Da	Date: September 25, 2025 GC/CM X Approve						Χ
Public Agency:		Mukilteo School District	DB		Denied		-1-11
PR	C Member:	Eza Agoes	Both			9. 31	
		Recertification	on Evaluation (	Criteria		Pass	Fail
A.		ained any process changes it n opropriate for use of alternative			nes which	X	Fall
	appropriate	what steps are taken to determ e for a proposed project.			d/or DB are	х	
	2. Described	the steps that are taken in app	roving this determir	nation.		X	
B.		cribed their experience in delive east three years and summarize				х	
		ne status of each alternative de				Х	
Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.						x	
C.		ided an updated organization c and construction experience us ication.				x	
D.	Applicant has	resolved any audit findings rela	tive to previous pro	jects.		Х	
	rall Evaluatior son for Determ	n by Committee Member ination:					
Obs	ervations/Conc	erns:					Y .
Eza	Digitally signed by Eza Agoes Date: 2025.09.25 11:32:19-07'00'						

### Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification** GC/CM Date: September 25, 2025 X Approved X Public Agency: Mukilteo School District DB Denied PRC Member: Garett Buckingham, Public Hospitals Both Recertification Evaluation Criteria **Pass** Fail A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which X projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are X appropriate for a proposed project. 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. X B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in X RCW 39.10. 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project. X 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous X certification. C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the X previous certification. D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. X **Overall Evaluation by Committee Member** Reason for Determination: Team presented their process and status well and met the RCW requirements Observations/Concerns:

Revised 7/27/2023

Garett Buckingham

Project Review Committee (PRC)

### Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification

Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM		Approved	Χ		
Public Agency:	MUKILTEO SCHOOL DISTRICT	DB		Denied			
PRC Member:	Joshua Cheatham	Both	X				
Recertification Evaluation Criteria							

Α.	Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which
	projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.

- 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.
- 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.
- B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.
  - 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.
  - 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.
- C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.
- D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Γ		
	X	
	х	
_	Х	
	x	
	Х	
	х	
	х	
	X	

**Pass** 

Fail

### Overall Evaluation by Committee Member

Reason for Determination:

Presentation meets the RCW 39.10 Criteria.

Observations/Concerns:

Very good team and history of following a comprehensive process.

Digitally signed by Joshua Cheatham Date: 2025.09.26 15:57:55-07'00'

Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	X	Approved	b	X
Public Agency:	Mukilteo School District	DB		Denied		
PRC Member:	B. Colyar	Both	.0			
	2					
	D (** (* *	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.14			
	Recertification E	vajuation	Criteria		- F	
					Pass	Fail
그런 - 이용함 : 기상 - 기상 - 기상 - 기상 - 기상 - 기상 - 기상	plained any process changes it made appropriate for use of alternative con	6		nes which	x	
-	d what steps are taken to determine to te for a proposed project.	hat the use of	GC/CM and	d/or DB are	х	
<ol><li>Describe</li></ol>	d the steps that are taken in approvin	g this determin	nation.		X	
	scribed their experience in delivering past three years and summarized ho				×	
	the status of each alternative delivery	project.			х	
Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.						
	vided an updated organization chart and construction experience using t ification.			•	х	
D. Applicant has	s resolved any audit findings relative	to previous pro	ojects.		х	
Reason for Deteri	on by Committee Member mination: f process and program understanding	ı through curre	ent and past	project history		
	etermination and standards in place	anough ouro	nt una paot	project motory.		
-Identified lessons						
	clusion in program					
Observations/Cor						
-Substantiated pu	blic comment.					
				te and a second second		
.,						

Project Review Committee (PRC)

### Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification

Date: September 25, 2025 GC/CM X Approx					ł	Χ
Public Agency:	Mukilteo School District	DB		Denied	-	
PRC Member:	Lisa Corcoran	Both				
	Recertification	Evaluation	Criteria			
					Pass	Fail
	ained any process changes it ma opropriate for use of alternative co			ines which	х	
appropriate	what steps are taken to determin e for a proposed project.			nd/or DB are	х	
	the steps that are taken in appro-	-			х	
B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.					х	
<ol> <li>Included th</li> </ol>	ne status of each alternative deliv	ery project.			х	
<ol><li>Described certification</li></ol>	any litigation or significant disput n.	es on any project	since pre	vious	x	
	rided an updated organization cha and construction experience using ication.				Х	
D. Applicant has	resolved any audit findings relativ	ve to previous pro	jects.		Х	
Reason for Determ	n by Committee Member ination: strict showed success and a good	d understanding c	on how the	e implement GC/	CM proj	ects.
	thoroughly explained, along with				7737	
of which have been						
Observations/Conc	perns:					
7.41						

Revised 7/27/2023

Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification** Date: September 25, 2025 GC/CM Approved DB Denied Mukilteo School District Public Agency: PRC Member: Mallorie Davies Both **Recertification Evaluation Criteria Pass** Fail A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which X projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are X appropriate for a proposed project. 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. X B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in X RCW 39.10.

1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.

- 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.
- C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.
- D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

# X X X X

### **Overall Evaluation by Committee Member**

Reason for Determination:

The applicant meets all requirement	ts of the RCW. T	The demonst	rated con	mitment to ou	utreach and red	cruitment
shows a dedication to growing their	students educat	tional opport	unities ou	tside of tradition	onal pathways	
						•
Observations/Concerns:						
	)					
				•	V	
			Addison the	7		

Date:	te: September 25, 2025 GC/CM X Approv					
Public Agency:	Public Agency: Mukilteo SD DB Denied				**	
PRC Member:	Tom Golden	Both	K7		V.	
- Car	Recertificati	on Evaluation	Criteria		76.8	
					Pass	Fail
	ained any process changes it opropriate for use of alternativ			ines which	Х	10 m
appropriate	what steps are taken to detern e for a proposed project. the steps that are taken in ap			d/or DB are	X	
B. Applicant desc	cribed their experience in deliverant three years and summarize	ering projects unde	r Alternativ		X	
Included the status of each alternative delivery project.						
certification					X	
	rided an updated organization and construction experience u ication.				X	
**************************************	resolved any audit findings re	ative to previous pro	ojects.		Х	
Reason for Determ	n by Committee Member ination: with several recent successful	GCCM projects.				
Observations/Cond	eerns:					
None.						
						+
Huwan E.	LO .					

Date: September 25, 2025 GC/CM X Approved						d	Χ
Pu	ublic Agency: Mukilteo S.D. DB Denied				Denied		
PF	PRC Member: Jeff Gonzalez Both						
		Recertificat	tion Evaluation	Criteria			
۸	Applicant ovn	ained any process changes i	t made, if any, on how	wit datarm	inos which	Pass	Fail
A.		ppropriate for use of alternati			illies willcii	X	
	11-60	what steps are taken to dete e for a proposed project.	rmine that the use of	GC/CM ar	nd/or DB are	х	
		the steps that are taken in a	pproving this determine	nation.		Х	
B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.						х	
		ne status of each alternative	delivery project.		Γ	Х	
Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.						х	
C.		rided an updated organizatior and construction experience ication.				х	
D.		resolved any audit findings re	elative to previous pro	ojects.		Х	
Rea	rall Evaluation son for Determ licant met the c						
	ervations/Cond	cerns:	ent and lessons lear	ned.			
	<u></u>	1 0					

Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	X	Approved		X
Public Agency:	MUKILTEO SCHOOL DISTRICT	DB	2 13	Denied		
PRC Member:	Tamara Hartner   GC	Both				
	Recertification E	Evaluation	Criteria			
A A	aired any process abangos it made	if any on hou	uit datarmin	oo which	Pass	Fail
	ained any process changes it made opropriate for use of alternative con			ies which	Х	
appropriate	what steps are taken to determine t e for a proposed project.			/or DB are	х	1 1
	the steps that are taken in approvir				Х	
B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.					X	4
Included the status of each alternative delivery project.					Х	0 8
<ol><li>Described certification</li></ol>	any litigation or significant disputes	on any project	t since previ	ous	X	
C. Applicant prov	ided an updated organization chart and construction experience using t				X	
	resolved any audit findings relative	to previous pro	ojects.		Х	
Reason for Determ	n by Committee Member ination: y met criteria		•			
*						97
Observations/Cond	erns:					
I appreciated their I	essons learned section.					
						*
			100			
Tamara Hartne	Digitally signed by Tamara Hartner DN: C=US, T=tamara.hartner@mortenson.com, O=Mortenson, CN=Tamara Hartner Date: 2025.09.25 10:45:55-07'00'					

Date:	September 25th 2025	GC/CM	X	Approved		X
Public Agency:	MUKILTEO SCHOOL DISTRICT	DB		Denied		
PRC Member:	Brian Jewett	Both			-	
	2 21					
	Recertification E	valuation (	Criteria			
					Pass	Fail
	ained any process changes it made opropriate for use of alternative cont			which	Х	
	what steps are taken to determine t e for a proposed project.	hat the use of	GC/CM and/or	DB are	X	
<ol><li>Described</li></ol>	the steps that are taken in approvin	g this determin	nation.		Х	
	cribed their experience in delivering past three years and summarized ho				Х	
<ol> <li>Included the</li> </ol>	Included the status of each alternative delivery project.					
<ol><li>Described certificatio</li></ol>	any litigation or significant disputes n.	on any project	since previou	s	X	
C. Applicant prov	rided an updated organization chart and construction experience using t			he	Х	
D. Applicant has	resolved any audit findings relative	to previous pro	jects.		Х	
Reason for Det	etion by Committee Member ermination: eam success with increasing staff ar	nd training. Suc	cessful projec	<u>xt examples</u>		
Observations/C	Concerns:					
None.						_
						- (2)
	y					-
Brian Jo	wett					

### Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification** Date: September 25, 2025 GC/CM Approved Public Agency: DB MUKILTED SO Denied PRC Member: Both Recertification Evaluation Criteria **Pass** Fail A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project. 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10. 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project. 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification. C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification. D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. **Overall Evaluation by Committee Member** Reason for Determination: DISTRICT DEMONSTRATED GOOD PROCEESS FOR CHOOSING DELIVER METHOD AND EXPERIENCE IN MANAGING GOICM PROSEC Observations/Concerns:

Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	X	Approved		Χ
Public Agency:	Mukilteo SD	DB		Denied	1	20225781819.22
PRC Member:	Jeff Jurgensen	Both				
	Recertificat	ion Evaluation (	Criteria			
					Pass	Fail
projects are a	ained any process changes it ppropriate for use of alternativ	e contracting proced	ures.		x	
appropriat	what steps are taken to deter e for a proposed project.			id/or DB are	x	
	the steps that are taken in ap			a Dublic	Х	
B. Applicant dese Works in the p RCW 39.10.	cribed their experience in deli- past three years and summari	vering projects under zed how these projec	ts met the	statutes in	x	
1. Included the	ne status of each alternative of	delivery project.			х	
certificatio				1_1	x	
C. Applicant prov management previous certif	rided an updated organization and construction experience ι fication.	chart with personnel using the GC/CM and	possessir /or DB sin	ng ice the	x	
D. Applicant has	resolved any audit findings re	elative to previous pro	jects.		X	
Reason for Determ	n by Committee Member nination: od processes and process by	the entire team				
very well understo	ou processes and process by	the chine today.				
Observations/Cond	cerns: they have a well-developed p	rocess.				
7						
	7					
Land						
Signature						

Project Review Committee (PRC)

### Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification

Da	te:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	X	Approve	d	X
Pu	blic Agency:	Mukilteo School District	DB		Denied	-	
PR	C Member:	Karl Kolb	Both			,	
		Recertification	on Evaluation (	Criteria			
						Pass	Fail
A.		ained any process changes it ropropriate for use of alternative			ines which	X	, an
	appropriate	what steps are taken to detern e for a proposed project.			nd/or DB are	Х	
В.	Applicant desc	the steps that are taken in apporting their experience in deliverant three years and summarized	ering projects under	Alternativ		X	
	RCW 39.10.	ne status of each alternative de		is met me	statutes III	a Lincolnia	
		any litigation or significant disp		since pre	vious	X	
C.	Applicant prov	ided an updated organization or and construction experience us				х	
D.	** Harris School Color (March Color )	resolved any audit findings rela	ative to previous pro	jects.		Х	
	rall Evaluatior son for Determ	n by Committee Member ination:					
Obs	ervations/Conc	erns:					
	Kala	Kolh			201		

Revised 7/27/2023

Date: September 25, 2025 GC/CM X Approved X						
Public Agency:	Mukilteo School District	DB		Denied		
PRC Member:	Art McCluskey	Both	OR.		100	
	Recertificati	on Evaluation (	Criteria			
	rtooortmouti	on Evaluation	omona:			
					Pass	Fail
	ained any process changes it ppropriate for use of alternative			nes which	Χ	
	what steps are taken to deterr e for a proposed project.	nine that the use of	GC/CM an	d/or DB are	X	
<ol><li>Described</li></ol>	the steps that are taken in app	proving this determin	nation.		X	
	cribed their experience in deliverage past three years and summariz				X	
<ol> <li>Included the</li> </ol>	ne status of each alternative de	elivery project.			Х	
<ol><li>Described certificatio</li></ol>	any litigation or significant disp n.	outes on any project	since prev	rious	X	
	rided an updated organization and construction experience us ication.				Х	
	resolved any audit findings rel	ative to previous pro	jects.		Х	
Overall Evaluation Reason for Determ Applicant meets Ro						
	•					
Observations/Cond	cerns: ces continuous improvement/le	earning, has extensi	ve outreac	h program		,
Art McClus	ksy					
Signature						

Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification** 9/25/25 GC/CM Χ Approved X Date: Mukilteo School District DB Denied Public Agency: PRC Member: Heather Munden Both Recertification Evaluation Criteria **Pass** Fail A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which X projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are X appropriate for a proposed project. 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. X B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in X RCW 39.10. 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project. X 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous X certification. C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the X previous certification. D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. X **Overall Evaluation by Committee Member** Reason for Determination: Good application. Appreciated your presentation, especially your diversity outreach update and your "stay connected" portion. Observations/Concerns: Great work and great job continuing to learn and helping out others around you. Really liked your outreach event.

Revised 7/27/2023

Heather Munden

Da	ate:	September 25, 2025		GC/CM	X	Approve	ed	X
Pi	ıblic Agency:	Mukilten	Schook	DB		Denied	-	
PF	RC Member:	JOSSYZ MI	oiphy	Both	M	•		
	\$ 40.	Rece	rtification Ev	aluation	Criteria			
							Pass	Fail
A.		lained any process cheppropriate for use of				nes which	X	
	appropriat	what steps are taken te for a proposed proj I the steps that are tal	ect.			/or DB are		
B.		cribed their experience past three years and s					*	
	1. Included to	he status of each alte	rnative delivery p	oroject.				
	certificatio					**** <u>L</u> _		
C.		vided an updated orga and construction expe fication.					X	
D.	Applicant has	resolved any audit fir	ndings relative to	previous pro	ojects.		X	
	rall Evaluation son for Determ	n by Committee Mer nination:	nber					
Obs	ervations/Cond	cerns:					V.	
********		Ward						
	-			*				
	-					**		
	MINI	AD MAN	M					

Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	a ::	Approved	<u>.</u>	Χ
Public Agency:	Mukilteo School District	DB		Denied		
PRC Member:	Jeannie Natta	Both	X		_	1
			21			
	Recertification	Evaluation	Criteria			
					Pass	Fail
	ained any process changes it made opropriate for use of alternative cor			es which	Х	
	what steps are taken to determine e for a proposed project.	that the use of	GC/CM and	/or DB are	Х	
2. Described	the steps that are taken in approvi	ng this determi	nation.		Х	
B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.						
<ol> <li>Included th</li> </ol>	Included the status of each alternative delivery project.					
Described certification	any litigation or significant disputes	s on any projec	t since previ	ous	х	
C. Applicant prov	ided an updated organization chart and construction experience using				х	
	resolved any audit findings relative	to previous pr	ojects.		Х	
Reason for Determ	n by Committee Member ination:  perienced with GCCM. They demo	enstrated ability	to apply les	sons learned a	nd refin	e their
Observations/Cond	eerns:					

Signature

Jeannie Natta Obi Cells, Einjatti gölum edd, Obi UM Facilities, Obi Project Delivery Groupt, CND-Jeannie Natt

Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	X	Approved	k	X
Public Agency:	Mukilteo School District No. 06	DB		Denied		
PRC Member:	Ron Paananen	Both	-		1	2 11 2
	Recertification E	/aluation	Criteria			
				2	Pass	Fail
projects are a 1. Explained appropria	plained any process changes it made, appropriate for use of alternative control what steps are taken to determine the for a proposed project.  If the steps that are taken in approving	acting proce at the use of	dures. f GC/CM and	-	X	1
B. Applicant des Works in the RCW 39.10.	scribed their experience in delivering p past three years and summarized hov	rojects unde these proje	er Alternative		17	25
	the status of each alternative delivery d any litigation or significant disputes o		ct since prev	/ious	Х	
certification C. Applicant pro	on. vided an updated organization chart w and construction experience using the	vith personne	el possessin	ng	X	
	s resolved any audit findings relative to	previous pr	ojects.		X	
Reason for Deterr	on by Committee Member mination: d thorough application and presentatio	n. The Scho	ool District h	nas a depth of ex	xperiend	ce and
	utilization of GC/CM. They continue to b					ave a
a well informed pro	cess for determining if GC/CM is an appro	priate deliver	y method on	any given project		
Observations/Con	cerns:					
No concerns.						
						-
Mullet Ra Signature	Elevine		)			

Da	te:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	Χ	Approve	d	Χ
Pu	blic Agency:	Mukilteo School District	DB		Denied		
PF	C Member:	Catina Patton	Both			-	€
	8	Recertificatio	n Evaluation (	Criteria			
				**		Pass	Fail
A.		ained any process changes it m opropriate for use of alternative			ines which		
	appropriate	what steps are taken to determi e for a proposed project. the steps that are taken in appr			d/or DB are	X	
В.	Applicant desc	cribed their experience in deliver east three years and summarize	ring projects under	Alternative		X	
		ne status of each alternative deli	ivery project.			Х	
	2. Described certification	any litigation or significant dispun.	utes on any project	since prev	/ious	X	
C.		ided an updated organization cl and construction experience usi ication.				х	
D.		resolved any audit findings relat	tive to previous pro	jects.		Х	
Rea	rall Evaluation son for Determ ets Criteria for re						2
	ervations/Conc	erns: appreciated the lessons learne	d section.				
Cian	Catina Patto	on		S )			

Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM	$\propto$	Approve	ed _	X_
Public Agen	cv: 1/1/2/1/2050	DB		Denied		
PRC Member	The state of the s	Both				
	Recertification E	valuation C	riteria			
					Pass	Fail
projects a	explained any process changes it made, are appropriate for use of alternative contr	acting procedul	165.	_		
appro	ined what steps are taken to determine the priate for a proposed project. ibed the steps that are taken in approving			or DB are	/	
R Applicant	described their experience in delivering p the past three years and summarized hov	rojects under A	Iternative I	Public tatutes in	***	
	ed the status of each alternative delivery	project.			1	
2. Descri	ibed any litigation or significant disputes o	on any project si		i_		
managem	provided an updated organization chart when the and construction experience using the certification.	vith personnel p e GC/CM and/o	ossessing r DB since	the		
	has resolved any audit findings relative to	previous proje	cts.			
Overall Evaluate Reason for De	ation by Committee Member stermination:					
Observations/0	Concerns:					
Signature and	n Roptal					Period of the Control

Revised 7/27/2023

Criteria extracted from RCW 39.10.270

Date:	09.25.25	GC/CM	Χ	Approved	d	Χ
Public Agency:	Mukilteo School District No.6	DB		Denied	-	
PRC Member:	Yuki Seda-Kane	Both				
	Recertification	Evaluation (	Criteria			
					Pass	Fail
	ained any process changes it mad ppropriate for use of alternative co			ines which	X	
appropriat	what steps are taken to determine e for a proposed project.			d/or DB are	X	25
	the steps that are taken in approveribed their experience in delivering		-	Public	X	
	past three years and summarized				X	
	ne status of each alternative delive				X	
<ol><li>Described certificatio</li></ol>	any litigation or significant disputen. n.	es on any project	since prev	/ious	X	
	rided an updated organization cha and construction experience using ication.			•	Х	
D. Applicant has	resolved any audit findings relativ	e to previous pro	jects.		Χ	
Overall Evaluation Reason for Determ	n by Committee Member ination:					
Great application a	nd presentation describing experi	ence and readine	ess for deli	vering GC/CM p	rojects.	The
care and effort take	en to provide good value for their s	student body, whi	ich is large	ely BIPOC and L	ow-Inco	me,
was evident in their	r strategies to support their studer	nts during constru	uction. He	ping students le	arn thro	ough
STEM programs us	sing professionals on their constru	ction jobs was fa	ntastic to l	near. There is a	process	s for
evaluating projects	for readiness for GC/CM and an	effort to train and	provide e	xperienced staff	on proj	ects.
There is a process	for outreach, and using the MRS0	C as a resource is	s notable.			-
Observations/Cond	erns:					a - X -
Expand the networ	k of SBE/DBE firms by reaching o	ut to the Seattle	area and p	participate in AG	C and C	<u>CMAA</u>
and DBIA to get the	e word out state-wide on your proj	ects. Great job.				2
You Sida-Fore						

Revised 7/27/2023

Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification** Date: September 25, 2025 GC/CM Approved Public Agency: DB Denied PRC Member: **Kevin Thomas** Both **Recertification Evaluation Criteria Pass** Fail A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project. 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. × B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10. Included the status of each alternative delivery project. 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous X certification. C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the X previous certification. D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. X Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination: FOR LESSONS LEARNED, CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM, CONSTRUCTION ENVIROMENT Observations/Concerns:

Date: September 25, 2025 GC/CM X Approved Public Agency: Mukiliteo School District DB Denied  PRC Member: T. Thomas Both  Recertification Evaluation Criteria  A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39 10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination:  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.  Observations/Concerns:						
Recertification Evaluation Criteria  Recertification Evaluation Criteria  A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  3. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Coverall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.	Date:	September 25, 2025	GC/CM X	Approved		X
A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  V. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.	Public Agency:	Mukilteo School District	DB	Denied	10000	
A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  X  X  X  X  X  X  X  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.	PRC Member:	T. Thomas	Both		-	***************************************
A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  X  X  X  X  X  X  X  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.						
A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  X  X  X  X  X  X  X  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.		Recertification	Fyaluation Criteria			
A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  V. Overall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.		1 (OOO) tilloution	- Evaluation Officina			
projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.  1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  X  Overall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.					ass	Fail
appropriate for a proposed project.  2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  X   Coverall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.	projects are ap	opropriate for use of alternative of	ontracting procedures.			
B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project. 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Coverall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination:  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.	appropriate	e for a proposed project.		id/or DB are	Х	
Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.  1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.  2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Coverall Evaluation by Committee Member  Reason for Determination:  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.					X	
2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Coverall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination:  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.	Works in the p				-	
certification.  C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Coverall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination:  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.	1. Included th	e status of each alternative deliv	ery project.		X	
management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.  D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  Coverall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination:  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.			es on any project since prev	/ious	Х	
Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination:  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.	management a	and construction experience using			х	
Reason for Determination:  The School District demonstrated they have been successful at managing projects over the past 3 years usning the GCCM method and can continue forward successfully.	D. Applicant has r	esolved any audit findings relativ	ve to previous projects.		X	
	Reason for Dete	ermination:	been successful at managin	ig projects over the	<b>.</b>	
Observations/Concerns:	past 3 years	usning the GCCM method and c	can continue forward succes	sfully.		
Observations/Concerns:						
Observations/Concerns:	-			2 2		
Observations/Concerns.	Observations/Co	angorno:				
	Observations/Co	лісенів.				
	+	42.5				
- tuntonos	( Junt	towas				

Da	te:	09/25/2025	· ·	GC/CM	Χ	Approved		Χ
Pu	blic Agency:	MUKILTEO SCHOOL DIST	TRICT	DB	8	Denied		
PR	C Member:	ANTHONY UDEAGBALA,	AIA	Both				
			<del></del>					
		Recerti	fication E	valuation (	Criteria			
							Pass	Fail
A.		ained any process chan opropriate for use of alte				nes which	Х	
	appropriate	what steps are taken to e for a proposed project	•			d/or DB are		
В.	Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.  Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.						×	
	1. Included th	ne status of each alterna	ative delivery	project.				
	<ol><li>Described certification</li></ol>	any litigation or significa	ant disputes o	on any project	since prev	rious		
C.	Applicant prov	ided an updated organi: and construction experie					х	
D.	• TANGETT CONSCIONATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	resolved any audit findi	ngs relative to	previous pro	jects.		Х	
	Reason for Dete Great prese	tion by Committee Me ermination: entation, fantastic team s good understanding of Re	structure and		g of projec	t needs. I find the	team	_
(	Observations/C	oncerns:						
	Exemplary.							
			10					_
-	CVA /	2 16						_1
	A ROS	2334						

Project Review Committee (PRC)

### **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification**

Date:	September 25, 2025		GC/CM	X	Approved	Χ
Public Agency:	Mukilteo School District	10	DB		Denied	
PRC Member:	Taine Wilton		Both			100

### Recertification Evaluation Criteria

- A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.
  - 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.
  - 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.
- Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39:10.
  - 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.
  - 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.
- C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.
- D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

	Pass	Fail
	x	
	X	
ļ	X	
	x	
	Х	
	X	
	x	
	Х	7

### **Overall Evaluation by Committee Member**

Reason for Determination:

Have processes in place for project success. Review needs and scope of project to determine project delivery. Connected with industry through POG, CPARB, CMG, provide training for new hires, host outreach events to promote equity and inclusion. Consider lessons learned for best practices for GC/CM alternative delivery.

### Observations/Concerns:

Focus on best outcomes for all involved, had subcontractor tie bid, resolved by researching best practices. They are committed to learning and improving. Had multiple members of the public speak on their behalf attesting to hiring women owned businesses and conducting equity outreach.

Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)

Digitally signed by Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)

Pin. CN="Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)",
O=Edmonds School District
Date: 2025.09.25 13:16:33-07'00'