project management team with project type & scope experie ary and appropriate construction budget.	rice,	X	
D. For Design-	Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB tear ble in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract		х	
	has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		х	
Reason for Deterr	n by Committee/Panel Member nination: uirements. Progressive DB will help address some of the risks.			
Observations/Con	cerns:			
The RFQ and RFI	schedule is well thought out and not unrealistic.			
Signature				

rubiic Agelicy De	sign-bund Project			
Date: January 25, 2024		Approved		Χ
Public Agency:	Snohomish Regional Fire and Rescue	Denie	ed	
Project Name:	SRFR Fire Stations 32 and 81			
PRC Member:	Traci Rogstad			
Determine that the	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the	e require	ments fo	r
alternative contract			Pass	Fail
A. Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica	l.	X	raii
Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which to est is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the		
in develop	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cri ing the construction methodology; or		Х	
	ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiend ne designer and the builder; or	cies	Х	
Significant	savings in project delivery time would be realized.		Х	
	as necessary experience or team: <i>6 to pass</i> ; <i>1 fail fails all)</i>			
1.7	ivery knowledge and experience;		Х	
	contract administration personnel with construction experience;		Х	
	nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority;		Х	
	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;		X	
	of project management team with project type & scope experier	ice;	X	
D. For Design-Bu	and appropriate construction budget. wild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team or in DB processes & console to everge & administer the contract		X	
	e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		Х	
Reason for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation: nany risks with a fire station build and good to have the collaboration o	of a PDB to	eam	
Observations/Conce	ns:			
Traci Rogota	d 1/25/24			
Signature				

Date	e:	January 25, 2024	Appro	oved	Х
	ic Agency:		Denie		
i ubi	ic Agency.	SNOHOMISH REGIONAL FIRE & RESCUE	Defile	·u	
Proje	ect Name:	Fire Stations 32 & 81 Project			
PRC	Member:	Vicky Schiantarelli			
		Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
		Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ing procedures:	e require	ments fo	r
			. 7	Pass	Fail
		tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical		Х	
Р	ublic bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which t ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	he	х	
1		uction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cring the construction methodology; or	tical	Х	
2	. The project	ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficience ne designer and the builder; or	cies	Х	
3		savings in project delivery time would be realized.		Х	
		as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass ; 1 fail fails all)	L	х	
- 1		ivery knowledge and experience;		Х	
2		contract administration personnel with construction experience;		X	
3		nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority;		X	
4	1952	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project; of project management team with project type & scope experien	100:	X	
5 6	•	and appropriate construction budget.	ice,	X	
D. F	or Design-Bu	ild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team		X	
		e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		Х	
	II Evaluation k	by Committee/Panel Member ation:			
Meets	all the criteria				
Observ	vations/Concer	ns:			_

Criteria extracted from RCW 39.10.300