Date:	1/26/2024	Approved	X
Public Agency:	WSDOT	Denied	
Project Name:	SR 167, I-5 to SR 161 – New Expressway (Stage 2b)		
PRC Member:	Eza Agoes		

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (*Pass if meets 1 of 3*)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology; or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
 - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience;
 - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience;
 - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority;
 - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;
 - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience;
 - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget.
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team are knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

-	Х	
	x	
	Х	
	Х	
[Х	
	x	
	Х	
	Χ	
	Х	
	Χ	
	X X X	
	Х	
	х	
Ī	х	

Pass

Fail

Overall Evaluation b	оу	Committee/Panel	Member
Reason for Determin	ati	on:	

Observations/Concerns:

Just a note for the record (not a concern). In their written application, WSDOT missed responding to the last bullet question of Item 6. I asked them to provide a response to that question today during the Q&A following the presentation. They did, and their response addressed the question.

In summary, WSDOT will utilize their DB contract template and past DB contracts from their years of experience using DB deliveries (including the past/ongoing three progressive DB projects previously approved by PRC) to develop another DB contract for this specific project. They also mentioned they will customize the contract to best fit the needs of this specific project, such as the linear construction i.e., building a freeway as part of this project's scope.



Signature

Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Design-Build Project Approved Date: Denied Public Agency: NEW EXPRESSIVA

> **Project Evaluation Criteria** Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.

DUGAN

- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology; or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)

Project Review Committee (PRC)

Project Name:

PRC Member:

- Project delivery knowledge and experience;
- 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience;
- 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority;
- 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;
- 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience;
- 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget.
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team are knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.

E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	
Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination:	
and the state of t	- Commence
Observations/Concerns:	
Signature	

Pass

Fail

X

Application Evalue Public Agency De	aation Sheet esign-Build Project			
Date:	1/26/205/	Appro	oved	X
Public Agency:		 Denie		
Project Name:	SR 167 to I.5 to SR-161 New Expressionary			
PRC Member:	Jeff Jurgensen			
	Project Evaluation Criteria			
	Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the reing procedures:	quire		
A. Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.		Pass	Fail
	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.		X	
Public bodies	may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the est is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)			
in developi	uction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critica ng the construction methodology; or	-	Χ	
The project hetween the control of the control	ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies ne designer and the builder; or		γ	
	savings in project delivery time would be realized.		X	
C. Public Body ha	as necessary experience or team:	L		
	6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) ivery knowledge and experience;		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
	contract administration personnel with construction experience;		X	
Written ma	nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority;		X	
	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;		X	
	of project management team with project type & scope experience; and appropriate construction budget.		\rightarrow	
	ild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team are			
knowledgeable	in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.		X	
E. Public Body ha	s resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	[Χ	
Overall Evaluation b	y Committee/Panel Member			
Relieva	Alis is coldinal for act of Sunss			
They ha	this is critical for project success.			_
Observations/Concerr	,			
_			lc. 1	Γ
delivery	method.	<u>i</u>	44 0.	7

Project Review Committee (PRC)

Date:	January 26, 2024	Appro	ved	Х
Public Agency:	WSDOT	Denie	d	-
Project Name:	SR 167, I-5 to SR 161 – New Expressway (Stage 2b) Project			
PRC Member:	Karl Kolb			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contractive	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ting procedures:	requiren		
A. Provides subs	stantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	. [Pass X	Fail
B. Project meets Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)		х	
in develop	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is crit ing the construction methodology; or		Х	1
	cts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficienc he designer and the builder; or	ies	Х	
3. Significan	t savings in project delivery time would be realized.		Х	
	as necessary experience or team: <i>6 to pass</i> ; <i>1 fail fails all)</i>		Х	
1. Project de	livery knowledge and experience;		Χ	
	contract administration personnel with construction experience;		X	
	anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority; y & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;		X	
	of project management team with project type & scope experien	ce;	X	
5	y and appropriate construction budget.		Х	
	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	are	Х	
E. Public Body h	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		Х	
Reason for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member nation: W 39.10 criteria.			
- Sationed Ne	vv oo. 10 ontona.			
Observations/Conce				
Important pu	blic comment beyond RCW 39.10 approval criteria was received for WS	SDOT con	sideratio	<u>n.</u>
Vala	Kolh			

Signature

Da	ate:	January 26, 2024	Appro	ved	Χ
Рι	ıblic Agency:	WSDOT	Denie	d	
Pr	oject Name:	Stage 2b New Expressway			
PF	RC Member:	Traci Rogstad			s for s Fail X X X X X
		Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
		Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets thing procedures:	e requirer		
٨	Dravidae aubo	tantial final banefit or traditional delivery method is not practice	.ı [Pass	Fail
		tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.	II. 	Х	
Ъ.	Public bodies	may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the		
		ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cr ing the construction methodology; or	itical	Х	
	2. The project	ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficien ne designer and the builder; or	cies	Х	
		savings in project delivery time would be realized.		Х	
C.		as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass ; 1 fail fails all)			
	V-78	ivery knowledge and experience;		Х	
		contract administration personnel with construction experience;		Х	
		anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority;		Х	
	5	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;		X	
		of project management team with project type & scope experient and appropriate construction budget.	ice;		
D.	For Design-Bu	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB tean in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract		X	
E.		as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		Х	
	son for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation: a and good use of PDB			
Obs	ervations/Conce	rns:			
	Concerns ab	out comments from public regarding WMBE and lack of attention from	WSDOT		
Tr	aci Rogsta	d 1/26/24			
Sign	ature				

Date:	January 26, 2024	Appro	oved	Х
Public Agency:	Washington State Department of Transportation	Denie	ed	
Project Name:	SR 167, I-5 to SR 161 – New Expressway Project			
PRC Member:	Tim Thomas – Bouten Construction			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ing procedures:	require	ments fo	r Fail
A Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical		X	, an
B. Project meets Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the state of the			
1. The constr	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is criting the construction methodology; or	tical	х	
2. The project	ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficience designer and the builder; or	ies	Х	
Significant	savings in project delivery time would be realized.		Х	
C. Public Body ha	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass ; 1 fail fails all)			
1. Project de	livery knowledge and experience;		Х	
2. Sufficient of	contract administration personnel with construction experience;		Х	
Written ma	anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority;		X	
Necessary	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;		X	
Continuity	of project management team with project type & scope experien	ce;	X	
Necessary	and appropriate construction budget.	j	X	
knowledgeable	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	are	Х	
E. Public Body ha	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		X	
Reason for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation: roject that will benefit from the PDB process.			
Observations/Conce	rns:			
The team is very qua	lified and has significant and extensive DBIA and DB experience. App	reciated I	now the	
	the format of the project evaluation criteria form.		<u></u>	
Signature				

Da	te:	1/26/24	Appro	oved	X
Pu	blic Agency:	WSDOT	Denie	ed	
Pro	oject Name:	SR 167, I-5 to SR 161 – New Expressway Project			
PF	RC Member:	Kyle Twohig			
		Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
		Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ing procedures:	erequire		
٨	Dura dalam andra			Pass	Fail
		tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.		Х	
Б.	Public bodies	may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which to est is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	he	х	
	in develop	uction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cri ing the construction methodology; or		Х	
		ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiend ne designer and the builder; or	ies	Х	
		savings in project delivery time would be realized.		Х	
C.		as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass ; 1 fail fails all)		Х	
	750	ivery knowledge and experience;	[X	
		contract administration personnel with construction experience;		Х	
		nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority;		X	
		& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;		X	
		of project management team with project type & scope experien and appropriate construction budget.	ce,	X	
D.	For Design-Bu	illd projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.		X	
E.	Public Body ha	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		Х	.,
Rea	son for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation: ad challenging, PDB delivery appropriate.			
	ervations/Conce	rns: d, and project challenges and phasing would benefit from PDB.			
	NO	Digitally signed by Twohig, Kyle Date: 2024.01.26 11:03:50-08'00'			

Signature

Date:	01-26-2024	Approved	
Public Agency:	WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	Denied	X
Project Name:	SR 167 I-5 to SR161 – NEW EXPRESSWAY PROJECT - PDB		
PRC Member:	ANTHONY UDEAGBALA, AIA		

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.
 Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology; or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
 - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience;
 - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience;
 - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority;
 - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;
 - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience;
 - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget.
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team are knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

	. 400	
	Х	
+		Х
		Х
	Х	
		Χ
	Χ	
		Х
	Χ	
		Χ
[X	
	Х	
Ī		Χ

Pass

Fail

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination:

THIS IS A REQUEST FOR THE PROGRESSIVE DESIGN BUILD PROJECT(PDB) DELIVERY METHOD.

AGENCY DEMONSTRATED KNOWLEDGE OF DB; PDB IS A NEW AREA, NOT CRITICAL AND OPPORTUNITY

FOR GREATER INNOVATION WAS NOT DEMONSTRATED. OVERWHELMING COMMUNITY OPPOSITION TO

THE USE OF THE PDB DUE TO THE AGENCY'S HISTORY OF INCLUSSION. THE AGENCY FAILED TO

ADDRESS THE ALLEGATION OF A FEDERAL CITATION AS SUBMITTED BY THE BUPLIC.

Observations/Concerns:

ORGANIZATIONAL CHART, ITEMS 11 & 12, DID NOT SUPPORT THE AGENCY'S CLAIM OF INTEGRATED AND PARTICIPATORY PROCESS OF INCLUSSION.

Revised 7/27/2023