

1. RFQ references a \$100,000 honorarium but the agreement in the appendix lists \$50,000. **The honorarium is \$100,000**
 2. The proposal form (Appendix 3E) references a GMP, however the SOQ references a Lump Sum. **This project is Lump Sum**
 3. Can the owner provide a sample owner contract for review? **Please see section II-2 of the RFP for form of contract.** This is a tight budget, will there be any opportunity to adjust program requirements should it become apparent through the RFP process that the program cannot be achieved with the current MDACC? **Yes, if program requirements cannot be met they can be adjusted to a point.**
 4. If all proposers exceed the MDACC will the Military Dept. still award or proceed with a best and final proposal process or will the project be canceled? **The funding is limited, if we cannot meet enough of the program requirements the project could be canceled.**
 5. The relevant Experience portion of the SOQ requires a listing of occupied EUI. Many clients do not track or identify occupied EUI, if actual data does not exist would an approximation be acceptable? **An approximation with explanation would be acceptable.**
 6. The SOQ identifies enhanced commissioning as a requirement, will WMD provide or is this a responsibility of the DB team? **This will be provided by WMD.**
1. Section 6.0.c. of the RFP requires proposers to hold all prices for 120 days, this may be difficult with the current and likely impacts on the supply chain. Would consideration be given to reduce this to 90 days? **Client Agency agrees with reduction to 60 days, other checks in process – DES is checking and will likely adjust with release of RFP**
 2. Section 7.0.13 of the RFP indicates the need to meet the City of Richland requirements for off-site improvements? **The City of Richland website shows off site improvements are not required. We will still need entrance from the street to the site.**
 3. Section 10.H of the RFP suggests that the inclusion of a Geothermal Heat Pump system is a consideration in selection, is the use of Geothermal System an expectation of the WMD even if the design determines this not to be cost-effective? **Federal funding requires that the building meet Federal Facility Criteria and the “Whole Building Design Guidelines” which promote an integrated design approach and the use of alternative energy sources. Photovoltaics and geothermal are two that are to be considered for this project. D/B proposals are expected to integrate sustainable design and alternative energy into the buildings to the extent the budget will allow.**
 4. Can you please define the term “Modern Training” as used in the RFQ? **The old Tacoma Armory, the first photograph in the slide show was built with horse stables and a riding arena. We now**

use advanced electronic communications, simulators and modern vehicles. The answer is to build to the criteria in the documents.

5. In regard to page count, do the tabs, covers, table of content, and the attachment A form count towards the 30 pages double sided limit? **The covers and tabs do not count in the 30-page limit.**
6. To confirm the information section F Financial and Legal item 3. Bonding shall not be including the proposal itself and is submitted in a separate envelope marked confidential and does not count towards the page limits. This information was not in the instructions section but was noted in the evaluation section so want to be certain how to properly include this. **With the RFP this is true; submit in a separate sealed envelope. SOQ needs to ascertain the financial capability and capacity is there.**
7. Will the slide show from today be uploaded to WEBS? **Yes, we will post to WEBS**
8. Are wet signatures needed on the RFQ forms? **Yes we need wet signatures.**
9. Will today's attendance be uploaded? **Yes as best as we can put together from an online meeting and no signature sign in sheet.**
10. Our last RFI, could we obtain the DD Form 1390/1391 for the project? **It's in Appendix Item I.C. "DD-1390 Area"**