Date: Public Agency:		July 24, 25	Approv	ved	Χ
		BEN FRANKLIN TRANSIT		b	
Pro	oject Name:	Maintenance Shop			
PR	C Member:	Alexis Blue			
		Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
		Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets th ing procedures:	e requiren	nents fo	r Fail
Α	Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica	i. [X	ган
	Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.				
	Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)				
		uction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cr	itical	Х	
		ng the construction methodology, or ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficien	cies		
	between th	ne designer and the builder; or			
_	•	savings in project delivery time would be realized.			
C.		as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)		X	
	1. Project del	ivery knowledge and experience		Х	
		contract administration personnel with construction experience		X	
		nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project	<u> </u>	X	
		of project management team with project type & scope experier	nce	X	
	Parameter School Control Contr	and appropriate construction budget		X	
D.		ild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract		Х	
E.	Public Body ha	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		X	W
	son for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation:			
Obs NA	ervations/Concer	ns:			N
	Alexia C Bl	ue, signed digitally			

Da	te:	7/24/2025	Approved		X
Pu	blic Agency:	Ben Franklin Transit	Denied		
Pr	oject Name:	Ben Franklin Transit – BFT Maintenance Shop Project			
PF	RC Member:	Brian Jewett			
		Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
		Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the raing procedures:	equireme	ents fo	ſ
		,		Pass	Fail
		tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.		x	
B.	Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	;	x	
		ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critic ing the construction methodology, or	al	х	
	2. The project	cts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencience designer and the builder; or	s	х	
		savings in project delivery time would be realized.		х	
C.	Public Body h	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass ; 1 fail fails all)	80361398	x	
		livery knowledge and experience		Х	
		contract administration personnel with construction experience	1	Х	
		anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Х	
		& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project	1 1 1 1 1	Х	
		of project management team with project type & scope experience	,	Х	
	W -2	and appropriate construction budget		Х	
D.		uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	;	х	
E.		as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		х	
Rea	son for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation: group, strong need for PDB, a lot of experience with DB within organizat	ion		
Obs	ervations/Conce	rns:			

	Roedesgaue
>	Bianlevett
Biar	<u>•</u>
Scre	hvS121899904778946909675789904746747479027099

Application Evaluation Sheet

Public Agency Design-Build Project

Date: 7/24/25 Approved

Public Agency: BENTRAND TRANSIT Denied

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

MAINTENANCE SHOP

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.

AVE JOHNSON

- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)

Project Review Committee (PRC)

Project Name:

PRC Member:

- 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
- 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
- 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
- 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
- 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
- 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

/	V	ンンン	~	V	~
-	V	V	~	~	~
	V	~	1	1	1

Fail

Pass

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination:

			IMPLEMEN		TEAM DEMONSION	aed
Observ	ations/Con	ocerns:				
7	01					-

Revised 7/27/2023

Date:	July 24, 2025	Approved	X
Public Agency:	Ben Franklin Transit	Denied	
Project Name:	BFT Facilities Maintenance Shop	The state of the s	
PRC Member:	Jeff Jurgensen	and the state of t	

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
 - The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
 - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
 - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
 - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
 - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
 - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
 - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Pass	Fall
X	
x	
	х
x	
х	
х	
Х	
X	
X X	***************************************
Х	
Х	
Х	
х	
х	

Cail

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:

Met criteria as defined by RCW.

Observations/Concerns:

None after answering written questions and presentation questions.

		esign-bana i roject			
Date:		July 24, 2025	Approved		X
Public Agency:		Ben Franklin Transit	Denie	d	2500000
Pr	oject Name:	BFT Facilities Maintenance Shop			
PF	RC Member:	Art McCluskey			
		Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
		e Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the cting procedures:	e requirei		
٨	Drovidos sub	stantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica	ı	Pass X	Fail
		s qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.		^	
υ.	Public bodies	s may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the	Х	
	1. The cons	truction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cr ping the construction methodology, or	itical		X
	2. The proje	ects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficien the designer and the builder; or	cies	Х	
		nt savings in project delivery time would be realized.		Х	
C.		has necessary experience or team: Il 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)		х	
		elivery knowledge and experience		Х	
		contract administration personnel with construction experience	İ	Х	
		nanagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority		X	
		ry & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		X	¥
		y of project management team with project type & scope experie	ice	X	
D.	For Design-E	ry and appropriate construction budget Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team		X	
E.		ole in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	•	Х	
Rea	son for Determ	n by Committee/Panel Member ination: RCW requirements			
Obs	ervations/Conc	erns:			

Date:	07/24/25	Approved	Х
Public Agency:	Ben Franklin Transit	Denied	
Project Name:	BFT Facilities and Maintenance Shop		
PRC Member:	Yuki Seda-Kane		
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build		
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ting procedures:		
		Pass	Fail
	bstantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical	al. X	-
Public bodie	ets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. The session may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the X	
in devel	struction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is croping the construction methodology, or	ritical N/A	
efficiend	ects selected provide an opportunity for greater innovation or ies between the designer and the builder; or	Х	
20 2	ant savings in project delivery time would be realized.	X	
	has necessary experience or team: all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)	X	
Operation and Section 1996 (1997) (1997) (1997)	delivery knowledge and experience	X	
	nt contract administration personnel with construction experience	X	
	management plan with clear & logical lines of authority	X	_
	ary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project	nce X	
	ity of project management team with project type & scope experie ary and appropriate construction budget	Tice X	
D. For Design-	Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB tear able in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract	n is Y	
	has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	N/A	
Reason for Determing Weneha Group will I	oe a good asset to supporting the oversight and management of the PDE		
	reciate that they are a Native—owned business that has great experience		
locally with practical	experience from design through construction. This is a straightforward to	type of project for I	PBD.
-			
Observations/Conce	erns:		
Excellent percentage	e of WBE/DBE utilization for PM/CM.		

Yoke Sida-Kone

Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet** Public Agency Design-Build Project Date: Approved FRANKLIN TRANSIT Public Agency: Denied Project Name: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria** Design-Build Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: **Pass** Fail A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical X in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies X between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: GROWTH IN APMINISTRATION REQUIRES UPDATED CURRENT FACILITY OUTDATED, DESIGN TO BUDGET" Observations/Concerns:

Revised 7/27/2023

Date:	7/24/2025	Appro	ved	Х
Public Agency:	Ben Franklin Transit Deni		ed	***************************************
Project Name:	BFT Maintenance Shop			
PRC Member:	Lance Thomas			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets thing procedures:	e require	ments fo	r Fail
A. Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica	al.	х	
B. Project meets Public bodies total project co	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which set is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the		
in develop 2. The project between the	uction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cring the construction methodology, or ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficience designer and the builder; or		х	
	savings in project delivery time would be realized.	ļ		
	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)		x	
 Project del 	ivery knowledge and experience		х	
	contract administration personnel with construction experience		X	
	nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		X	
1070	of project management team with project type & scope experie	nce	X	
	and appropriate construction budget		Х	
D. For Design-Bu	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract	n is	х	
E. Public Body ha	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		х	
Reason for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation: ghtforward Application that meets the criteria			
Observations/Concer	ns:			
Jan / h	íau-			_
Signature				