

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 RANK ORDER COMPUTATION SHEET
 AND A/E SELECTION ANALYSIS**

Project description FS-Bldg 66: ATP Replacement	
Date of Evaluation 5/26/2020	Project Number 20-470
Name of Committee Chairperson Tim Byrne	

PHASE I

DATE: 5/11/2020

Number of Submittals Received

14

NAME OF FIRM	RANK ORDER OF COMMITTEE MEMBERS					TOTAL ASSIGNED RANKS	RANK ORDER
	Tim Byrne	Scott Allen	Leina Barnes-Hedblom	Steve Hardy	Nancy Josephson		
1. Ankrom Moisan	9	11	8	6	10	44	8
2. BCRA	2	8	1	1	4	16	2
3. Buffalo Design	4	9	13	11	9	46	12
4. Coates Design	7	6	10	12	3	38	7
5. Helix	7	7	5	2	12	33	6
6. INNOVA	14	12	14	10	12	62	14
7. Mahlum	5	2	1	13	8	29	5
8. McGranahan	1	1	5	5	7	19	4
9. NAC	5	3	3	4	2	17	3
10. RFM	12	10	10	8	5	45	10
11. SAB	10	14	9	7	6	46	12
12. Sage	3	5	3	3	1	15	1
13. TGB	10	4	12	9	10	45	10
14. Weddermann	13	13	7	14	14	61	13
30.							

PHASE II

DATE: 5/26/2020


Number of Firms Interviewed


3


NAME OF FIRM	RANK ORDER OF COMMITTEE MEMBERS					TOTAL ASSIGNED RANKS	RANK ORDER
	Tim Byrne	Scott Allen	Leina Barnes-Hedblom	Steve Hardy	Nancy Josephson		
1. Sage	3	1	2	3	3	12	3
2. BCRA	2	2	3	1	2	10	2
3. NAC	1	3	1	2	1	8	1
4.							
5.							


SELECTION ANALYSIS:


SELECTION COMMITTEE APPROVAL:


 Tim Byrne


 Scott Allen


 Leina Barnes-Hedblom


 Steve Hardy


 Nancy Josephson

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description	
FS-Bldg 66: ATP Replacement	
Date of Evaluation	Project Number
	20-470
Name of Committee Member	
Tim Byrne	

Rate each firm below using numerical rating for the score where 0 represents the lowest score.

CRITERIA	Qualifications	Relevant	Previous	page count			TOTAL	RANK
	Key Personnel	Experience	Performance					
Maximun Score	30	40	30				100	
1. Ankrom Moisan	25	30	15	19			70	9
2. BCRA	25	32	28	19			85	2
3. Buffalo Design	28	25	28	19			81	4
4. Coates Design	26	30	15	22			71	7
5. Helix	24	32	15	20			71	7
6. INNOVA	5	10	10	19			25	14
7. Mahlum	30	35	15	20			80	5
8. McGranahan	30	31	25	22			86	1
9. NAC	30	35	15	21			80	5
10. RFM	25	30	10	20			65	12
11. SAB	23	35	10	23			68	10
12. Sage	30	25	28	20			83	3
13. TGB	23	25	20	18			68	10
14. Weddermann	20	15	15	20			50	13
15.								
16.								
17.								
18.								
19.								
20.								
21.								
22.								
23.								
24.								
25.								
26.								
27.								
28.								
29.								
30.								

COMMENTS:

Tim Byrne 5/12/2020
 Committee Member's Signature Date

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET**


This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description FS-Bldg 66: ATP Replacement	
Date of Evaluation	Project Number 20-470
Name of Committee Member Leina Barnes-Hedblom	

Rate each firm below using numerical rating for the score where 0 represents the lowest score.

CRITERIA	Qualifications Key Personnel	Relevant Experience	Previous Performance	TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER
	Maximun Score	30	40		
1. Ankrom Moisan	25	30	25	80	8
2. BCRA	30	40	30	100	1
3. Buffalo Design	15	15	15	45	13
4. Coates Design	30	20	25	75	10
5. Helix	25	35	25	85	5
6. INNOVA	10	10	10	30	14
7. Mahlum	30	40	30	100	1
8. McGranahan	25	35	25	85	5
9. NAC	28	40	30	98	3
10. RFM	25	30	20	75	10
11. SAB	23	26	30	79	9
12. Sage	28	40	30	98	3
13. TGB	18	20	20	58	12
14. Weddermann	26	32	23	81	7
15.					
16.					
17.					
18.					
19.					
20.					
21.					
22.					
23.					
24.					
25.					
26.					
27.					
28.					
29.					
30.					

COMMENTS:


 Committee Member's Signature

5/11/2020
 Date

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description	
FS-Bldg 66: ATP Replacement	
Date of Evaluation	Project Number
10-May-20	20-470
Name of Committee Member	
Nancy Josephson	

Rate each firm below using numerical rating for the score where 0 represents the lowest score.

CRITERIA	Qualifications Key Personnel	Relevant Experience	Previous Performance				TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER
	Maximun Score	30	40	30			100	
12. Sage	25	40	30				95	1
9. NAC	28	35	28				91	2
4. Coates Design	30	35	25				90	3
2. BCRA	23	35	30				88	4
10. RFM	23	35	28				86	5
11. SAB	20	35	25				80	6
8. McGranahan	25	28	25				78	7
7. Mahlum	25	25	25				75	8
3. Buffalo Design	23	25	25				73	9
1. Ankrom Moisan	25	20	20				65	10
13. TGB	20	20	25				65	10
5. Helix	20	15	20				55	12
6. INNOVA	20	15	20				55	12
14. Weddermann	20	15	15				50	14
15.								
16.								
17.								
18.								
19.								
20.								
21.								
22.								
23.								
24.								
25.								
26.								
27.								
28.								
29.								
30.								
COMMENTS:								

Nancy Josephson 10-May-20
 Committee Member's Signature Date

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description FS-Bldg 66: ATP Replacement	
Date of Evaluation 5/11/2020	Project Number 20-470
Name of Committee Member Scott Allen	

Rate each firm below using numerical rating for the score where 0 represents the lowest score.

CRITERIA	Qualifications	Relevant	Previous	TOTAL	RANK
	Key Personnel	Experience	Performance		
Maximum Score	30	40	30	100	
1. Ankrom Moisan	17	30	20	67	11
2. BCRA	16	35	20	71	8
3. Buffalo Design	20	25	25	70	9
4. Coates Design	18	30	26	74	6
5. Helix	17	30	25	72	7
6. INNOVA	15	25	25	65	12
7. Mahlum	23	35	26	84	2
8. McGranahan	24	35	26	85	1
9. NAC	26	35	22	83	3
10. RFM	16	30	23	69	10
11. SAB	18	15	12	45	14
12. Sage	25	32	20	77	5
13. TGB	22	36	22	80	4
14. Weddermann	18	20	20	58	13
15.					
16.					
17.					
18.					
19.					
20.					
21.					
22.					
23.					
24.					
25.					
26.					
27.					
28.					
29.					
30.					

COMMENTS:

 12 MAY 2020
 Committee Member's Signature Date

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description: FS-Bldg 66: ATP Replacement	
Date of Evaluation	Project Number 20-470
Name of Committee Member Steve Hardy	

Rate each firm below using numerical rating for the score where 0 represents the lowest score.

CRITERIA	Qualifications Key Personnel	Relevant Experience	Previous Performance				TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER
	30	40	30				100	
1. Ankrom Moisan	24	31	24				79	6
2. BCRA	27	38	24				89	1
3. Buffalo Design	21	29	21				71	11
4. Coates Design	20	27	23				70	12
5. Helix	25	35	26				86	2
6. INNOVA	15	31	26				72	10
7. Mahlum	15	29	23				67	13
8. McGranahan	24	32	24				80	5
9. NAC	26	36	22				84	4
10. RFM	22	31	23				76	8
11. SAB	24	34	20				78	7
12. Sage	25	35	25				85	3
13. TGB	21	33	21				75	9
14. Weddermann	20	26	20				66	14
15.								
16.								
17.								
18.								
19.								
20.								
21.								
22.								
23.								
24.								
25.								
26.								
27.								
28.								
29.								
30.								

COMMENTS:


 Committee Member's Signature 5/12/20
 Date

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
PHASE II SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description	
FS-Bldg 66: ATP Replacement	
Date of Evaluation	Project Number
5/26/2020	20-470
Name of Committee Member	
Tim Byrne	

Rate each firm below using numerical rating for the score where 0 represents the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	Sage	BCRA	NAC
ORGANIZATION				
MANAGEMENT PLAN	3	2	3	3
TEAM MEMBER QUALIFICATIONS	10	9	8	8
CAPACITY/PRODUCTION CAPABILITIES	5	3	2	4
PROJECT MANAGEMENT				
SCOPE MANAGEMENT	4	4	3	4
BUDGETING COST CONTROL	8	7	5	8
PROJECT SCHEDULING	4	3	4	4
PROJECT APPROACH				
PROJECT UNDERSTANDING	8	7	7	7
PROJECT CHALLENGES & OPPORTUNITIES	5	3	5	5
EXPERIENCE				
RELEVANT PAST PROJECTS (FIRM)	20	20	20	16
RELEVANT PAST PROJECTS (KEY TEAM MEMBERS)	20	15	16	15
LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS EXPERIENCE*	8	8	8	8
SUSTAINABLE DESIGN EXPERIENCE*	5	4	5	5
TOTAL SCORE	100	85	86	87
FINAL RANK ORDER		3	2	1
COMMENTS:				

*Life Cycle Cost Analysis and Sustainable Design experience are required for projects greater than \$5 million or new construction with an area of 5,000 square feet or greater.

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE II SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description FS-Bldg 66: ATP Replacement	
Date of Evaluation 5/26/2020	Project Number 20-470
Name of Committee Member Scott Allen	

Rate each firm below using numerical rating for the score where 0 represents the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	Sage	BCRA	NAC
ORGANIZATION				
MANAGEMENT PLAN	3	2	1	1
TEAM MEMBER QUALIFICATIONS	10	9	7	8
CAPACITY/PRODUCTION CAPABILITIES	5	3	3	3
PROJECT MANAGEMENT				
SCOPE MANAGEMENT	4	3	3	3
BUDGETING COST CONTROL	8	6	8	7
PROJECT SCHEDULING	4	2	4	3
PROJECT APPROACH				
PROJECT UNDERSTANDING	8	8	6	7
PROJECT CHALLENGES & OPPORTUNITIES	5	5	3	4
EXPERIENCE				
RELEVANT PAST PROJECTS (FIRM)	20	17	13	13
RELEVANT PAST PROJECTS (KEY TEAM MEMBERS)	20	17	13	13
LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS EXPERIENCE*	8	7	7	5
SUSTAINABLE DESIGN EXPERIENCE*	5	4	4	2
TOTAL SCORE	100	83	72	69
FINAL RANK ORDER		1	2	3

COMMENTS:

*Life Cycle Cost Analysis and Sustainable Design experience are required for projects greater than \$5 million or new construction with an area of 5,000 square feet or greater.


 Committee Member's Signature

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
PHASE II SCORING SHEET**


This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description FS-Bldg 66: ATP Replacement	
Date of Evaluation 5/26/2020	Project Number 20-470
Name of Committee Member Nancy Josephson	

Rate each firm below using numerical rating for the score where 0 represents the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	BCRA	NAC	Sage
ORGANIZATION				
MANAGEMENT PLAN	3	2	3	3
TEAM MEMBER QUALIFICATIONS	10	8	10	7
CAPACITY/PRODUCTION CAPABILITIES	5	3	5	4
PROJECT MANAGEMENT				
SCOPE MANAGEMENT	4	3	4	2
BUDGETING COST CONTROL	8	7	8	6
PROJECT SCHEDULING	4	3	4	4
PROJECT APPROACH				
PROJECT UNDERSTANDING	8	7	8	8
PROJECT CHALLENGES & OPPORTUNITIES	5	4	5	3
EXPERIENCE				
RELEVANT PAST PROJECTS (FIRM)	20	16	18	16
RELEVANT PAST PROJECTS (KEY TEAM MEMBERS)	20	18	20	18
LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS EXPERIENCE*	8	7	8	6
SUSTAINABLE DESIGN EXPERIENCE*	5	5	5	3
TOTAL SCORE	100	83	98	80
FINAL RANK ORDER		2	1	3
COMMENTS:				

*Life Cycle Cost Analysis and Sustainable Design experience are required for projects greater than \$5 million or new construction with an area of 5,000 square feet or greater.



Committee Member's Signature

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
PHASE II SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description FS-Bldg 66: ATP Replacement	
Date of Evaluation 5/26/2020	Project Number 20-470
Name of Committee Member Leina Barnes-Hedblom	

Rate each firm below using numerical rating for the score where 0 represents the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	Sage	BCRA	NAC
ORGANIZATION				
MANAGEMENT PLAN	3	2	2	3
TEAM MEMBER QUALIFICATIONS	10	7	8	8
CAPACITY/PRODUCTION CAPABILITIES	5	4	4	4
PROJECT MANAGEMENT				
SCOPE MANAGEMENT	4	4	3	3
BUDGETING COST CONTROL	8	6	6	5
PROJECT SCHEDULING	4	4	3	3
PROJECT APPROACH				
PROJECT UNDERSTANDING	8	8	8	8
PROJECT CHALLENGES & OPPORTUNITIES	5	4	3	4
EXPERIENCE				
RELEVANT PAST PROJECTS (FIRM)	20	15	16	20
RELEVANT PAST PROJECTS (KEY TEAM MEMBERS)	20	16	16	20
LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS EXPERIENCE*	8	7	3	8
SUSTAINABLE DESIGN EXPERIENCE*	5	4	4	
TOTAL SCORE				
	100	81	76	86
FINAL RANK ORDER				
		2	3	1
COMMENTS:				

*Life Cycle Cost Analysis and Sustainable Design experience are required for projects greater than \$5 million or new construction with an area of 5,000 square feet or greater.

Leina Barnes-Hedblom May 28th, 2020
Committee Member's Signature

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE II SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description FS-Bldg 66: ATP Replacement	
Date of Evaluation 5/26/2020	Project Number 20-470
Name of Committee Member Steve Hardy	

Rate each firm below using numerical rating for the score where 0 represents the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	Sage	BCRA	NAC
ORGANIZATION				
MANAGEMENT PLAN	3	3	2	2
TEAM MEMBER QUALIFICATIONS	10	9	8	9
CAPACITY/PRODUCTION CAPABILITIES	5	4	3	3
PROJECT MANAGEMENT				
SCOPE MANAGEMENT	4	3	4	4
BUDGETING COST CONTROL	8	7	8	7
PROJECT SCHEDULING	4	3	3	4
PROJECT APPROACH				
PROJECT UNDERSTANDING	8	7	6	8
PROJECT CHALLENGES & OPPORTUNITIES	5	3	4	5
EXPERIENCE				
RELEVANT PAST PROJECTS (FIRM)	20	12	16	12
RELEVANT PAST PROJECTS (KEY TEAM MEMBERS)	20	12	16	12
LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS EXPERIENCE*	8	7	5	5
SUSTAINABLE DESIGN EXPERIENCE*	5	3	3	4
TOTAL SCORE	100	73	78	75
FINAL RANK ORDER		3.	1	2
COMMENTS:				

*Life Cycle Cost Analysis and Sustainable Design experience are required for projects greater than \$5 million or new construction with an area of 5,000 square feet or greater.


 Committee Member's Signature