Final Project Delivery Method Selection Matrix

Project	LICOUT /NCC Sprague Ave. to LOO Draiget	Date:	1/3/2024	
Title:	US395/NSC Sprague Ave. to I-90 Project		F00015S	
Route:	090	PIN:	600015S	
MP(s):	SRMP 282.37-285.59	List any additional PINs at		
Cost:	\$250,000,000	bottom or	attached to this form.	

- Begin with the list of generic considerations offered below; modify or add entries as required. Indicate if the entry is a Project Delivery Goal by checking/selecting the Goal box; if not, leave blank.
- Weights: Enter numbers indicating the relative priority of each Project Delivery Goal (checked/selected)—higher numbers are higher priority—1 is the lowest.
- Ratings: Numbers from 1 to 10, with 1 lowest and 10 highest; a two point range is provided for the generic entries as given. Select the Rating that best fits the specifics of your Project Delivery Goal. If a Goal is modified or rewritten, confirm that the ratings are appropriate and revise them accordingly. Any new Goals added to the Matrix will need to have ratings provided based on the probability of each PDM to meet the Goal.
- Score: Multiply the selected Rating of each method by the priority Weight for each Goal. Total the scores for each method and compare.

		Consideration	Weight	DBB		DB		
		Consideration		vveigni	Rating	Score	Rating	Score
SCHEDULE	│	l Minimize project delivery time			□45		9 10	
	☑ Go	Meet a specific Completion date of 20	030	7	 ✓6 7	49	□ ✓ 8 9	63
	│ □ Go	l Utilize (federal) funding by a certain d	ate		□67		9 10	
	☐ Go	I Effectively manage weather, environr construction windows	nental and/or other		☐ ☐ 6 7		9 10	
	☐ Go	Funding limitations impacts ability to and/or contract all the work early in t biennium, grants, etc.)			9 10		6 7	
	☑ Go	I Timely and effective engagement with to final design.	n the community prior	10	9 10	100	3 4	40
	☑ Go	l Resource availability to deliver PS&E		8	 ✓4 5	40	□ ✓ 7 8	64
COST/FUNDING	☑ Go	Minimize project cost without impact community outcomes	ing expected	7	 ✓89	63	□ ✓ 6 7	49
	☑ Go	I Complete the project on budget		7	 √6 7	49	□ ✓ 6 7	49
	☐ Go	Maximize the project scope and improbudget	ovements within the		☐ ☐ 4 5		8 9	
	☐ Go	Project cost must not exceed a specifi	c amount		☐ ☐ 6 7		8 9	
	☐ Go	Determine the total project cost as east schedule	irly as possible in the		4 5		9 10	
	☑ Go	Meet 3rd Party (Avista, City of Spokar possible impacts in design and constru	•	10	9 10	100	□ ✓ 4 5	50
	☑ Go	l Obligate funds sooner.		3	4 5	15	□ ✓ 6 7	21
	☐ Go							
	☑ Go	Meet or exceed project quality/scope—utilizing opportunities for innovatio	•	5	 ✓6 7	35	□ ✓ 8 9	45

PDMSG 3/02/2017 Version 1

Final Project Delivery Method Selection Matrix

Consideration			DBB		DB			
		Weight	Rating	Score	Rating	Score		
0	☑ Goal	Owner requires control of design to meet specific design and						
R		construction constraints and/or standards (such as	9	8 9	81	5 6	54	
⋖		aesthetics)						
	✓ Goal	WSDOT maintains control of specific project elements (such	9		81		54	
Z		as significant ROW or environmental impacts)	9	8 9	01	5 6	54	
A	☑ Goal	WSDOT maintains control of community engagement	10		90		60	
ST		throughout design in a manner that influences outcomes	10	8 9	30	5 6	00	
,	Goal							
	✓ Goal	Minimize maintenance and operations costs (assume	10		100			
Z		maintenance and operations is not part of DB contract)	10	9 10	100	5 6	60	
0	☑ Goal	Maximize capacity and mobility of improvements	c		42		60	
\vdash			6	6 7	42	9 10	60	
A	☑ Goal	Minimize impacts to the public and/or local businesses	8		56		72	
> 0	<u> </u>	during construction	0	6 7	30	8 9	, 2	
	☑ Goal	Incorporate opportunities for innovation and efficiencies to	9		45		72	
Z		meet specific requirements		4 5		7 8	, _	
_	│	Avoid or minimize impacts to the project through risk						
Z		transfer and innovation (such as environmental risks)		4 5		9 10		
0	☑ Goal	Minimize project permanent area impact (footprint) (This						
—	would be project neutral unless the project is larger and		8	6 7	56	8 9	72	
CT		more complex—then use the ratings ranges provided)		<u> </u>				
Z	☑ Goal	Provide improved accessibilty for multi-modal users (in non-	9		63		81	
		access controlled locations)		6 7		8 9		
Щ	☐ Goal							
Del	ivery meth	od indicated by this matrix \Rightarrow \Rightarrow Design-Bid-Buil	d	Totals—	1065	ı	966	
F	nal Duaisat	Dalinami Mathad Calastad						
FI	Final Project Delivery Method Selected							
☐ Design-Bid-Build ☐ Design-Build								
	uthorizatio							
Project Engineer								
Name: Signature:								
PDE/EM Manager								
Name: Signature:								
Regional Administrator								
Name: Signature:								

Attach project information, assumptions and additional justification to Form

PDMSG 3/02/2017 Version 2