Da	ate:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM		Approved	b	X
Рι	ublic Agency:	Department of Enterprise Services	DB	7	Denied		
PF	RC Member:	Eza Agoes	Both	Χ			
		Recertification	Evaluation	Criteria			
					and the second of the second o	Pass	Fail
Α.		ained any process changes it mad ppropriate for use of alternative co			ines which	Х	
	appropriat	what steps are taken to determine e for a proposed project.			d/or DB are	X	ı
	2. Described	the steps that are taken in approv	ring this determin	nation.		Х	
B.		cribed their experience in deliverin past three years and summarized h				х	
	<ol> <li>Included th</li> </ol>	ne status of each alternative delive	ery project.			X	
	Described certification	any litigation or significant dispute n.	es on any project	since pre	vious	х	
C.	Applicant prov management a previous certif	rided an updated organization cha and construction experience using ication.	rt with personnel the GC/CM and	possessir /or DB sin	ng ce the	x	
D.	Applicant has	resolved any audit findings relative	e to previous pro	jects.		Х	
	erall Evaluation son for Determ	n by Committee Member ination:					2 3
Obs	ervations/Conc	erns:					
Eza	Digitally signed by Eza Agoes Date: 2025.09.1	25					

Revised 7/27/2023

Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	Approved	X
Public Agency:	WA State Department of Enterprise Services	DB	Denied	11 7
PRC Member	Garett Ruckingham Public Hospitals	Roth V	-	

111 6			
	Recertification Evaluation Criteria	Ð	
		Pass	Fail
A.	Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.	x	
	<ol> <li>Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.</li> </ol>	x	
	2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.	х	
В.	Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.	x	2 11
	Included the status of each alternative delivery project.	х	
	<ol><li>Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.</li></ol>	х	
C.	Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.	x	
D.	Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	X	
	rall Evaluation by Committee Member son for Determination:  Team presented their process and status well and met the RCW requirements		
Ž.			
Obs	ervations/Concerns:		
Gare	tt Buckingham		
Signa	nture	83	

Date:	Date: September 25, 2025 GC/CM Approved						
Public Agency: WA STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENTERPRISE SERVICES DB Denied							
PRC Member:	PRC Member: Joshua Cheatham Both X						
		- 3					
	Recertification E	Evaluation	Criteria				
					Pass	Fail	
	ained any process changes it made opropriate for use of alternative cont			ines which	Х	10.10	
appropriate	what steps are taken to determine t e for a proposed project.			nd/or DB are	Х		
	the steps that are taken in approvin	- H			X		
B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.					x		
Included the status of each alternative delivery project.							
Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.							
C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.					х		
D. Applicant has	resolved any audit findings relative	to previous pro	ojects.		Х		
<b>Overall Evaluatio</b> r Reason for Determ	n by Committee Member ination:						
Presentation meets th	ne RCW 39.10 Criteria.		PV at Spirote transferred				
Observations/Conc	erns:						
Present a process t	hat is above standard and should b	e a model for	other agen	cies.			
					XIVIE III		
			ANALY IN THE STREET				
Joshu A. C	heathan Digitally signed by Joshua Cheathar Date: 2025.09.26 14:23:07-07'00'	m			***************************************		

Da	te:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM		Approved		X
Pu	blic Agency:	WA State DES	DB		Denied		
PR	C Member:	B. Colyar	Both	X		P-	
			[//	3			
		Recertifica	ation Evaluation	Criteria			
						Pass	Fail
A.		lained any process changes ppropriate for use of alterna			_	х	
	appropriat	what steps are taken to det e for a proposed project.			id/or DB are	х	2)
D		the steps that are taken in a				Х	14
В.	Works in the RCW 39.10.	cribed their experience in de past three years and summa	rized how these proje	er Alternative	e Public statutes in	x	
	1. Included t	ne status of each alternative	delivery project.			х	
	<ol><li>Described certificatio</li></ol>	any litigation or significant on.	disputes on any projec	ct since pre	vious	х	
C.		rided an updated organization and construction experience ication.				x	
D.	Applicant has	resolved any audit findings	relative to previous pr	ojects.		х	
	son for Determ	n by Committee Member nination: ertification requirements ated program knowledge an	d team experience w/	<u>commitmer</u>	nt to mentor new I	nires.	
					*		
Obs	ervations/Cond	eerns:					
	-Good list o	f lessons learned considerate	tions				
		*					
	7 .						

Da	te:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM		Approved	k	X
Pu	blic Agency:	DES	DB		Denied	-	
PF	C Member:	Lisa Corcoran	Both	X		-	
				(			
		Recertification E	valuation	Criteria			
						Pass	Fail
A.	Applicant explant projects are ap	ained any process changes it made, opropriate for use of alternative cont	if any, on ho racting proce	w it determedures.	ines which	Х	
	Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.					x	
Б		the steps that are taken in approving				x	
В.		cribed their experience in delivering ast three years and summarized ho				X	Şi.
		e status of each alternative delivery				х	
	<ol><li>Described certification</li></ol>	any litigation or significant disputes	on any projed	ct since pre	vious	x	
C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.					х		
D.	Applicant has i	resolved any audit findings relative t	o previous pr	ojects.		Х	
	rall Evaluation son for Determi	by Committee Member ination:					
DES	showed they h	nad a good understanding of alterna	tive delivery r	methods an	d how to implem	ent GC	/CM
		s of project scopes.					
Obs	ervations/Conc	erns:					
-							
					*		1100-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00
Ŏ	Ling & Cr						
Signa	ture						

Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Recertification

Date: September 25, 2025 GC/CM Approved
Public Agency: WA State DES DB Denied
PRC Member: Mallorie Davies Both X

#### **Recertification Evaluation Criteria**

		Pass	Fail
A.	Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.	х	II
	<ol> <li>Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.</li> </ol>	X	.1
	2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.	Х	
B.	Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.	x	
	Included the status of each alternative delivery project.	Х	
	<ol><li>Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.</li></ol>	х	
C.	Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.	х	
D.	Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	X	
Rea	rall Evaluation by Committee Member son for Determination: applicant has demonstrated a good stewardship for the requirements and goals of the RCV	V.	
Obs	ervations/Concerns:		
-			1

Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification  Date: September 25, 2025 GC/CM Approved X Public Agency: WA STATE DEPT OF DB Denied  PRC Member: JIM DUGAN Both X  Recertification Evaluation Criteria  A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination:  STRONG CAPITAL DENEURPMENT RECORAM HISTORY OF SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE. ACTIVE PARTICIPATION WI FRECORAM.	Project Review Com Application Eva Public Agency F	luation Sheet	PENTERPRISE	SERVI	CES	
Public Agency: WA STATE DEPT OF DB Denied  PRC Member: JIM DUGAN Both X  Recertification Evaluation Criteria  A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  STRONG CAPITAL DEVELOF WENT REGERAM. HI STORY OF SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE. ACTIVE PARTICIPATION W/ Production of the provious are provious and provided a		September 25, 2025	GC/CM	Approve	ed	X
Recertification Evaluation Criteria  A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  STRONG CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT RESCRAM. Hi STORY OF SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE. ACRISE PARTICIPATION W/FRC	Public Agency:	WA STATE DEPT	OF DB			
A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  STRONG CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT REGISTRIAN WI FRE	PRC Member:	JIM DUGAN	Both X		-	
A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  STRONG CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT REGISTRIAN WI FRE						e e
A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  STROMG CAPITAL DEMENT Recent Hi Story Of SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE. ACTIVE PARTICIPATION W/ PRO		Recertification	Evaluation Criteria	- A		
projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  STRONG CAPITAL DEVELOR MENT REGISTATION WI PROSUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE. ACTIVE PARTICIPATION WI PROSUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE. ACTIVE PARTICIPATION WI PROSUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE.					Pass	Fail
appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination:  STRONG CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT REGISARM. Hi STORY OF SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE. ACTIVE PARTICIPATION WI PRO				s which	X	
B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  STRONG CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT REGISTATION WI FROM SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE. ACTIVE PARTICIPATION WI FROM	appropriat	e for a proposed project.		r DB are	X	and the second
Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  STRONG CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT REGISTRATION WI FROM SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE. ACTIVE PARTICIPATION WI FROM SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE.	58				X	
2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  STRONG CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM. HI STORY OF SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE. ACTIVE PARTICIPATION WI PRO	Works in the p					The second secon
C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination:  STRONG CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM. HISTORY OF SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE. ACTIVE PARTICIPATION WI PRO	<ol> <li>Included th</li> </ol>	ne status of each alternative deliver	ry project.		X	enrin
management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination:  STRONG CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT RESOLVAM. HISTORY OF SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE. ACTIVE PARTICIPATION WI PRO			s on any project since previou	ıs	7	
D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination:  STRONG CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT RESOLVAND. HISTORY OF SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE. ACTIVE PARTICIPATION WI PRO	management :	and construction experience using		the	X	
Reason for Determination:  STRONG CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM. HISTORY OF  SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE. ACTIVE PARTICIPATION WI PRO			to previous projects.		X	
	STRONG	ination:  CAPITAL DEVELOP				OF
& CPARB. BOOD LESSONS LEARNED.				PATION	w/	PRC
	\$ CPARI	8. 6000 LESSEN	S LEARNED.			
	Signature	uzu		200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200		The second seconds

Da	ate:	September 25th 2025	3	GC/CM		Approve	ed	X
Pι	ublic Agency:	WA State Dept. of Enterp	rise Services	DB	***************************************	Denied	-	
ΡF	RC Member:	Brian Jewett	100	Both	X		_	7
		6	*					
		Recert	ification E	valuation	Criteria			
Α	Annlicant expl	ained any process cha	nnes it made	if any on ho	w it determi	nge which	Pass	Fail
, ι.		opropriate for use of alt				nes willen	X	
		what steps are taken to e for a proposed projec		nat the use of	GC/CM and	d/or DB are	X	
2		the steps that are take					X	
В.		cribed their experience past three years and su					х	
	<ol> <li>Included th</li> </ol>	ne status of each altern	ative delivery	project.				
	<ol><li>Described certification</li></ol>	any litigation or signific n.	ant disputes	on any projec	t since prev	ious		
C.	Applicant prov	rided an updated organ and construction experi					х	
D.	We the second se	resolved any audit find	ings relative t	o previous pr	ojects.		Х	
	Reason for Det	tion by Committee Mo ermination: rstanding of RCW 39.1		ding from pre	evious proje	cts.		
	Observations/C None.	oncerns:						
9	***************************************			ti San San				
	Brian J	wett						
	Signature	2						

Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification** Date: September 25, 2025 GC/CM Approved Public Agency: DB Denied PRC Member: AUE JOHNSON Both **Recertification Evaluation Criteria Pass** Fail A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project. 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10. 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project. 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification. C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification. D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. **Overall Evaluation by Committee Member** Reason for Determination: DES HAS DEMONSTRATED SUCCESS IN DELIVERING BOTH GLICH+DB PROJECTS, THEY LANE PERSONNEL WITH EXPERIENCE W DOTH DOLLVERY METHODS AND A GOOD DECISION MAKING PROCESS Observations/Concerns:

Revised 7/27/2023

Da	ate:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	Approved	X
Pι	ıblic Agency:	DES	DB	 Denied	*****
PF	RC Member:	Art McCluskey	Both X		
		*	8 8	_	
		Recertificat	tion Evaluation Criter	ia	
	F				
۸	Ammliaant avel	ala ad a successive description of			iss Fail
Α.	projects are a	ained any process changes i opropriate for use of alternati	t made, if any, on how it dete ve contracting procedures.	rmines which	x
	appropriat	what steps are taken to dete e for a proposed project.		and/or DB are	х
		the steps that are taken in a			Х
В.	tive Public the statutes in )	K			
	1. Included the	ne status of each alternative of	delivery project.		X
_	certification				X
C.	Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.				
D.	Applicant has	resolved any audit findings re	elative to previous projects.	>	(
Rea	son for Determ	n by Committee Member ination:			
Obs	ervations/Conc	erns:			
Арр	licant has exter	sive experience in alternative	e delivery, good discussion o	f risk allocation	
		Can La			
	*				
Ar	t McClus	leay			

	te: 9-25-25  blic Agency: Department Enterprise Sum  C Member: Bret Miche	GC/CM DB Both	Approve Denied	d _	X
	Recertification Ev	aluation Criteria			
				Pass	Fail
A.	Applicant explained any process changes it made, if projects are appropriate for use of alternative contra-		es which	X	
	<ol> <li>Explained what steps are taken to determine tha appropriate for a proposed project.</li> <li>Described the steps that are taken in approving the steps.</li> </ol>		or DB are	×	
В.	Applicant described their experience in delivering pro Works in the past three years and summarized how RCW 39.10.	ojects under Alternative		1	000 80 46 0000 (Roberto Roberto Roberto)
	<ol> <li>Included the status of each alternative delivery p</li> </ol>	roject.	T.	X	***************************************
	<ol><li>Described any litigation or significant disputes or certification.</li></ol>	any project since previ	ous	X	
C.	Applicant provided an updated organization chart wit management and construction experience using the previous certification.			X	
D.	Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to	previous projects.		X	
	Description by Committee Member Reason for Determination:  Replicant has a long hist  DB project delivery (success ful),  Systems and processess for ongoing  recessor for continuou successful delive  Disservations/Concerns:  Presentation felt disconnected  Such as DES near the surge of	any of both Applicant has	CC/CN a robest d improv d PDB p rgs agrici	1 en set mont	of of

Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification** 9/25/25 Date: GC/CM Approved X WA State DES DB Public Agency: Denied PRC Member: Heather Munden Both X Recertification Evaluation Criteria **Pass** Fail A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which X projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are X appropriate for a proposed project. 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. X B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in X RCW 39.10. 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project. X 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous X certification. C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the X previous certification. D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. X Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination: Great layout of the application. Very thorough presentation. Very robust Business Diversity program. Observations/Concerns: Great internal and external training for your team members as well as continual learning/training opportunities.

Great internal and external training for your team members as well as continual learning/train

Heather Munden

Signature

Da	te:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	Approved	X	
Pu	Public Agency: WA State TES DB Denied					
PR	C Member	This Wulp	Both X		3	
		Recertifica	tion Evaluation Criter	ia		
				Pass	s Fail	
A.		xplained any process changes e appropriate for use of alternati		rmines which		
	appropr	ed what steps are taken to dete late for a proposed project. ed the steps that are taken in a		and/or DB are		
В.	Applicant de	escribed their experience in del e past three years and summar	ivering projects under Alterna			
	1. Included	the status of each alternative	delivery project.		1	
C.	certifica Applicant pr	rovided an updated organization nt and construction experience	n chart with personnel posses	ssing		
		rancation. as resolved any audit findings r	elative to previous projects.	X		
	rall Evaluat son for Dete	ion by Committee Member rmination:				
	₩	•				
Obse	ervations/Co	oncerns:				
	K	JONG.	*	1		
		•				
	Oux	GARA TAMAMA				

XDate:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	Approved	X
Public Agency:	Public Agency: WA STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENTERPRISE SERVICES DB Denie			
PRC Member:	Jeannie Natta	Both X		ř
	Recertification	<b>Evaluation Criter</b>	ria	
			Pass	Fail
Applicant explicant explicate are applicated a	ained any process changes it mad opropriate for use of alternative co	de, if any, on how it dete ntracting procedures.	ermines which	
appropriate	what steps are taken to determine e for a proposed project.		and/or DB are	
2. Described	the steps that are taken in approv	ing this determination.	X	
B. Applicant desc Works in the p RCW 39.10.	cribed their experience in deliverin ast three years and summarized h	g projects under Alterna now these projects met	ative Public the statutes in X	
<ol> <li>Included th</li> </ol>	ne status of each alternative delive	ery project.	X	
<ol><li>Described certification</li></ol>	any litigation or significant dispute า.	es on any project since p	previous	
	ided an updated organization char and construction experience using cation.			
D. Applicant has	resolved any audit findings relative	e to previous projects.	X	
Reason for Determine		o thoughtful one idea to		
	raining program. They demonstrat			
inethous. They are	also making efforts to expand opp	ortunities for small busi	ness through a training p	<u>rogram,</u>
Observations/Conc	erns:			
Jeannie Natta	Digitally signed by Jeannie Natta ONI C-US, Erjanta@ww.eau, C-UW Facilities, OU-* Project Del-levp Coup*, CN-Leanne Nata Delse: 2026.02.994411-9700*			
Signature				

#### Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet**

### **Public Agency Recertification**

Da	ite:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	Approved	Χ
Pu	blic Agency:	Department of Enterpris	e Services DB	Denied	
PF	RC Member:	Ron Paananen	Both X		
			,		
		Pocortific	ation Evaluation Criteria		
		Neceiunce	ation Evaluation Criteria	II To a second	
				Pass	Fail
Α.		plained any process changes appropriate for use of alterna	it made, if any, on how it determ tive contracting procedures.	nines which	
		d what steps are taken to det tte for a proposed project.	ermine that the use of GC/CM ar	nd/or DB are	ī
	2. Described	d the steps that are taken in	approving this determination.	X	
B.			elivering projects under Alternativarized how these projects met the		
	1. Included	the status of each alternative	e delivery project.	X	
	certification	on.	disputes on any project since pre	evious	
C.		and construction experience	on chart with personnel possessi e using the GC/CM and/or DB sir		
D.	Applicant has	s resolved any audit findings	relative to previous projects.	X	
Rea en	son for Deterr well prepared	application and presentation.	DE has a large program and has in		
			aluating improvements that can I		
aeiiv	ery options and	implementation of GC/CIVI or L	DB. They are active with industry or	ganizations (DBIA and AC	GC)
Obs	ervations/Con	cerns:			
NO (	concerns.				
	P				
`					3
11	1 MAR	hlunging			

Da	ite:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	Approve	d	Χ
Pι	blic Agency:	WA State Department of Enterprise Services	DB	Denied	10	
PF	RC Member:	Catina Patton	Both	X	V <sub>S</sub>	2
		Recertification E	Evaluation Cri	teria		
0.20					Pass	Fail
Α.	Applicant expl projects are ap	ained any process changes it made opropriate for use of alternative con	e, if any, on how it o tracting procedures	determines which s.		
	appropriate	what steps are taken to determine t e for a proposed project.			Х	
Б		the steps that are taken in approvir		The second secon	Х	
В.	Works in the p RCW 39.10.	cribed their experience in delivering east three years and summarized ho	projects under Alte ow these projects n	ernative Public net the statutes in		
	1. Included th	ne status of each alternative delivery	y project.		Х	
	<ol><li>Described certification</li></ol>	any litigation or significant disputes n.	on any project sind	ce previous	х	
C.		ided an updated organization chart and construction experience using t ication.	. (TE NEW 열어 1500년 1875년 17일 시간 18일		х	90 10 10 10
D.	Applicant has	resolved any audit findings relative	to previous project	S.	X	
Rea	rall Evaluation son for Determits application c					
					-	
Obs	ervations/Conc	erns:				
Grea	at presentation,	wonderful training program put into	place for staffing a	and applauded the ED	GE Proc	ıram's
		tractor outreach and development.				
	0.11.					2.000
Signa	Catina Patto	m				
JIMITO	ILUIG					

					1.
Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	Approve	ed	X
Public Agency:	DEC.	DB	Denied	фоновичения и поставляния и	
PRC Member:	Mike Pereitoni	Both X			
	the second secon	***************************************	-		
	Recertification E	Evaluation Crit	eria		
э					
A Applicant eval	ained any process changes it made	if any on how it do	etermines which	Pass	Fail
	opropriate for use of alternative conf			0	
2000년	what steps are taken to determine t e for a proposed project.	hat the use of GC/C	CM and/or DB are		macroscocionimica del profesor la secución de de
	the steps that are taken in approvin	g this determination	n.	V	
	cribed their experience in delivering			1.17	
Works in the p RCW 39.10.	ast three years and summarized ho	w these projects m	et the statutes in		
1. Included th	ne status of each alternative delivery	y project.		~	
<ol><li>Described certification</li></ol>	any litigation or significant disputes	on any project sinc	e previous	V	a.
C. Applicant prov	ided an updated organization chart			-	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY
management a previous certifi	and construction experience using t cation.	ne GC/Civi and/or L	ob since the		
D. Applicant has	resolved any audit findings relative	to previous projects	<b>5.</b>	V	
Overall Evaluation	by Committee Member				
Reason for Determ	ination:				
DES hA	s the qualitien STAFF	AND EXPANS	WEE TO ELFEC.	NUby	USE
DD 460	CM				
Many Change and Account of the Section of the Secti					
Observations/Conc	erns:				
	4				
C ///	111				
1/1/	Tells				

Date:	September 25, 2025		GC/CM		Approve	ed	<b>~</b>
Public Agency:	DES		DB		Denied	-	
PRC Member: -	Traci &	Logstad	Both	×			
	TY V-OC V	<del>100</del>					
	Rocer	tification Ev	aluation	Criteria	29		
	Necei	uncation Ev	u.uu			D	Fail
		Maria description			which	Pass	Fail
projects are ap	ined any process cha propriate for use of a	Iternative contra	cting proced	jures.		**	
Explained v	what steps are taken for a proposed proje	to determine tha	t the use of	GC/CM and	d/or DB are	/	
<ol><li>Described t</li></ol>	he steps that are take	en in approving t				V	
B. Applicant descrive Works in the pa	ibed their experience ast three years and su	in delivering pro	oiects under	Alternative	Public statutes in		
RCW 39.10.	status of each alten	native delivery p	roiect.		Γ		
	ny litigation or signifi			t since previ	ious		
certification					<u> </u>		
management ar	led an updated orgar nd construction exper	nization chart with rience using the	GC/CM and	l possessing I/or DB sinc	e the		
previous certific	ation. esolved any audit find	lings relative to	orevious pro	jects.			
Overall Evaluation Reason for Determin		ber					
reason for Determin	anon.						
Accession and property of the contract of the							
Observations/Concer	ns:						
				Steel 1			***************************************
				Programme and the second			
Signature (Mari)	) \$ - \						
Signature							

GC/CM

Approved

#### Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification** Date: 09/25/25 GC/CM Approved X Public Agency: Dept of Enterprise Services DB Denied PRC Member: Yuki Seda-Kane Both X **Recertification Evaluation Criteria Pass** Fail A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which X projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are X appropriate for a proposed project. 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. X B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in X RCW 39.10. 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project. X 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since the previous X certification. C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the X previous certification. D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. X Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination:

Application was complete in describing the experience, history of projects, and list of trained personnel to
deliver GC/CM and DB projects. Maintaining a Lessons Learned program was described to continually
improve their process. Answered questions regarding how projects are selected for DB vs GC/CM. Met the
RCW 39.10 requirements.
Observations/Concerns:
I appreciated the robust Outreach to encourage SBE and DBE firms to bid on their projects, along with training
programs to elevate subcontractors into prime contractors.
Yoke Sida-Kone

### Project Review Committee (PRC) Application Evaluation Sheet

### **Public Agency Recertification**

Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	Χ	Approved	t	Χ
Public Agency: WA State Department of Enterprise Servies DB Denied				-		
PRC Member:	PRC Member: Mike D Shinn Both					
	Recertification E	Evaluation	Criteria			
A. Applicant explanation     Applicant explanation     projects are as	ained any proces's changes it made	, if any, on how	w it determi	nes which	Pass	Fail
<ol> <li>Explained appropriate</li> </ol>	what steps are taken to determine t e for a proposed project.	hat the use of	GC/CM and	d/or DB are	X	
B. Applicant desc	Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.      Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.					
	Included the status of each alternative delivery project.					
certification	<ol><li>Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.</li></ol>					
management a	<ol> <li>Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.</li> </ol>					
D. Applicant has	resolved any audit findings relative	to previous pro	ojects.		Х	
Overall Evaluation Reason for Determi	by Committee Member ination:					
MEETS	PCW.	To gain and the same			***************************************	-2
Dbservations/Conc No NE	erns:					
111-1 A	10					1)
Mile 11	Mus					

Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	Approved	V
Public Agency: WA ST. DES DB			Denied	-
PRC Member:	Kevin Thomas	Both V	and the same of th	<del></del>
	***			
	Doortification	- F		54
	Recertificatio	n Evaluation Criter	ia	
ni .			Pass	Fail
projects are a	ained any process changes it m opropriate for use of alternative o	contracting procedures.	ermines which	
appropriati	what steps are taken to determine for a proposed project.		and/or DB are	
	the steps that are taken in appro		X	
Works in the p	cribed their experience in deliver ast three years and summarized	ing projects under Alterna I how these projects met t	tive Public the statutes in	
	e status of each alternative deliv	very project.		
	any litigation or significant dispu		previous X	
C. Applicant provi	ded an updated organization ch	art with personnel posses	ssing	
previous certific	and construction experience using cation.	ng the GC/CM and/or DB s	since the	
D. Applicant has r	esolved any audit findings relati	ve to previous projects.		
Overall Evaluation Reason for Determine	by Committee Member		Section 1971 for facing parameter	
Orc 16				
DES 15 /	IN INDUSTRY LEAD			7
METHODS	FOR PUBLIC WORK	. 0	- UNITED	
MEGTS OR	1 10/10/10/11/1	N E PISK MAI	NAGEMENT.	
Observations/Conce				
		DAVE & C.	and and I	
SEE LIGH	TO THE ANSWER TO E DES HAS A GOO	DAVES QUESTION	DO D	
	is the property of	1) IMPOUNDING	PROGERIOL.	
Mm.	/			
MIGH	romas			
Śignature	•			

Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM		Approve	d	X
Public Agency:	WA State Dept. of Enterprise Services	DB		Denied		
PRC Member:	Lance Thomas	Both	X			
			2 <del>73373</del> 8			
	Document of the Control of the Contr	voluetles	Oultoulo			-
	Recertification E	valuation	Criteria			
					Pass	Fail
	ained any process changes it made, opropriate for use of alternative cont			ines which	x	
appropriat	what steps are taken to determine the for a proposed project.			ıd/or DB are	X	
	the steps that are taken in approving				X	
	cribed their experience in delivering potential three years and summarized how				x	18
<ol> <li>Included th</li> </ol>	ne status of each alternative delivery	project.			х	
2. Described certification	any litigation or significant disputes	on any projed	ot since prev	vious	х	
	ided an updated organization chart wand construction experience using the ication				x	
and the second s	resolved any audit findings relative t	o previous pr	oiects.		х	
Overall Evaluation Reason for Determ Presentation was the						
Observations/Conc	erns:					
It would be very info	ormative to see how many of the Alte	ernative Deliv	ery Methoc	d projects are av	varded t	<u>0</u>
contractors and sub	ocontractors that have not done this	type of contra	act before, t	hose transitioni	ng from	DBB to
GC/CM or DB mark	kets		Microsoft Almondants	ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF		
Jan /ham						
Signature						

Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	Approved	X		
Public Agency:	WA State DES	DB	Denied			
PRC Member:	T. Thomas	Both X				
2	Recertificatio	n Evaluation Crite	ria			
			P	ass Fa		
	ained any process changes it mopropriate for use of alternative		ermines which			
	what steps are taken to determi e for a proposed project	ne that the use of GC/CN	I and/or DB are	X		
	the steps that are taken in appre		Francis Communication (Communication Communication Communi	Х		
B. Applicant desc Works in the p RCW 39.10.	cribed their experience in deliver ast three years and summarized	ing projects under Alterna d how these projects met	ative Public the statutes in			
<ol> <li>Included th</li> </ol>	ne status of each alternative deli	very project.		Х		
certification	<ol><li>Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.</li></ol>					
	ided an updated organization chand construction experience usir cation.		Participation of the Control of the	X .		
D. Applicant has i	resolved any audit findings relati	ive to previous projects.		X		
Overall Evalua Reason for Dete	tion by Committee Member ermination:					
DES demon	strated they have ample experie	ence and knowledge to co	ontinue to lead GCCM	and		
DB projects	moving forward.			<del>,</del>		
Observations/Co	oncerns:					
Turt	lowes					

Dat	e:	09/25/2025	GC/CM	X	Approve	d	Χ
Pul	olic Agency:	WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENTERPRISE SERVICES	DB	X	Denied		
PR	C Member:	ANTHONY UDEAGBALA, AIA	Both	X		-	
		Recertification E	valuation	Criteria			
						Pass	Fail
		ained any process changes it made, propriate for use of alternative cont			ines which	Х	
	appropriate	what steps are taken to determine the for a proposed project.			nd/or DB are		
		the steps that are taken in approving					
	B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.						
	<ol> <li>Included th</li> </ol>	e status of each alternative delivery	project.				
	<ol><li>Described certification</li></ol>	any litigation or significant disputes on	on any projec	t since pre	vious		
	C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.						
D.	Applicant has r	esolved any audit findings relative t	o previous pr	ojects.		Х	
	<b>Verall Evalua</b> te leason for Dete	tion by Committee Member ermination:					
-	Great prese	ntation, fantastic team structure and	understandir	ng of projec	ct needs. I find th	<u>ne team</u>	
_	to have a go	od understanding of RCW requirem	ents.				<del></del>
C	bservations/Co	oncerns:					
-	Exemplary.						
_	***************************************						_
-	10000					-	_
-	0.00	7 16					-

Project Review Committee (PRC)

### Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification

Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM		Approved	Χ
Public Agency:	Dept of Enterprise Services	DB		Denied	
PRC Member:	Taine Wilton	Both	X		

#### **Recertification Evaluation Criteria**

Α.	Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which
	projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.

- 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.
- 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.
- B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.
  - 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.
  - 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.
- C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.
- D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

88	1 433	ı an
	Х	
	Х	
! !	Х	
	х	
	Х	
	X	
	х	5 T B
	Х	

Dace

F-:

#### Overall Evaluation by Committee Member

Reason for Determination:

Large organization, has planning tools to assist with project delivery selection. lessons learned applied. Identify risks and provide client education to reduce risk and increase stakeholder engagement. Provide contingency funding, refines project documents, flexibility to scale project with MACC. Committed to small diverse businesses, robust approach to diversity and inclusion to benefit all.

Observations/Concerns:

<u>Project delivery identification early on, integrated throughout project.</u> Refine documents with lessons learned, assesses risks and benefits for process improvement and improved diverse hiring.

Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)

Digitally signed by Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)

Discontrol District
Date: 2025.09.25 13:19:09-07'00'