

				Project description	1				
FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES					Architect(s) -	Skagit Val	lley Colle		
CONSULTANT SELECTION				Project Number	-2021	- 828 _20)21-83		
COMBINED CONSENSUS SCORIN	IG SHEET			Name of Selection	Panel Chair				
This Scoreshe	at Basamaa l	Dublic Dece	rd.		Chad Be	d Bedlington			
		6/23/2021	u						
hase 1 - SOQ		6/23/2021	Panelist Names		Number of Subr	lumber of Submitting Firms:			
FIRMS	Chad Bedlington	Tim Wheeler	Ed Jaramillo			TOTAL PANEL RANKED SCORE	PHASE 1 RANK ORDER		
1 RMC Architects	Rank Order 3	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	5	1		
2 Wedderman Architecture	8	10	8			26	9		
3 Zervas Architects		6	5			15	5		
4 the design COLLECTIVE	9	8	9			26	9		
5 Osborn Architects Inc	1	3	2			6	2		
6 Hutterball Oremus Architecture		5	6			16	6		
7 Ankrom Moisan	7	7	7			21	7		
8 PAE Engineers	6	4	4			14	4		
9 HKP Architects	2	2	3			7	3		
10 Studio 19 Architects	10	9	10			29	10		
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
hase 2 Interview	Date:	6/28/2021		N	lumber of Firms	Interviewed:	3		
		RANK ORDE	R OF COMMITT	EE MEMBERS					
Firms	Chad Bedlington	Tim Wheeler	Ed Jaramillo			TOTAL ASSIGNED RANKS	FINAL RANK ORDER		
	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order				
1 RMC Architects	2	2	2			6	2		
2 Osborn Architects Inc	1	1	1			3	1		
3 HKP Architects	3	3	3			9	3		
4									
5									
		1	1	1					

Chad Bedlington

Chad Bedlington

Tim Wheeler

Tim Wheeler

Eduardo Jaramillo Eduardo Jaramillo (Jul 1, 2021 12:5

Ed Jaramillo

*The correct project number for this Skagit Valley On-Call Architect(s) is 2021-830. The scoresheet was processed with a typo, which has been struck out and replaced with the correct number throughout the document.



FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description) - Skagit Valley College
Consensus Date	Project Number
6/23/2021	-2021-828
Name of Selection Panel Mem Chad B	ber 2021-830 Bedlington

	CRITERIA	Qualificati Perso		General Appr	Project oach	Relevant E	xperience			Diverse Business Inclusion Strategy	TOTAL RAW	TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK
	Score	es Raw Score	20%	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	40%	Raw Score	10%	Received - Y/N	SCORE	SCORE	
1	RMC Architects	88	17.6	86	25.8	92	36.8	100	10.0	Y	366.0	90.2	3
2	Wedderman Architecture	75	15.0	70	21.0	70	28.0	50	5.0	Y	265.0	69.0	8
3	Zervas Architects	85	17.0	87	26.1	90	36.0	100	10.0	Y	362.0	89.1	4
4	the design COLLECTIVE	60	12.0	80	24.0	60	24.0	50	5.0	Y	250.0	65.0	9
5	Osborn Architects Inc	88	17.6	90	27.0	90	36.0	100	10.0	Y	368.0	90.6	1
6	Hutterball Oremus Architecture	88	17.6	85	25.5	85	34.0	50	5.0	Y	308.0	82.1	5
7	Ankrom Moisan	80	16.0	65	19.5	80	32.0	50	5.0	Y	275.0	72.5	7
8	PAE Engineers	60	12.0	82	24.6	81	32.4	50	5.0	Y	273.0	74.0	6
9	HKP Architects	90	18.0	87	26.1	91	36.4	100	10.0	Y	368.0	90.5	2
10	Studio 19 Architects	0	0	0		0		0		Y	0	0	10
11													
12													
13													
14													
15													
16													
17													
18													
19													
20					-								
CO	MMENTS:									er formulas. All firms su ered non-compliant to I			

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Chad Bedlington Chad Bedlington

6/23/2021 Date



FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

	Project description	
	On-Call Architect(s) - S	kagit Valley College
	Consensus Date	Project Number
	6/23/2021	-2021-828 -
_	Name of Selection Panel Member	2021-830
	Ed Jara	millo

	CRITERIA		Qualifica Key Per		General Appr	-	Relev Experi		Geogr Loac		Diverse Business Inclusion Strategy	TOTAL RAW	TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK
		Scores	Raw Score	20%	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	40%	Raw Score	10%	Received - Y/N	SCORE	SCORE	
1	RMC Architects		95	19	95	29	99	40	100	10	Y	389.0	97.1	1
2	Wedderman Architecture		90	18	88	26	83	33	95	10	Y	356.0	87.1	8
3	Zervas Architects		90	18	88	26	92	37	100	10	Y	370.0	91.2	5
4	the design COLLECTIVE		90	18	89	27	80	32	95	10	Y	354.0	86.2	9
5	Osborn Architects Inc		93	19	95	29	99	40	100	10	Y	387.0	96.7	2
6	Hutterball Oremus Architecture		90	18	88	26	88	35	95	10	Y	361.0	89.1	6
7	Ankrom Moisan		90	18	88	26	85	34	95	10	Y	358.0	87.9	7
8	PAE Engineers		90	18	88	26	99	40	95	10	Y	372.0	93.5	4
9	HKP Architects		92	18	95	29	99	40	100	10	Y	386.0	96.5	3
10	Studio 19 Architects		90	18	80	24	80	32	95	10	Y	345.0	83.5	10
11														
12														
13														
14														
15														
16														
17														
18														
19														
20														
CON	IMENTS:													

Eduardo Jaramillo

Eduardo Jaramillo (Jul 1, 2021 12:54 PDT)

Ed Jaramillo

6/23/2021

Date

Washington State Enterprise	e Dep	artm Sel	ent of	es	i			Project des On-Ca	scription II Architect(s) - S	Skagit '	Valley Co	ollege
FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES								Consensus	s Date	Project N	lumber	
CONSULTANT SELECTION								6	5/23/2021		2021-82	8
PHASE SCORING SHEET								Name of S	election Panel Member		2021-	020
	Th	is Scol	resheet E	Becom	es Public	Reco	rd	and the	Tim Wh	neeler		530
CRITERIA	Qualifica Key Per		General Appro		Relev Experi		Geogr Load		Diverse Business Inclusion Strategy		TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK
Scores	Raw Score	20%	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	40%	Raw Score	10%	Received - Y/N	SCORE	SCORE	ORDER
1 RMC Architects	85	17	93	28	93	37	100	10	yes	371.0	92.1	1
2 Wedderman Architecture	80	16	67	20	50	20	50	5	yes	247.0	61.1	10
3 Zervas Architects	80	16	83	25	70	28	100	10	yes	333.0	78.9	6
4 the design COLLECTIVE	80	16	80	24	55	22	50	f 5 [no	265.0	67.0	8 1
5 Osborn Architects Inc	85	17	90	27	90	36	100	10	yes	365.0	90.0	3
6 Hutterball Oremus Architecture	85	17	85	26	80	32	50	5	yes	300.0	79.5	5
7 Ankrom Moisan	85	17	73	22	65	26	50	5	yes	273.0	69.9	7
8 PAE Engineers	85	17	80	24	92	37	50	5	yes	307.0	82.8	4
9 HKP Architects	85	17	87	26	95	38	100	10	yes	367.0	91.1	2
10 Studio 19 Architects	85	17	83	25	50	20	50	5	yes	268.0	66.9	9
11		11115				inun		EVEL CET		LITTI	TITUS	11111
12		n velaz minacional min						itaaajin aaratain aaratai aaratai titaana titaana titaana		Annual Annua Annual Annual Annua Annual Annual Annu	a contractor antifacto	APPENDER Marchane Marchane (PRU-Date
13		Antonia Antonia Antonia Antonia Antonia				And a second sec				strates strates strates strates strates strates	eretaria presentaria presentaria presentaria presentaria presentaria	to com
14				ertist. Vitration Devices methods methods				and and a second				
15												
16		nun		111111		min		1111111		ninii.	nunu	(1181
17				1212				THE			10000	
18										Citra	1100001	1 Hard
19		ano oran ano canan ano can						receive of the second s				antenin Salarah Salarah
20		Indiana di Angla di A						outranting provides p		Postano Arcente Arcente Arcente Arcente	Levelor of the second s	Protection Protec
COMMENTS:												

Wheeler Tim Wheeler

24/2021 Date

FPS Updated 03/01/2021rev

					Project des	cription					
					-	·	rehitect	:(s) - Ska	ait Valle		
FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES							Tunneu				e
					Date of Evaluation Project Number						
CONSULTANT SELECTION						6/28/	2021			2021-82	8 -
PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET					Name of S	election Pa	anel Memb	er		2021-	830
This Scoresheet Be	comes I	Public F	Record				Chao	d <mark>Bedl</mark> ir	ngton	2021-	
		RMC Ar	chitects	Osborn A	Architects	HKP Ar	chitects				
CRITERIA	Weighting	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT	20%	90.0	18.0	90.0	18.0	90.0	18.0				
Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform on-call services along with consultant's capabilities and organizational structure for providing the desired services.											
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	20%	91.0	18.2	93.0	18.6	91.0	18.2				
Scope: Walk us through a few example projects of similar scope, a acertained basic project requirements and how well have they man					e informatio	n provided a	nd the Final	ist's experier	nce, how we	I has the tea	am
COLLABORATION & COMMUNICATION	20%	86.0	17.2	90.0	18.0	91.0	18.2				
Describe communication and work with stakeholders.	b							•		•	
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & APPROACH	40%	90.0	36.0	90.0	36.0	87.0	34.8				
Scope management, Budgeting and Cost Control, Project Schedul	ling, Challen	ges and Opp	ortunities					!			
						-		-		_	
Diverse Business Inclusion Plan	Not										
(indicate included or not included)	Scored										
TOTAL Raw SCORE	100%	357.0		363.0		359.0					
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE			89.4		90.6		89.2				
FINAL RANK ORDER			2		1		3				
COMMENTS:											

Chad Bedlington Committee Member's Signature

6/28/21

Date

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description									
On-Call Architect(s) - Skagit Valley College									
Date of Evaluation	Project Number								
6/28/2021	-2021-828 -								
Name of Selection Panel Member	2021 820								
Ed Jaramillo									

Number of Firms Interviewed:

3

CRITERIA	Weighting	1	RMC Architects		Osborn Architects Inc		HKP Architects						
	lieignung	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score		
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT	20%	100.0	20.0	97.0	19.4	100.0	20.0						
Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform on-c	Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform on-call services along with consultant's capabilities and organizational structure for providing the desired services.												
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	20%	100.0	20.0	100.0	20.0	100.0	20.0						
ScopeWalk us through a few example projects of similar scope, co basic project requirements and how well have they managed devel			-	sed on the ir	formation p	rovided and	the Finalist's	experience	, how well ha	as the team a	acertained		
COLLABORATION & COMMUNICATION	20%	98.0	19.6	98.0	19.6	92.0	18.4						
Describe communication and work with stakeholders.													
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & APPROACH	40%	95.0	38.0	100.0	40.0	95.0	38.0						
Scope management, Budgeting and Cost Control, Project Scheduli	ng Challeng	es and Opp	ortunities		3		ne -			7 3			
Diverse Business Inclusion Plan	Not	1		12	1	1		-	-				
(indicate included or not included)	Scored												
	•			•				•					
TOTAL RAW SCORE	100%	393.0		395.0		387.0							
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE			97.6		99.0		96.4						
FINAL RANK ORDER			2		1		3						
COMMENTS:													

Eduardo Jaramillo Eduardo Jaramillo (Jun 28, 2021 16:02 PDT)

Jun 28, 2021

Committee Member's Signature

Date

FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVI	CES					Project des		rchitect	t(s) - <mark>Sk</mark> a	git Valle	ey Colleg	je
CONSULTANT SELECTI								Project Number				
PHASE II - PROPOSAL	SCORING SHEET his Scoresheet Be	comes	Public	Record		Name of S	Selection Pa		er m Whee	eler	2021	-830
			RMC Ar	chitects		Architects	HKP Ar	chitects	Numb	er of Firms	Interviewed:	3
CRITE	RIA	Weighting	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD	MANAGEMENT	20%	75.0	15.0	75.0	15.0	76.0	15.2				
Include consultant staff introduction	s who will actually perform on-	call services	along with c	onsultant's	capabilities a	and organiza	ational struc	ture for prov	viding the de	sired servic	es.	
		20%	85.0	17 0	84.0	16.8	87.0	17.4				
ScopeWalk us through a few examp acertained basic project requirement				•			n provided a	nd the Final	list's experie	nce, how we	all has the tea	am
COLLABORATION & COMMUNIC		20%	75.0	15.0	76.0	15.2	73.0	14.6			1	
75% PROJECT MANAGEMENT & APP	ROACH	40%	75.0	30.0	76.0	30.4	74.0	29.6	Í	1000		
Scope management Budgeting and	d Cost Control Project Schedu	ling, Challen	ges and Op	portunities								
Diverse Business Inclusion Plan (indicate included or not included)		Not Scored										
TOTAL RAW SCORE		100%	310.0	(311.0		310.0	[<u> </u>
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE		10070	510.0	77.0	1	77.4	510.0	76.8				
FINAL RANK ORDER				2	1	1		3				
COMMENTS:												

wheeler db/28/2021 Date

Committee Member's Signature

21-830-ScoresheetSummary

Final Audit Report

2021-07-01

Created:	2021-07-01
Ву:	Angeline Ernst (angeline.ernst@des.wa.gov)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAAyQlo2L7u1O4-kn9HvWcrPq_DEHxi8P2b

"21-830-ScoresheetSummary" History

- Document created by Angeline Ernst (angeline.ernst@des.wa.gov) 2021-07-01 - 7:50:55 PM GMT- IP address: 198.238.242.30
- Document emailed to Chad Bedlington (chad.bedlington@des.wa.gov) for signature 2021-07-01 7:51:47 PM GMT
- Document emailed to Tim Wheeler (tim.wheeler@skagit.edu) for signature 2021-07-01 7:51:47 PM GMT
- Document emailed to Eduardo Jaramillo (ed.jaramillo@skagit.edu) for signature 2021-07-01 7:51:47 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Eduardo Jaramillo (ed.jaramillo@skagit.edu) 2021-07-01 - 7:54:02 PM GMT- IP address: 24.56.205.80
- Document e-signed by Eduardo Jaramillo (ed.jaramillo@skagit.edu) Signature Date: 2021-07-01 - 7:54:34 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 24.56.205.80
- Email viewed by Tim Wheeler (tim.wheeler@skagit.edu) 2021-07-01 - 8:00:45 PM GMT- IP address: 134.39.99.144
- Document e-signed by Tim Wheeler (tim.wheeler@skagit.edu) Signature Date: 2021-07-01 - 8:01:03 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 134.39.99.144
- Email viewed by Chad Bedlington (chad.bedlington@des.wa.gov) 2021-07-01 - 9:03:24 PM GMT- IP address: 198.238.242.30
- Document e-signed by Chad Bedlington (chad.bedlington@des.wa.gov) Signature Date: 2021-07-01 - 9:03:41 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 198.238.242.30
- Agreement completed. 2021-07-01 - 9:03:41 PM GMT

💄 Adobe Sign