

Location: Virtual via ZOOM

May 22, 2025

Members Attending:

Jessica Murphy, Owner - Cities (<i>Chair</i>)	Dave Johnson, General Contractors (<i>Vice Chair</i>)
Eza Agoes, Owner Transit	Art McCluskey, Owner General Public
Becky Barnhart, Design Industry Architects	Bret Miche, General Contractors
Alexis Blue, Owner Higher Education	Heather Munden, Owner Ports
Garett Buckingham, Owner Public Hospitals	Jeannie Natta, Owner Higher Education
Lisa Corcoran, Owner General Public	Mike, Pellitteri, Specialty Subcontractors
Marvin Doster, General Contractors	Traci Rogstad, Owner Higher Education
Jim Dugan, Construction Managers	Young Sang Song, Disadvantaged Businesses
Thomas Golden, Design Industry Architects	Kevin Thomas, Construction Trades/Labor
Jeff Gonzalez, Owner State	Lance Thomas, Specialty Subcontractors
Gina Hortillosa, Construction Managers	Kyle Twohig, Owner – Counties
Jeff Jurgensen, Construction Manager	Anthony Udeagbala, Minority/Women-Owned Business
Karl Kolb, Design Industry Engineers	Taine Wilton, Owner School Districts

8:00 am BUSINESS MEETING

Chair: Jessica Murphy\Dave Johnson; Full Committee called; 26 members attended with 7 members absent. Chair Murphy called the Business meeting to order at 8:00 am and welcomed the whole committee. Today is her last meeting as PRC Chair and wished Dave Johnson the best as he steps into the Chair role.

- PRC Updates:
 - 6 members have been reappointed: Jessica Murphy, Eza Agoes, Mike Shinn, Mallorie Davies and Kevin Thomas.
 - 5 members are outgoing, and she took a moment to thank each one: Marvin Doster, Young Sang Song, Vicky Schiantarelli, Timothy Buckley, and Kyle Twohig.
 - There are 5 new members who will officially start in July: Joshua Cheatham, Brandi Colyar, Tamara Hartner, Brian Jewett, and Yuki Seda-Kane.
- Mentorship Check-in
 - Vice Chair Johnson asked for volunteer mentors for the 5 incoming members. Jeannie Natta, Jim Dugan, Kevin Thomas, Taine Wilton and Eza Agoes all volunteered.
- PRC Vice Chair Election:
 - Eza Agoes submitted a Letter of Interest and Chair Murphy asked her to share some context of her interest.
 - Eza is the newly reappointed PRC Owner-Transit representative and the project that has consumed much of her time over the last year has finally opened and she now has more time to commit to the PRC. She has 25+ years in the construction industry, 16 of which were in the private sector and the last 10 years has been in the public sector. She feels her background positions her well to counterbalance the incoming private sector Chair Dave Johnson.
 - Tain Wilton nominated Eza as Vice Chair and Jim Dugan seconded the nomination. The whole committee voted to accept Eza Agoes as the new Vice Chair.
- Bylaws Subcommittee – Jim Dugan
 - Jim admits that he has not had time to focus on the Bylaws subcommittee. The intent is to review the whole bylaws document to ensure they are current, relevant, uses current terminology, reflects how the PRC realistically operates, ensure they adequately reflect the RCWs, and to make recommendations where needed.
 - Jeff Jurgenson suggested Jim make a review of the document when he has time and focus to do so, and then his recommendations can be sent to the subcommittee. Jim agreed this option could work for him and they could aim to have a viable draft for the PRC to review by the September meeting. (Jeff Jurgensen, Jessica Murphy)
- The Department of Enterprise Services, who provides administrative support to CPARB and the PRC, is trying to gather information regarding access to their website.
 - When the website was updated in 2022, locating and accessing PRC and CPARB information became immensely difficult without direct help from Talia. She has created several processes to ensure everyone who needed access could get where they needed to go.
 - Talia will be reminding everyone frequently to take the online survey and extra questions to help provide feedback on accessibility this week.

Minutes by Talia Baker and Monique Martinez

- DBE Application Review Subcommittee – Young Sang Song
 - Young has been reviewing the current examples and will be handing this mini project over to Catina Patton since this is his last PRC meeting. Catina will work with the incoming DBE individuals to finalize some examples.
 - Chair Murphy recalls a conversation at the last meeting regarding data collection and reporting, and the challenges in relation to the multiple systems where the data lives.
 - Young has been working with some larger clients on data collection the challenge appears to be not what is reported, but where it is reported amongst the various data collection systems, and then how to extract that information in a way that is meaningful.
- Other Business
 - Chair Murphy shared the Potential Violations (PV) form feedback. She's been working on the first one and it's regarding self-performed work by the GC/CM and the definition of 'customarily self-performed work' via the statute. She would like to hear the experiences other members have had to include the combining of multiple scopes. What's the practice you've witnessed? What worked well? etc.
 - Marvin shared that he has noticed companies who self-perform concrete work, would bid on that work and then end up packaging a structure including rebar and structural steel (etc.) which they may not self-perform but subcontract out for. This has become common practice and sometimes includes other scopes. The reality is that it allows them to control the project better and also gives them the opportunity to earn more money under the self-perform umbrella.
 - Jim shared his perspective of best practices in an owner's project management role, it is critically important to know who the general contractor is and what work they have historically done before getting into the discussion regarding self-performed work. Secondly, having work that is relatable and makes sense to be packaged together. As an owner's project manager, it's important to intentionally be involved in the discussions on what does and does not make sense when putting bid packages together. The owner, the builder and the design team need to meet all together and agree on what those packages will look like in advance which ultimately reduces surprises. This will help reduce the number of bid packages that are so complex there won't be anyone available to compete for the work. Since the market is constantly changing and is currently softening, general contractors are trying to do more self-performed work verses subcontracting. The issue of 'customarily self-performed work' needs to be more defined and confined in an intentional way.
 - Kyle added he has seen an increased use of the 'kitchen sink package' which includes a bunch of small scopes of work with a low dollar value and are so small they are a hassle to bid out. From a project management, coordination and scheduling component, there is an efficiency to having a miscellaneous type of package agreement with the GC. Ask during procurement what the GC is willing to do. Still have the packaging discussion but go into it knowing what the GC is willing to take on.
 - Lance also as seen packages with steel and concrete combined or other packages that don't make sense and appear to be sculpted towards a specific contractor. He agrees with Kyle that it's best to know what they will self-perform upfront and what they prefer to bid out. The topic should be an open discussion with the whole team to avoid surprises.
 - Jeff J. shared that OAC prefers to intentionally ask during procurement what types of packages the GC prefers to bid out. The weirdness comes in when the GC creates a 'wrap-up package' that has a bunch of miscellaneous scopes.
 - Heather noted that there is an RCW requirement the contractor is supposed to share what they usually bid on.
 - Dave shared that not all GCs are focusing on personal gain. In the end the focus needs to be on the best value for the owner, for the project and then lastly the contractor. The process isn't black and white, but it does take thoughtfulness and intention.
 - Taine noted it's also important when you have multiple GC/CM projects, to make sure your GC/CMs keep an eye on the schedule, so they are not competing with each other on the same projects at the same time. There isn't a lot of value if you have the same contractors bidding on all your projects at the same time. She asks her GC/CMs to work together to coordinate their schedules so they will have a room full of the appropriate representatives. They then hammer out the bid schedule to ensure there is the best value for the owner.
 - Chair Murphy acknowledges the need for balance between smaller bid packages to allow for smaller firms to be involved and the larger packages to gain the best value for the project.
 - Chair Murphy asked if there were any additional panel assignments that needed to be filled? There weren't any.

She also reminded panelists to review the Q&A documents prior to the panel review which should help to streamline the Q&A during the review.

- Jeff J. emphasized the importance of asking pre-questions. It helps the applicant be better prepared for their review and recognizes the time and thought it takes to put these applications together. Be sure to pre-read the applications to better understand the project before jumping into the review.
- Talia reminded the committee that there will be 2 separate meetings running concurrently during the afternoon and it will be important to take an extra moment to ensure you are in the correct meeting.
- Talia also shared that CPARB created a Construction Cost Escalation (for the small works roster) Committee who will be discussing the potential for threshold increases and the potential impacts.

9:00 am LAKE WASHINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT
– GC/CM Recertification

Chair: Dave Johnson; **Full Committee Called** 21 members, 4 recusals and 1 absent

- The Lake Washington School District covers 76 sq miles, has 33 elementary schools, 14 middle schools and 8 high schools with an enrollment of 30,654 students.
- Have 2 GC/CM projects underway and have completed 4 projects since last recertification without any overruns, delays or disputes.
- The district has staff with extensive experience managing GC/CM projects and are setup for success.
- The district's approach to subcontractor outreach focuses on creating opportunities for small-, minority-, women-, and veteran-owned businesses to compete effectively and contribute to projects by holding several outreach events each year.
- Have exceeded inclusion goals on completed projects.

Lessons Learned:

Procurement Process Improvements:

- The district made several changes to the GC/CM procurement process based on industry and contractor feedback to include formatting changes similar to higher education groups (such as WSU and UW) utilizing a double sided 11x17" Statement of Qualifications.
- This change streamlines responses from perspective bidders and District evaluations by creating efficiency when comparing qualifications across multiple bidders and providing additional time for interactive meeting preparations.
- They Invite other owners to observe or participate in the procurement process to gain experience in alternative delivery procurement and share process templates and lessons learned with other local school districts and public agencies throughout western Washington and Oregon.

Contract Updates:

- Continual updates to GC/CM contracts and the Cost Responsibility Matrix provides as much clarity as possible regarding how costs are categorized (NSS vs SGCs, etc.). This ensures bids are "apples to apples" and provides consistency in how costs are tracked across projects for future forecasting.

Independent Auditing:

- Conduct independent audits for all alternative delivery projects. This provides transparency and consistency.

Public Comments:

Heidi Buchberger with **Lydig Construction**. Over the past 25 years, they have worked on numerous projects, including GC/CM and progressive Design-Build under RCW 39.10. With over 150 GC/CM and DB projects completed, we consider Lake Washington's administration, understanding and overall approach to be among the best. In our opinion they are fully deserving of this recertification, and we formally offer our support.

Deliberation:

Bundling these projects and being intentional in their decisions in team development, is the best way to build successful projects. This presentation was delivered well prepared, intentional, well thought-out. Their delivery models have been refined, their lessons learned have continued to evolve, and their wiliness to share those lessons learned are admirable. They clearly have well trained staff and need to be cautious about stunting their internal ingenuity by continuing to hire consultants to do the work their staff are clearly capable of. This Owner is clearly a leader in the industry.

Conclusion:

Jim Dugan made the motion to approve the recertification application. Jeannie Natta seconded the motion. Approved 20/21; Jessica Murphy undecided

10:00 am ALMIRA SCHOOL DISTRICT – PROGRESSIVE DESIGN-BUILD
– *Transportation Building Replacement Project*

Panel Chair: Taine Wilton

Panel: Eza Agoes, Becky Barnhart, Alexis Blue, Jim Dugan, Art McCluskey, Lance Thomas, and Anthony Udeagbala

- Project Cost: \$2,584,000
- Current facility is aging and failing so a pre-engineered metal building which is durable, cost-effective, and can be quickly assembled. The building will include bus maintenance areas, secure storage, and modern administrative spaces.
- Funding via Capital Project dollars from the district's Capital Project Fund. These funds are residual insurance reimbursement funds resulting for the 2021 Almira School Fire Replacement project.
- Team has been augmented with qualified consultants.
- Project meets RCW requirements for Design-Build.

Public Comments: *No Public Comments*

Deliberation:

This project is well thought out with a good presentation. The panel recommended giving as much time as possible to potential contractors over the summer as they are frantic with the small projects right now. Progressive Design-Build is the best delivery method for this project

Conclusion:

Jim Dugan made the motion to approve the project application. Becky Barnhart seconded the motion. Unanimous Approval 8/8

11:00 am NORTH KITSAP SCHOOL DISTRICT – GC/CM (NO ASSP)
– *District-Wide Safety, Security, and Equity Improvements Project*

Panel Chair: Gina Hortillosa

Panel: Becky Barnhart, Jeff Gonzalez, Bret Miche, Jeannie Natta, Young Song, Kevin Thomas, and Taine Wilton

- Project Cost: \$22,800,000
- The scope includes safety & security and programs equity improvements including secure entry vestibules and site circulation improvements, and some facility improvements for equitable entry facility programming to include two minor additions and systems improvements.
- Funding provided by successful passage of a Capital Levy in November 2024. The project will be fully funded by October 2026. The district has adequate existing reserves to ensure there are no cash flow constraints.
- Team has good experience and excellent support.
- Project meets RCW requirements for GC/CM.

Public Comments:

Curt Gimmestad with *Absher Construction*. Absher is a general contractor practitioner of GC/CM and would like to express their support to the PRC panel for this project to be approved. He has known Louise for close to 20 years and expect his team with the district and OAC to be able to deliver and surpass the expectations of this particular delivery model to meet the expectations of their constituents in the district. They are in full support of this project as a general contractor.

Paul Churchill with *Abbott Construction*. They are a general contractor firm. Their experience with working on multiple sites using the GC/CM process lends itself to coordinating the work, planning the budgets, and with what they're talking about equity between the schools, making sure school operations are not interrupted by maximizing the summertime; they can really ensure everything is well coordinated by having the GC/CM on board. Abbott supports the use of GC/CM for this project.

Deliberation:

The applicant gave a clear presentation, and this complex project well thought out. The panel felt this was a perfect use of GC/CM, appreciated seeing the inclusion goals, they have gained the public trust and the project meets the RCW.

Minutes by Talia Baker and Monique Martinez

Conclusion:

Taine Wilton made the motion to approve the project application. Bret Miche seconded the motion.

Unanimous Approval 7/7

12:00 pm LUNCH

12:30 pm KING CO. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES & PARKS, WASTEWATER TREATMENT DIV.
– **GC/CM HEAVY CIVIL**
– *Mouth of Duwamish Combined Sewer Overflow Wet Weather Treatment Station Project*
– *ASSP for EC/CM, MC/CM, Specialty Equipment, and Structures Subcontractor*

Panel Chair: Marvin Doster

Panel: Lisa Corcoran, Thomas Golden, Karl Kolb, Jessica Murphy, Traci Rogstad, Young Song, and Lance Thomas

- Project Cost: \$2,011,150,000
- New 240 MGD capacity wet weather treatment station, which operates intermittently, and provides physical treatment steps (screening, solids removal and disinfection) during heavy storms to prevent untreated overflows into the east waterway at the mouth of the Duwamish River. The scope includes the demolition of existing structures and foundations, shoring and excavation work, and soil management (including disposal of contaminated soils, ground improvements, and tremie slabs. The scope also includes ballasted sedimentation, UV disinfection, solids handling, and odor control systems.
- Funding was appropriated for concept design in recent budget cycles. Additional appropriation is being requested for the upcoming biennium in the current and future budget cycles for remaining funds. It is expected that funds will be appropriated after the selection of the GC/CM and well before the completion of design and commencement of construction. The King County Council approved the Executive Branch's decision to sign the Consent Decree, thereby signaling its commitment to completing the program as a major component of fulfilling the Consent Decree requirements. The project will explore federal and state funding program opportunities to support this project. These may include, but are not limited to, WIFIA loans from the EPA and SRF loans from WA Ecology.
- Team has been augmented with qualified consultants.
- Project meets RCW requirements for GC/CM.

Public Comments: *No Public Comments*

Deliberation:

The panel expressed concern about the length of this mega project stretching capacity of the GC/CM, and how the liability and risk for both the owner and the GC gets resolved over such a long-term schedule. While the long-term contracts for this type of project and complexity are not unusual, there just isn't a better way to be successful than to maximize on GC/CM. This project is just one project of four total mega heavy civil projects for King County.

Conclusion:

Marvin Doster made the motion to approve the project application. Traci Rogstad seconded the motion.

Traci Rogstad made the motion to approve all 4 ASSP. Thomas Golden seconded the motion.

Approved 6/8; Jessica Murphy and Lisa Corcoran had reservations

1:30 pm CITY OF KENT – GC/CM (NO ASSP)
– *Municipal Campus Relocation Project*

Panel Chair: Jeff Jurgensen

Panel: Marvin Doster, Karl Kolb, Art McCluskey, Jessica Murphy, Heather Munden, Jeannie Natta, and Anthony Udeagbala

- Project Cost: \$48M
- The first phase involves the renovation of 80,000 sqft 2-story building into a new administrative services building, which will house approximately 350 employees, including the mayor, administrative staff, and various city staff. This effort includes a full remodel of both floors, overhaul of the existing data center, renovation to the site, and upgrades to security/technology/audiovisual equipment, with staff relocating from multiple sites, primarily the current City Hall and the adjacent Centennial Building.
- The second phase focuses on relocating the Kent Police Department headquarters and a 7,000-sqf council chamber. Both will be housed within the four-story Centennial Building, which will undergo renovation across all floors, the

Minutes by Talia Baker and Monique Martinez

parking garage, and the surrounding site. The first floor will be dedicated to the new council chamber and public facing police functions, while the remaining space will be designed to meet the operational and security needs of the Kent Police Office.

- Project is partially funded now and will be fully funded via bond issuance on or around August 15, 2025.
- Team has been augmented with qualified consultants.
- Project meets RCW requirements for GC/CM.

Public Comments: *No Public Comments*

Deliberation:

The panel didn't feel that their Q&A questions were fully answered, but the applicant did have several team members who fully understood what needed to be accomplished on this project. This is their first GC/CM project and they have a good support team to help them figure out how to get past the bumps that will surely happen. Overall, the panel was confident the city will be successful.

Conclusion:

Anthony Udeagbala made the motion to approve the project application. Jeannie Natta seconded the motion.

Unanimous Approval 8/8

1:30 pm GRANT COUNTY – GC/CM (NO ASSP)
– Grant County Coroner's Office Project

Panel Chair: Young Sang Song

Panel: Garrett Buckingham, Lisa Corcoran, Jim Dugan, Thomas Golden, Dave Johnson, Traci Rogstad, and Kyle Twohig

- Project Cost: \$7,141,400
- Scope is to build an approximately 10,000 sqft new coroner's office and morgue. Space will include a two-bay autopsy suite, full body x-ray and separate large bore CT Scanner, drive through sallyport, freezers/coolers, staff space, viewing room and family meeting room. Space will need to be designed and sited to allow for future flexibility for growth due to lack of staff and facilities in the surrounding counties.
- The bond for the project is currently being funded for the project in the amount of \$7.17 million. All funding has been approved and allocated by the county commissioners.
- Team has been augmented with qualified consultants.
- Project meets RCW requirements for GC/CM.

Public Comment:

Eric Peterson *with Swinerton Builders*. Based on his experience with a time-sensitive and budget-sensitive project like this, GC/CM is the most effective way to deliver a project of this nature. He supports this project.

Deliberation:

The panel felt this was a great presentation and the applicant was very clear on their commitment to a successful project. Alternative delivery was the best option for this project, they gathered a great team and are applying lessons learned with intention. The outreach efforts were well done and calls for creativity due to the challenges of their region.

Conclusion:

Lisa Corcoran made a motion to approve the project application and seconded by Kyle Thomas.

Unanimous Approval 8/8

2:30 pm ENERGY NORTHWEST – PROGRESSIVE DESIGN-BUILD
– Generator Assembly Building Project

Panel Chair: Jeff Gonzalez

Panel: Jeff Jurgensen, Karl Kolb, Bret Miche, Heather Munden, Jessica Murphy, Lance Thomas and Anthony Udeagbala

- Project Cost: \$4.57M
- The new building will be a clear-span, pre-engineered metal structure designed to accommodate large-scale generator assembly activities with a footprint of approximately 220' x 75' x 55'. This building will have roll-up doors, personnel access points, restrooms, and optional breakroom\office space.
- Facility will include an adjacent laydown area and be positioned to streamline transportation between storage, assembly, and installation zones.

- Project is self-funded by Energy Northwest through the Lifecycle Management Plan, Capital Improvement funds.
- Team has been taking DBIA training and is augmented with qualified consultants.
- Project meets RCW requirements for Design-Build.

Public Comments: *No Public Comments*

Deliberation:

Owner did a great job clearly showing the project Meets RCW, fits the delivery method; they have a good team with capacity for success.

Conclusion:

Karl Kolb made the motion to approve the project application. Anthony Udeagbala seconded the motion.

Unanimous Approval 8/8

2:30 pm COWLITZ PUBLIC FACILITIES DISTRICT – PROGRESSIVE DESIGN-BUILD
– *Cowlitz County Event Center Expansion Phases 1 & 2 Project*

Panel Chair: Kyle Twohig

Panel: Garrett Buckingham, Lisa Corcoran, Thomas Golden, Gina Hortillosa, Dave Johnson, Young Sang Song, and Kevin Thomas

- Project Cost: \$38.1M
- Phase 1 includes renovation/upgrades and expansion of the existing regional conference center facility. Renovations include improvements to foodservice/catering facilities; upgrading interior finishes, improvements to technology, AV and communications systems, improvements to safety and accessibility; improvements to the Expo Hall HVAC; and improvements to signage and wayfinding. The expansion piece will include 3,000 sf additional breakout space to accommodate varying sizes of meetings and conferences.
- Phase 2 includes a new multi-purpose facility. This will entail construction of a new 40,000 sf multi-purpose facility designed to accommodate flexibility for large and small groups ranging from trade shows to sporting events to training and community events. Part of the design will accommodate support spaces like formalized pre-function/concourse space, prep kitchen, public restrooms, meeting space, storage, and loading/unloading and staging areas.
- Phase 1 is funded by existing Cowlitz PFD reserves and the issuance of a new bond funded by the Cowlitz PFD's sales and lodging tax revenues through 2042.
- Phase 2 funding is expected from Federal and State grants/appropriations, business sponsorships, funding proceeds, and PFD bonds paid back by annual PFD sales tax and lodging revenues.
- Team has been augmented with qualified consultants.
- Project meets the RCW requirements for Design-Build? Yes/No

Public Comment: *No Public Comments*

Deliberation:

The panel was pleased with the inclusion efforts and goals. One member was pleased to hear the applicants' thoughtfulness towards apprenticeships. Communication clarity will be very important especially with phase 2 since they are relying heavily on local voter support in the future.

Conclusion:

Young Sang Song made a motion to approve this project application and seconded by Kevin Thomas.

Unanimous Approval 8/8

3:30 pm ADJOURN

Guests:

Aberg, Dove	Klein, Mica; Legal Counsel, Perkins Coie
Baca, Joe	Krona, Ema
Balzarini, Cynthia; Proj. Cont. OAC Services	LaPlante, Christopher; Energy NW
Barlow, Ben	Larson, Mattson; Kiewit
Beaudine, David; Turner Townsend Heery	Lazar, Lisa; DLR Group
Belanich, Andrea	Levenhagen, Brian; City of Kent

Minutes by Talia Baker and Monique Martinez

Guests:

Blankenship, Becky; Advisor, Hill International	Liu, Ping (PL); Lydig Construction
Boron, Summer; Howard S. Wright	Lohr, Marcie
Bowcutt, Kim	Lyle, Nick; Energy NW
Braun, Trevor; BNBuilders	Mahoney, John; Tanner Pacific
Brink, Greg; Parametrix	Martinez, Luis; Howard S. Wright
Buchberger, Heidi; AIA Lydig Construction	Mayer, Erin; Absher Construction
Buck, Brian; Exec. Director Lk WA SD	McBride, David; PM Advisor OAC Services
Carlson, Sara; Bayley Construction	Parascondola, Julie; Director Kent Parks
Carver, Brisa; OAC Services	Pare, Ben; TPI
Carver, David; Skanska USA	Parkins, Carly, Proj Mgr Maitri / Lake WA Sch. District
Cate, Leah; PCS Structural Solutions	Parscelley, Ryan
Chambers, Adam; Absher Construction	Payne, Tim; Superintendent Almira School District
Churchill, Paul; Abbott Construction	Pedroza, Alfred; Tanner Pacific
Cioto, Brendan; H & A	Peterson, Eric; Swinerton Builders
Cody, Dan; Parametrix	Polk, Bry
Coleman, Chris; Bayley Construction	Potter, Stewart
Comer, Sam; Cornerstone General Contractors	Potuzak, Kyle; City of Bellevue
Costa, Steve	Powell, Andrew
Coyne, Jennifer; Hensel Phelps	Pritchard, Rusty; Director OAC Services
Crago, Rick; Hensel Phelps Construction	Reed, Travis; Howard S. Wright
Curtin, Jessica; Abbott Construction	Romero, Mitch; Parametrix
Decoteau, Jillian; King Co. Business Develop. Comp.	Room (Jim, Wendy, Cory) North Kitsap School District
DeGooyer, Laura; Cap. Proj. Mgr Lake WA School District	Rossman, Tom; Shimmick Construction
Dore, Irma; Bayley Construction	Roth, Trisha; King Co Wastewater Treatment Div.
Douglas, Brandon; Athenian Group	Rutherford, Clemons 'Will'; CRA Architects Inc.
Duffany, Addy; Skanska USA	Sacha, Kurt
Dugan, John; Lydig Construction	Sage, Sharilynn; Sr. Project Manager, Parametrix
Elkassis, Youseff	Sebero, Jenny
Farley, Tanyan; City of Kent	Seda-Kane, Yuki; Axiom
Feldmeyer, Matt	Sellgren, Kayleah; FORMA Construction
Fitzpatrick, Pat; CAO City of Kent	Sementi, Gene; Project Manager OAC Services
Gaines, Tom; Director Central Services, Grant County	Shaw, Tia; Skanska USA
Garcia, Katia	Shumate, Travis; Absher Construction
Ghosn, Freddy	Smick, Trey; Hoffman Construction
Gimmestad, Curt; Absher Construction	Smith, Todd
Gregory, Bob; Proj. Mgr Cowlitz Public Facility District	Stranzl, Justin; DLR Group
Gurol, Kamuron	Tackett, Bethany; Forma Construction
Hall, Rustin; ALSC Architects	Tanumihardja, Jessica
Hansen, Hans; Bayley Construction	Tenuta, Evann
Hartner, Tamara; Mortensen	Thaxton, Robynne; Advisor, Thaxton Parkinson LLC.
Hatfield, Paul	Thomas, Jake
Hauge, Claire; Cowlitz Public Facilities District	Tolzman, Steve; King Co Wastewater Treatment Div.
Hdzer, Ina; Sr. Const. Proj. Mgr. Lake WA School District	Tomlin, Brianne; Renton School District
Hendrickson, Mik	Tramontanias, Athan; Ogedn Murphy Wallace
Hendershot, Nick; Tapani, Inc.	Tristao, Jonathan; Tanner Pacific
Henderson, Shelly; Mukilteo School District	Truong, Khoz; PM King Co Wastewater Treatment Div.
Hocklander, Heather; Parametrix	Urban, Brian; Skanska USA
Huang, Richard; King Co Wastewater Treatment Div.	Vonderscheer, Eric; Absher Construction
Hummel, Stan; King Co Wastewater Treatment Div.	Walcker, Cynthia; Walcker Environmental
Huynh, Kaitlin; Korsmo Construction	White, Tammy; Attorney City of Kent

Guests:

Jacobs, Megan	Wolfe, Blaine; Absher & ALSC
Jewett, Brian; Ameresco	
Johnson, Rowena; Proj Mgr King Co WTD	<i>Unidentified:</i>
Jordan, Melissa; King County	<i>1253*478</i>
Julius, John; Bayley Construction	<i>806855</i>
Kiel, Jordan; Bassetti Architects	<i>Aaron</i>
McCain, Kevin; AECOM Hunt	<i>B, Josh</i>
McDonnell, Brittanie; Parametrix	<i>Betty</i>
Melius, Spencer; OAC Services	<i>Briana</i>
Michel, Keith; FORMA Construction / CPARB VC	<i>Brian</i>
Minder, John	<i>Bryahna</i>
Mooseker, Karen; Mukilteo School District	<i>F, Debora</i>
Murphy, Ken; ALSC Architects	<i>Fair/Rodeo PFD</i>
Murphy, Stephen; Korsmo	<i>Guest</i>
Navarro, Diane; King Co Wastewater Treatment Div.	<i>iPhone 2 Guest</i>
Neto, Kristina; Absher Construction	<i>Phone Guest</i>
Nilsson, Brian	<i>RWC2</i>
Olson, Patty; Shimmick Construction	<i>S, Will</i>
Ota, Ryan; Project Manager OAC Services	<i>Swoerman</i>
Ouedraogo, Madeleine; King County	

Total Project Approvals for May 22, 2025:

- 3 Design-Build projects totaling \$ 45,257,670
 - 4 GC/CM projects totaling \$2,089,091,400
- Total project approvals for 3/27/2025: \$2,134,349,070**

Total Project Approvals to date 2025:

Year to Date Total project Approvals:

DB:	\$ 508,226,564	Traditional DB: 0	Progressive DB: 9	
GC/CM:	\$2,718,967,255	Approved Proj: 14	Heavy Civil: 2	w/ASSP: 6
Total:	\$3,227,193,819			

Current number of Certified Agencies: 16

Year to Date Alternative Subcontractor Selection Applications approved: 6

Types of ASSP Requested:

• EC/CM	2	Cost: \$35.4M	
• MC/CM	1	Cost: \$24.4M	
• Specialty Equipment	1	Cost: \$42.1M	
• Structural Subcontractor	1	Cost: \$54.4M	Total: \$104.5M