Date:	September 25, 20256	Approve	ed	X
Public Agency:	King County Metro	Denied		
Project Name:	Central Campus Zero Emissions Infrastructure Progressive Design-Build Project		*	
PRC Member:	Eza Agoes			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contrac	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ting procedures:	requireme		
			Pass	Fail
	bstantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical	u.	х	
Public bodie	ts qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.  Is may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the	x	
in develo	struction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is croping the construction methodology, or		х	
between	ects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficien the designer and the builder; or	cies	х	
	nt savings in project delivery time would be realized. has necessary experience or team:	i	Х	
	all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)			
	delivery knowledge and experience		Х	
	t contract administration personnel with construction experience		Х	
	management plan with clear & logical lines of authority		X	
	rry & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project ty of project management team with project type & scope experier	100	X	
	ry and appropriate construction budget		X	
D. For Design-	Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team ble in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract		x	
	has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		x	9
Overall Evaluation	by Committee/Panel Member	/		
Reason for Determin	ation:			
Dbservations/Conce	rns:			
One of the autical fa-	store for average will be atward according to a with Co-M- City I (CCI)	ما المام		
	ctors for success will be strong coordination with Seattle City Light (SCL)		SERVICE STORY	
e.g., City of Seattle)	, which requires active participation from both the PDB Contractor and K	ing County	ivietro (F	(CIVI)

Signature

Eza Agoes Date: 2025.09.25

Date:	September 25, 2025	pproved	Χ
Public Agency:	King County Metro	enied	is .
Project Name:	Central Campus Zero Emissions Infrastructure Project	9	19
PRC Member:	Becky Barnhart		
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build		
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the reing procedures:	quirements fo <b>Pass</b>	
A. Provides sub	ostantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	X	Fall
B. Project meet Public bodie	ts qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.  s may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	20	
in develo	struction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critic oping the construction methodology, or	^	
between	ects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencie the designer and the builder; or	es X	
and the second s	nt savings in project delivery time would be realized.	X	
	has necessary experience or team:  Il 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)		
	elivery knowledge and experience	X	
	t contract administration personnel with construction experience	X	
	nanagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority ry & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project	X	
	y of project management team with project type & scope experience	1	
	ry and appropriate construction budget	X	
	Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is ble in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	X	
E. Public Body	has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	X	
Overall Evaluation & Reason for Determina	by Committee/Panel Member ation:		
Observations/Concer	ns:	_	
Presentation was clea	arly formatted to align with RCW 39.10 criteria and help the committee und	erstand how th	e project
net each criteria.			

Signature

Date:	Sept 25, 2025 A	pproved	X
Public Agency:	King County Metro D	enied	
Project Name:	CCZE PDB Project		
PRC Member:	Jeff Jurgensen		
	Project Evaluation Criteria		
	Design-Build		
Determine that the alternative contract	e Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the recting procedures:	uirements for	
		Pass	Fail
	ubstantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	X	
Public bodi	ets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. es may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the t cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	X	
in deve	nstruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critic loping the construction methodology, or		
	jects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencie n the designer and the builder; or	S X	
<ol><li>Signific</li></ol>	ant savings in project delivery time would be realized.	X	
	has necessary experience or team:  all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)	X	
	delivery knowledge and experience	X	
	nt contract administration personnel with construction experience	X	
	management plan with clear & logical lines of authority	X	
	ary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project	X	
	ity of project management team with project type & scope experience ary and appropriate construction budget		
	Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is		
knowledge	able in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	^	
E. Public Body	has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	X	-
Overall Evaluation Reason for Determi	by Committee/Panel Member nation:		
The clearly have a v	vell put together team and were very professional and thoughtful in their resp	onses to the P	RC pane
uestions both writt	en and in live meeting.		
***************************************			
Observations/Conce	erns:		
No concerns			
12 2011001110			

Revised 7/27/2023

Date:	September 25, 2025	proved	X
Public Agency:	King Co. Metro De	enied	
Project Name:	Central Campus Zero Emissions	6	*)
PRC Member:	Art McCluskey		
Determine that the	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build  Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the req	uirements for	
alternative contract			F-11
A. Provides su	ostantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	Pass X	Fail
	ts qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.	X	
total project	s may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	X	
in develo	struction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical ping the construction methodology, or eacts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies		
	the designer and the builder; or	, X	2
	nt savings in project delivery time would be realized.	X	
	has necessary experience or team:  Il 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)	X	
<ol> <li>Project of</li> </ol>	lelivery knowledge and experience	X	
	t contract administration personnel with construction experience	X	
	nanagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority ry & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project	X	
	y of project management team with project type & scope experience	$\frac{1}{X}$	
	ry and appropriate construction budget	X	
	Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is ole in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	X	
E. Public Body	has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	X	
Reason for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation:  meets RCW rquirements		
Observations/Concer			
I he presentation cor	nected information directly to the scoring criteria for ease of understanding		

Revised 7/27/2023

Signature

Project Review Com Application Evalu	uation Sheet		
Public Agency De	esign-Build Project		1
Date:	9-25-25 App	oroved	X
Public Agency:	King County Metro De	nied	0
Project Name:	Central Camps, Zero Enissions Infastruture		
PRC Member:	Bret Miche		
4.7	Project Evaluation Criteria		
	Design-Build		
Determine that the alternative contract	<ul> <li>Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requiring procedures:</li> </ul>		
A D		Pass	Fail
	stantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	X	
Public bodies	equalifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.  may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	X	
	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical sing the construction methodology; or	X	
	cts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies he designer and the builder; or	X	
<ol><li>Significan</li></ol>	t savings in project delivery time would be realized.	Х	,
	as necessary experience or team:  1 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)		
1. Project de	livery knowledge and experience;	X	
	contract administration personnel with construction experience;	X	
	anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority;	X	
	y & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;	X	
	of project management team with project type & scope experience;	X	
<ol><li>Necessary</li></ol>	y and appropriate construction budget.	1	
	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team are le in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	X	
	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	X	
Overall Evaluation Reason for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member nation:		
well put -	together and thoughtful presentation and response	to desir	
to use TVO Observations/Conce	and collaboration to deliver a societal project.	\$ 1Ve 21	
Establishma	t of a GMP over a year in adu	ance	of
final design	and princt can be a rist it not properly	mana	200
1			
Signature			
Signature			

Date:	September 25, 2025	Approved	X
Public Agency:	King County Metro -	Denied	
Project Name:	Central Campus Zero Emissions Infrastructure DB Project		
PRC Member:	Jeannie Natta		
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build		E 9
Determine that the A alternative contracting	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the reing procedures:	equirements fo	or
		Pass	Fail
A. Provides subs	stantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	X	
Public bodies	s qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.  may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	ne X	
in develop	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is criticing the construction methodology, or	,	(
between t	cts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficienci he designer and the builder; or	/	3005
	t savings in project delivery time would be realized.	<b>)</b>	
(must meet all	as necessary experience or team:  1 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)	X	
	livery knowledge and experience	<b>)</b>	250
	contract administration personnel with construction experience	)	
	anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority	)	
	y & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project	<u> </u>	
	of project management team with project type & scope experiency and appropriate construction budget		
D. For Design-B	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team i	s X	
**************************************	e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	X	
Reason for Determinat		rong concultont	
	ere answered thoroughly. I did not have additional questions. KC has st	rong consultant	s onboard
and their internal leade	ership is prepared to lead the project.		
Observations/Concern	s:	X X	
Jeannie Natta	Digitally signed by Jeannie Natta DN: C=US, E=Inatta@w.edu, O=UW Facilities, QU="Project Delivery Group", CN=Jeannie Natta Natta		

Date:	09.25.25	Approved		
Public Agency:	King County Metro	Denied	Χ	
Project Name:	Central Campus Zero Emissions Infrastructure (CCZE)			
PRC Member:	Yuki Seda-Kane	al esc		

#### Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.

  B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.
  - Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
  - The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
  - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
  - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
  - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
  - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
  - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
  - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
  - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
  - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

1 033	I all
X	
х	
Х	
X X X	
Χ	
Х	×
Х	
X X X X X	
X	
X	
X	
Х	
X	
Х	

Fail

#### Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:

Project is providing a clear reasoning for using Progressive Design Build due to the complexity and coordination with multiple utilities and agencies. The owner shows significant experience in multiple Alternative Delivery methods, including Alliance, GC/CM, DB and others. The staff appears ready to find the most collaborative method to work with Contractors.

Observations/Concerns:

There is outreach from KCM to look for SBE DBE opportunities, plus are requiring an Equity and Social Justice Innovation Plan and will implement a mentorship program.

John Sida-Kone

Signature

Revised 7/27/2023

Date:	09/26/25	Approved	Χ
Public Agency:	King County Metro	Denied	
Project Name:	Central Campus Zero Emissions Infrastructure Project		
PRC Member:	Mike D Shinn		
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build		
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ting procedures:		
A Provides au	hotoptial figual hopofit or traditional delivery mathed is not associated	Pass	Fail
	bstantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica	I. X	
Public bodie total project	ts qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. s may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which t cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)		
in develo	struction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cri oping the construction methodology, or	^	
between	ects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficient the designer and the builder; or	^	
	nt savings in project delivery time would be realized.	X	
	has necessary experience or team: all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)	X	
	delivery knowledge and experience	X	
	t contract administration personnel with construction experience	X	
	management plan with clear & logical lines of authority ary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project	X	
	ty of project management team with project type & scope experier	X	
	ary and appropriate construction budget	ice X	
D. For Design-	Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team ble in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	is	
	has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	X	
Overall Evaluation I Reason for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation:		
Meets RCW			
Observations/Conce	rns:		
No coi			
700 001	OUNITY		
0.40			
	1 /)		

Revised 7/27/2023

Signature